

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Olsen, J;Marschik, P;Spittle, A

Title:

Do fidgety general movements predict cerebral palsy and cognitive outcome in clinical follow-up of very preterm infants?

Date:

2018-02-01

Citation:

Olsen, J., Marschik, P. & Spittle, A. (2018). Do fidgety general movements predict cerebral palsy and cognitive outcome in clinical follow-up of very preterm infants?. Acta Paediatrica International Journal of Paediatrics, 107 (2), pp.361-362. <https://doi.org/10.1111/apa.14126>.

Persistent Link:

<https://hdl.handle.net/11343/293853>

Article type : EBNEO Review

TITLE PAGE

TITLE OF WRITE-UP

Do fidgety general movements predict cerebral palsy and cognitive outcome in clinical follow-up of very preterm infants?

REVIEWED BY

Joy Olsen, Dr

Murdoch Children's Research Institute and the Royal Women's Hospital, Melbourne, Australia

Email: joy.olsen@thewomens.org.au

Peter Marschik, Associate Professor

interdisciplinary Developmental Neuroscience, Department of Phoniatrics, Medical University of Graz, Austria and
Center of Neurodevelopmental Disorders, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

Alicia Spittle, Associate Professor

The University of Melbourne, Murdoch Children's Research Institute and the Royal Women's Hospital, Melbourne, Australia

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as doi: [10.1111/apa.14126](https://doi.org/10.1111/apa.14126)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Joy Olsen

Email: joy.olsen@thewomens.org.au

Telephone 61 3 8345 3160

MANUSCRIPT CITATION

Datta AN, Furrer MA, Bernhardt I, Huppi PS, Borradori-Tolsa C, Bucher HU, et al. Fidgety Movements in Infants Born Very Preterm: Predictive Value for Cerebral Palsy in a Clinical Multicentre Setting. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2017; 59:618-624. PMID 28102574.

COMMENTARY

Infants born very preterm (<32 weeks' gestation) have increased risk for cerebral palsy (CP) compared with their term-born peers, and are often included in developmental follow-up programs to allow early detection of CP and identify as soon as possible, those infants who will require specific intervention. The Prechtl General Movement Assessment (GMA) evaluates the quality of infants' spontaneous movements and has excellent predictive validity for CP (1), with the highest sensitivity and specificity between 9-16 weeks when "fidgety" general movements (small amplitude movements in all directions of variable acceleration and moderate speed throughout the whole body) are present. The GMA also offers considerable utility as it is observational, and is increasingly used by clinicians in preterm follow-up programs (2). The recent study by Datta et al. examined the predictive

validity of GMA at three months' corrected age for CP and other neurodevelopmental impairment for 535 infants born very preterm seen as part of developmental follow-up across three centres.

The study has two key findings. Firstly, that within a clinical context, the GMA at three months is a useful tool for predicting CP for very preterm infants, in agreement with previous research (1, 2). Absent fidgety GMA was associated with CP at two years' corrected age, and predictive accuracy for CP increased when combined with brain injury on neonatal cranial ultrasound. The GMA therefore provides valuable information as part of the clinical assessment comprising neuroimaging, clinical history, and other neurodevelopmental assessment (1). A second finding was the association between absent fidgety GMA and poorer cognitive outcome, similar to previous studies (3). However, it should be noted that the association was weaker when children with CP were excluded, given the high incidence of cognitive impairment in children with CP (1). Rather than predicting cognitive outcomes, abnormal GMA findings may help clinicians identify infants who warrant closer follow-up of their cognitive development (4).

As a qualitative, observational assessment, there are important methodological considerations to ensure the reliability and subsequent predictive validity of the GMA in clinical follow-up. This was not a prospective cohort study with clear eligibility criteria and it should be noted that the follow-up rate was low at 28.5%. Previous clinical follow-up studies have used double-scorers and reviewed ambiguous cases (2). While Datta et al. reported specificity of the GMA that was similarly high to other studies, sensitivity was lower. There were also differences in the sensitivity and specificity between centres, which the authors attributed to rater differences (although inter-rater reliability was not reported). The GMA is usually scored from video, necessitating additional time to the standard clinic visit. Guzzetta, et al. (5) compared the predictive validity of GMA through direct observation and GMA scored from video. The predictive validity of both methods was high, as was the correlation between the two methods, however, 6% of cases could not be definitively scored from direct observation. They concluded that direct observation was similarly sensitive as GMA scored from video, however, recommended videoed assessment for cases that were not clear.

In clinical practice, there is scope to use technology, such as smartphone applications (6), for parents to upload a video for GMA prior to their clinic visit. Clinicians can then determine whether further GMA is required, and organise additional measures such as brain magnetic resonance imaging for infants with abnormal GMA, to increase the predictive accuracy for neurodevelopmental outcome, such as CP, and thereby target early intervention.

URL TO THE FULL REVIEW ON THE EBNEO WEBSITE

XXXXXX

FUNDING

None

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None

REFERENCES

1. Novak I, Morgan C, Adde L, Blackman J, Boyd RN, Brunstrom-Hernandez J, et al. Early, accurate diagnosis and early intervention in cerebral palsy: advances in diagnosis and treatment. *Jama Pediatr* 2017; 171: 897-907
2. Øberg GK, Jacobsen BK, Jørgensen L. Predictive value of general movement assessment for cerebral palsy in routine clinical practice. *Phys Ther* 2015; 95: 1489-95
3. Spittle AJ, Spencer-Smith MM, Cheong JLY, Eeles AL, Lee KJ, Anderson PJ, et al. General Movements in Very Preterm Children and Neurodevelopment at 2 and 4 Years. *Pediatr* 2013; 132: e452-8
4. Einspieler C, Bos AF, Libertus ME, Marschik PB. The General Movement Assessment Helps Us to Identify Preterm Infants at Risk for Cognitive Dysfunction. *Front Psychol* 2016; 7: 406
5. Guzzetta A, Belmonti V, Battini R, Boldrini A, Paolicelli PB, Cioni G. Does the assessment of general movements without video observation reliably predict neurological outcome? *Eur J Paediatr Neurol* 2007; 11: 362-7
6. Spittle AJ, Olsen J, Kwong A, Doyle LW, Marschik PB, Einspieler C, et al. The Baby Moves prospective cohort study protocol: using a smartphone application with the General

Movements Assessment to predict neurodevelopmental outcomes at age 2 years for extremely preterm or extremely low birthweight infants. *BMJ Open* 2016; 6: e013446

Author Manuscript