

1 Field application of a novel multiplex qPCR assay reveals the occurrence of the zoonotic  
2 hookworm *Ancylostoma braziliense* in Nigerian dogs

3

4 Luca Massetti<sup>1,a</sup>, Joshua Kamani<sup>1,b</sup>, Anke Wiethoelter<sup>a</sup>, Phillip McDonagh<sup>c</sup>, Vito Colella<sup>a\*</sup>,  
5 Rebecca J Traub<sup>a</sup>

6

7 <sup>a</sup> Faculty of Veterinary and Agricultural Sciences, University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC 3052,  
8 Australia

9 <sup>b</sup> Parasitology Division, National Veterinary Research Institute (NVRI), PMB 01, Vom, Plateau  
10 State, Nigeria

11 <sup>c</sup> Boehringer Ingelheim Animal Health Australia, North Ryde, New South Wales 2113, Australia

12 <sup>1</sup>Equal contribution

13 \*Corresponding author: Dr. Vito Colella, vito.colella@unimelb.edu.au

14

## 15 **Abstract**

16 A number of gastrointestinal parasites have been reported to infect dogs in Nigeria, some of which  
17 have zoonotic potential. Of these, hookworms are the most prevalent, with both *Ancylostoma*  
18 *caninum* and *Uncinaria stenocephala* reported in the country. In this study, we subjected 203  
19 hookworm microscopy-positive samples of the 885 individual faecal samples collected from dogs  
20 in Nigeria to a recently developed multiplex qPCR for the detection and characterisation of canine  
21 hookworm species. The qPCR demonstrated a diagnostic sensitivity of 98% (95% CI 95-99.4)  
22 allowing the detection of *A. caninum* and *A. braziliense* in 81.3% (165/203, 95% CI 75.3-86.1)  
23 and 51.2% (104/203, 95% CI 44.4-58) of the microscopy-positive faecal samples of dogs from

24 Nigeria, respectively and 35.4% (70/203, 95% CI 28.3-41.3) of mixed infections with both  
25 hookworm species.

26 The finding of *A. braziliense* is particularly worrisome given this is a well-known agent of  
27 persistent cutaneous larva migrans, commonly referred to as “creeping eruptions” in humans.  
28 Although this parasite has been diagnosed in locals and in people travelling in Nigeria suffering  
29 from dermatological illnesses, this represent the first molecular identification of *A. braziliense* in  
30 its canine reservoir in the country. These results update the occurrence and distribution of  
31 hookworm species affecting dogs in Nigeria highlighting the suitability of the newly developed  
32 multiplex qPCR assay as a high-throughput tool for the surveillance of zoonotic hookworms,  
33 globally.

34

35

## 36 **Keywords**

37 Hookworms; Zoonosis; *Ancylostoma braziliense*; dogs; qPCR; Nigeria.

38

## 39 **1. Introduction**

40 Dogs can harbour a plethora of intestinal parasites, some of which can also infect humans. A  
41 number of gastrointestinal parasites of veterinary or public health significance have been  
42 reported in Nigerian dogs including *Ancylostoma* spp., *Uncinaria stenocephala*, *Toxocara*  
43 *canis*, *Dipylidium caninum*, *Taenia* spp., and *Trichiuris vulpis*. (Anene et al., 1996;  
44 Sowemimo, 2009; Mustapha et al., 2016; Idika et al., 2017; Moro & Abah, 2019). Of these  
45 parasites, *Ancylostoma* spp. were the most common parasites detected in pet dogs, with

46 prevalence ranging from 14% to 70% (Anene et al., 1996; Sowemimo, 2009; Mustapha et  
47 al., 2016; Idika et al., 2017; Moro & Abah, 2019).

48 In addition to impacting canine health, the hookworms, *Ancylostoma caninum*, *Ancylostoma*  
49 *braziliense*, *Ancylostoma ceylanicum*, and *Uncinaria stenocephala* (Traub et al., 2004) are  
50 also zoonotic, therefore posing a risk to human health. Canine hookworms are well-known  
51 agents of cutaneous larva migrans in humans, with *A. braziliense* as the only species capable  
52 of causing “creeping eruptions”, which clinically manifest as prolonged, highly pruritic  
53 serpiginous lesions in the skin of human patients that may persist for over 100 days (Dove,  
54 1932; Beaver, 1956; Brenner et al., 2003). *Ancylostoma caninum* is a well-known agent of  
55 eosinophilic enteritis and aphthous ileitis in humans (Croese et al., 1994; Walker et al.,  
56 1995; Prociv & Croese, 1996). Recently, the finding of *A. caninum* eggs in the faeces of  
57 human subjects has led to speculation that this parasite might have the potential to complete  
58 its life cycle in humans (Ngcamphalala et al., 2019; Furtado et al., 2020). *Ancylostoma*  
59 *ceylanicum* on the other hand, is commonly reported to cause patent infections in humans  
60 throughout the Asia Pacific, sometimes with accompanying clinical signs of diarrhoea and  
61 anaemia (Stracke, Jex, & Traub, 2020; Traub, 2013).

62 To date, there is a paucity of data on the occurrence of canine hookworms in Africa, with  
63 the majority of the surveys performed using morphological identification of eggs to  
64 differentiate between the species of hookworms. For instance, based on faecal floatation and  
65 Kato-Katz techniques *A. caninum*, *A. braziliense* and *U. stenocephala* have been reported in  
66 dogs from Nigeria (Abraham & Gloria, 2009; Nwoha & Ekwuruike, 2010; Okoye et al.  
67 2011 Ayinmode et al. 2016; Moro & Abah, 2019). However, these techniques are not  
68 reliable given marked similarities in egg morphology of hookworms species, making the use

69 of molecular tools fundamental to identify these parasites at species level (Lucio-Forster et  
70 al., 2012). Recently, PCR based assays allowed the identification of *A. caninum* and *A.*  
71 *braziliense* from dogs in South Africa (Lamb et al., 2012; Ngcamphalala et al., 2019) and  
72 Kenya (Mulinge et al., 2020) and, of all the four species of canine hookworms in Tanzania  
73 (Merino-Tejedor et al., 2018).

74

75 A recently published Taq-Man based multiplex qPCR targeting the internal transcribed  
76 spacer 1 (ITS-1) region of the rDNA of all four canine hookworms was recently developed  
77 for the specific detection and discrimination of canine hookworm species in faecal samples  
78 (Masseti et al., 2020). The Taq-Man qPCR was field-validated for *A. caninum*, *A.*  
79 *ceylanicum* and *U. stenocephala*, but not for *A. braziliense*. In this study we characterised  
80 the species of hookworm infecting dogs in Nigeria through a novel high-throughput qPCR  
81 and report the occurrence of *A. braziliense* in the country.

82

## 83 **2. Methods**

### 84 **2.1 Parasite material**

85 A cross-sectional national survey of gastrointestinal parasites of dogs in Nigeria was  
86 conducted between November 2016 to December 2017 across the Nigerian Federal Capital  
87 Territory (FCT), Abuja in 11 states of Nigeria. Faecal samples were collected from the  
88 rectum of 885 dogs sourced from breeding kennels, abattoirs, and veterinary clinics and  
89 transferred into previously labelled screw cap containers. The faecal samples were kept on  
90 ice and transported to the Helminthology Laboratory of the Parasitology Division of the  
91 National Veterinary Research Institute (NVRI) in Vom, Nigeria for parasitological

92 screening through microscopic examination. Faecal samples were refrigerated at 4 °C until  
93 processed. Aliquots of 203 faecal samples tested positive for hookworm eggs by microscopy  
94 were transferred to a separate screw cap container containing 2.5% w/v potassium  
95 dichromate solution for molecular analysis at the University of Melbourne, Melbourne  
96 Veterinary School.

97

## 98 **2.2 Ethical statement**

99 This study was approved by the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (National Veterinary  
100 Research Institute, Vom, Nigeria), approval numbers AEC/03/21/15 and AEC/03/56/18.  
101 Oral consent was obtained from dog owners before faecal samples were collected.

102

## 103 **2.3 Parasitological procedure**

104 Fresh faecal samples were subjected to simple standing faecal floatation to screen for  
105 parasite eggs with saturated sodium chloride solution (S.G. 1.20) according to Soulsby  
106 (1982). Slides were analysed under an optical microscope (10x and 40x) for microscopic  
107 identification of parasite eggs based on morphological keys (Soulsby, 1982).

108

## 109 **2.4 Molecular procedures**

110 DNA was extracted from hookworm-positive faecal samples (150 mg each) using the  
111 ISOLATE Faecal DNA Kit (Bioline Sydney, Australia) according to the manufacturer's  
112 instructions. Final elution of DNA was made in 100 µl.

113

114 The multiplex qPCR for the characterization of the canine hookworms from faeces was  
115 performed according to Massetti et al. (2020).  
116  
117 Synthetic double stranded DNA fragments (gBlocks® Gene Fragments, IDT®  
118 Technologies, Skokie, Illinois, USA) containing individual sequence targets of each  
119 hookworm species and the genomic DNA of *U. stenocephala*, *A. braziliense*, *A. caninum*,  
120 and *A. ceylanicum* were used as positive controls. A four-channel Magnetic Induction  
121 Cycler (BioMolecular Systems, Sydney, Australia) was used for the amplification reaction,  
122 detection, and data analysis (micPCR software). The proportion of single-and mixed-species  
123 infections in dogs positive for hookworm eggs was calculated for each geographical region.

124

## 125 **2.5 Sensitivity of the multiplex qPCR**

126 Of the 218 samples positive for hookworm eggs on microscopy, 203 samples contained  
127 sufficient quantity of faeces to be subjected to qPCR. The diagnostic sensitivity of the  
128 qPCRs was assessed on 203 microscopy-positive samples using microscopy as a gold  
129 standard. These samples were shipped to the University of Melbourne for analysis. The  
130 diagnostic sensitivity was calculated as the number of hookworm samples found positive by  
131 qPCR (true positives) divided by the total number of microscopy positive samples (true  
132 positives + false negatives).

133

## 134 **2.6 Data analysis**

135 Analysis of the results was performed using Excel 2016 (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA)  
136 and the micPCR software (BioMolecular Systems, Sydney, Australia). Spatial analysis and

137 distribution maps were performed using QGIS (QGIS Development Team, 2019). The 95%  
138 confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated using the Wald method.

139

### 140 **3. Results**

141 The frequency and distribution of dogs positive for *Ancylostoma* spp. at microscopic  
142 evaluation (A) and for *A. caninum* (B), *A. braziliense* (C) and mixed species infections (D)  
143 by qPCR in Nigeria is shown in Fig. 1. Data on the distribution of dogs positive for  
144 hookworms in each region are listed in Table 1.

145 Of the 885 animals, a total of 218 dogs (24.6%, 95% CI 21.8-27.6) were positive for  
146 hookworm eggs by microscopic evaluation. The multiplex qPCR for the species of canine  
147 hookworms successfully amplified and characterized 199 of the 203 microscopy positive  
148 samples subjected to qPCR, demonstrating a diagnostic sensitivity of 98% (95% CI 95-  
149 99.4). Of these, *A. caninum* was the most common hookworm, detected in 81.3% (165/203,  
150 95% CI 75.3-86.1) of the microscopy-positive dogs, followed by *A. braziliense* which was  
151 recorded in 51.2% (104/203, 95% CI 44.4-58) of the microscopy-positive dogs. Single  
152 infections with *A. caninum* and *A. braziliense* were found in 46.7% (95/203, 95% CI 40.1-  
153 53.7) and 16.8% (34/203, 95% CI 12.2-22.5) of dogs respectively, and mixed infections  
154 with both hookworm species was recorded in 35.4% (70/203, 95% CI 28.3-41.3) of  
155 microscopy-positive dogs. *Uncinaria stenocephala* and *A. ceylanicum* were not detected in  
156 this study. Four of the 203 (2%; 95% CI 0.6-5.1) microscopy-positive samples did not  
157 yielded positive to hookworms on qPCR.

158 The reaction internal control (EHV) successfully amplified in all the 203 samples subjected  
159 to qPCR, excluding the presence of PCR inhibitors.

160

#### 161 **4. Discussion**

162 In this study, we provide comprehensive information on the occurrence and species distribution of  
163 zoonotic hookworms infecting dogs in Nigeria. Further, we assessed the suitability of the newly  
164 developed high-throughput multiplex qPCR assays for the detection of *A. braziliense* for  
165 epidemiological investigations.

166

167 Based on classical parasitological methods, 24.6% of dogs from Nigeria were diagnosed with  
168 hookworm eggs in their faeces. Previous reports identified canine hookworms in 14-70% of dogs  
169 in Nigeria through microscopy-based methods, with *A. caninum* (14-70%) being the most  
170 common hookworm, followed by *A. braziliense* (12.8%) and *U. stenocephala* (0.4-2.5%; Anene  
171 et al., 1996; Abraham & Gloria, 2009, Edosomwan & Chinweuba, 2012; Idika et al., 2017; Moro  
172 & Abah, 2019; Mustapha et al., 2016; Sowemimo, 2009). However, the characterization of  
173 these species of canine hookworms relied solely on the morphological identification of the  
174 eggs without any further molecular evidence.

175

176 Recently a high-throughput qPCR developed to accurately detect and characterize species of  
177 canine hookworms (Masseti et al., 2020) was analytically and diagnostically validated for the  
178 simultaneous detection of *A. caninum*, *A. ceylanicum*, *U. stenocephala* and *A. braziliense*. This  
179 novel multiplex qPCR was further validated with field samples for all canine hookworm species  
180 but *A. braziliense* due to a lack of occurrence of this hookworm species in the previous  
181 geographical areas investigated. Nevertheless, the qPCR showed a high analytical sensitivity for  
182 *A. braziliense* being able to detect up to 0.00058 ng of genomic DNA. In the present study, we

183 detected *A. braziliense* in 51.2% of the microscopy-positive samples, demonstrating the  
184 reliability of this assay to detect this hookworm species in field samples. The diagnostic  
185 sensitivity of the assay compared to faecal floatation and microscopy was 98%, similar to that of  
186 Massetti et al. 2020 (i.e. 97%).

187 Only microscopy-positive samples were available to be subjected to qPCR analysis, therefore the  
188 diagnostic specificity of the assay could not be assessed. Furthermore, given that the prevalence  
189 of canine hookworms was estimated solely on microscopy, it is likely that this study might have  
190 underestimated the true prevalence of these parasites (Hii et al., 2018; Massetti et al., 2020). The  
191 characterisation of the canine hookworm species by qPCR was only estimated for 203 of the  
192 218 microscopy-positive samples received by University of Melbourne, therefore the true  
193 proportion of hookworm species in dogs may be negligibly different. A small number of  
194 samples were qPCR-negative and microscopy positive as a likely result of human error in  
195 identifying hookworm eggs at microscopy or slightly lower sensitivity of the qPCR  
196 compared to the microscopy. However, further studies are required to assess the analytical  
197 sensitivity (limit of detections) of the qPCR against the intensity of hookworm eggs in  
198 faeces. The reaction internal control (Equine Herpes Virus) successfully amplified with  
199 consistent cycle threshold values in all the reactions, thereby excluding PCR inhibition as a  
200 cause of false negative results.

201 Through the application of this novel multiplex qPCR assay we confirmed the presence of the  
202 zoonotic hookworm *A. braziliense* in Nigerian dogs. This hookworm species was previously  
203 reported from dogs in Nigeria in microscopy-based investigation (Abraham & Gloria, 2009;  
204 Nwoha & Ekwuruike, 2010). However, morphological identification of the eggs alone is  
205 insufficient to characterise hookworms at species level (Lucio-Forster et al., 2012). Therefore,

206 in this study, we confirm the occurrence of *A. braziliense* in its canine reservoir host in Nigeria  
207 using a highly specific molecular-based assay.

208

209 Although *A. caninum* was the most common hookworm infecting dogs in Nigeria (81.3% of the  
210 hookworm microscopy-positive samples), *A. braziliense* was detected in more than half (51.2%)  
211 of the microscopy positive samples. Several cases of human cutaneous larva migrants (CLM)  
212 have been described in Nigeria from locals or travellers who presented serpiginous and itchy skin  
213 lesions after returning from holidays (Ogunbanjo and Edungbola, 1989; Obunge and Onyejebu,  
214 2008; Perez Lopez et al., 2017). Since infections are usually associated with people walking  
215 barefoot and lying or sitting in areas where soil is contaminated with dog faeces (Schantz, 2002),  
216 an increase in the dog population in regions endemic for zoonotic agents may also result in an  
217 increased risk of infection for humans (Chen et al., 2012; Colella et al., 2020). Therefore, the  
218 steady increase in the number of dogs in Nigeria - where these animals are also used for security,  
219 breeding and hunting purposes - may also represent an emerging zoonotic threat, exacerbated by  
220 poverty and poor hygienic conditions (Oboegbulem & Nwakonobi, 1989; Hambolu et al., 2014).  
221 Further, the risk for zoonotic infections may be favoured by the lack of awareness of Nigerian  
222 dog owners on the zoonotic risks posed by parasites (Ugbomoiko et al., 2008).

223

## 224 **5. Conclusion**

225 This study demonstrates that *A. braziliense* is endemic in Nigeria and poses a potential zoonotic  
226 threat to locals and travellers. The multiplex qPCR was demonstrated to be a valid and accurate  
227 tool for the surveillance of hookworms on a large scale and for the diagnosis and characterization  
228 of *A. braziliense* and *A. caninum* under field conditions.

229

230 **Conflict of interest statement**

231 The authors have no financial, personal or professional interests that could be construed to have  
232 influenced the here presented work.

233

234 **Data availability statement**

235 All data are available within this manuscript.

236

237 **References**

238 Abraham, G. I., & Gloria, P. (2009). Prevalence of Gastrointestinal Parasites and their impact in

239 Domestic animals in Vom, Nigeria. *Saudi Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences*.

240 <https://doi.org/10.21276/sjmps.2017.3.3.16>

241 Anene, B. M., Nnaji, T. O., & Chime, A. B. (1996). Intestinal parasitic infections of dogs in the

242 Nsukka area of Enugu State, Nigeria. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*.

243 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-5877\(95\)00527-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-5877(95)00527-7)

244 Ayinmode, A. B., Obebe, O. O., & Olayemi, E. (2016). Prevalence of potentially zoonotic

245 gastrointestinal parasites in canine faeces in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Ghana Medical Journal*, 50(4),

246 201–206. <https://doi.org/10.4314/gmj.v50i4.2>

247 Beaver, P. C. (1956). Larva migrans. *Experimental Parasitology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/0014->

248 [4894\(56\)90032-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-4894(56)90032-7)

249 Brenner, M. A., & Patel, M. B. (2003). Cutaneous larva migrans: The creeping eruption. *Cutis*.

250 Chen, J., Xu, M. J., Zhou, D. H., Song, H. Q., Wang, C. R., & Zhu, X. Q. (2012). Canine and feline

251 parasitic zoonoses in China. *Parasites and Vectors*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-5-152>

252 Colella, V., Nguyen, V. L., Tan, D. Y., Lu, N., Fang, F., Zhijuan, Y., ... Halos, L. (2020). Zoonotic  
253 Vectorborne Pathogens and Ectoparasites of Dogs and Cats in Eastern and Southeast Asia.  
254 *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 26(6), 1221–1233. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2606.191832>

255 Dove, W. E. (1932). Further studies on *Ancylostoma braziliense* and the etiology of creeping  
256 eruption. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 15(3), 664–711.  
257 <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a117837>

258 Edosomwan, E. U., & Chinweuba, C. R. (2012). A survey on helminth parasites of dogs in Benin  
259 city, Edo State, Nigeria . *Journal of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Health*, 4(4), 56–60.  
260 <https://doi.org/10.5897/JVMAH11.027>

261 Furtado, L. F. V., Dias, L. T. de O., Rodrigues, T. de O., Silva, V. J. da, Oliveira, V. N. G. M. de,  
262 & Rabelo, É. M. L. (2020). Egg genotyping reveals the possibility of patent *Ancylostoma*  
263 *caninum* infection in human intestine. *Scientific Reports*. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-59874-8)  
264 [020-59874-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-59874-8)

265 Hambolu, S. E., Dzikwi, A. A., Kwaga, J. K. P., Kazeem, H. M., Umoh, J. U., & Hambolu, D. A.  
266 (2014). Dog ecology and population studies in Lagos State, Nigeria. *Global Journal of Health*  
267 *Science*. <https://doi.org/10.5539/gjhs.v6n2p209>

268 Hii, S. F., Senevirathna, D., Llewellyn, S., Inpankaew, T., Odermatt, P., Khieu, V., ... Traub, R.  
269 J. (2018). Development and evaluation of a multiplex quantitative real-time polymerase chain  
270 reaction for hookworm species in human stool. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and*  
271 *Hygiene*, 99(5), 1186–1193. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.18-0276>

272 Idika, I. K., Onuorah, E. C., Obi, C. F., Umeakuana, P. U., Nwosu, C. O., Onah, D. N., & Chiejina,  
273 S. N. (2017). Prevalence of gastrointestinal helminth infections of dog in Enugu State, South  
274 Eastern Nigeria. *Parasite Epidemiology and Control*, 2(3), 97–104.

275 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parepi.2017.05.004>

276 Lamb, J., Napier, M., & Mukaratirwa, S. (2012). PCR-based identification reveals unique Southern  
277 African internal transcribed spacer (ITS) haplotypes of hookworms (*Ancylostoma*) of dogs  
278 from the Durban metropole, South Africa. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, *11*(8), 2099–  
279 2106. <https://doi.org/10.5897/ajb11.3065>

280 Lucio-Forster, A., Liotta, J. L., Yaros, J. P., Briggs, K. R., Mohammed, H. O., & Bowman, D. D.  
281 (2012). Morphological Differentiation of Eggs of *Ancylostoma caninum*, *Ancylostoma*  
282 *tubaeforme*, and *Ancylostoma braziliense* From Dogs and Cats in the United States. *Journal*  
283 *of Parasitology*. <https://doi.org/10.1645/ge-2928.1>

284 Massetti, L., Colella, V., Zendejas, P. A., Ng-Nguyen, D., Harriott, L., Marwedel, L., ... Traub,  
285 R. J. (2020). High-throughput multiplex qPCRs for the surveillance of zoonotic species of  
286 canine hookworms. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *14*(6), e0008392.  
287 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0008392>

288 Merino-Tejedor, A., Nejsum, P., Mkupasi, E. M. M., Johansen, M. V. V., & Olsen, A. (2018).  
289 Molecular identification of zoonotic hookworm species in dog faeces from Tanzania. *Journal*  
290 *of Helminthology*, *93*(3), 313–318. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X18000263>

291 Moro, K. K., & Abah, A. E. (2019). ad. *Veterinary and Animal Science*, *7*, 100045.  
292 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vas.2018.100045>

293 Mulinge, E., Njenga, S. M., Odongo, D., Magambo, J., Zeyhle, E., Mbae, C., ... Romig, T. (2020).  
294 Molecular identification of zoonotic hookworms in dogs from four counties of Kenya.  
295 *Journal of Helminthology*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X1900018X>

296 Mustapha, F. ., Balami, S. ., Malgwi, S. ., Adamu, S. ., & Wakil, Y. (2016). Prevalence of  
297 Gastrointestinal Parasites of Hunting Dogs in Maiduguri, Borno state, Nigeria. *IOSR Journal*

298 *of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*. <https://doi.org/10.9790/2380-0908013942>

299 Ngcamphalala, P. I., Lamb, J., & Mukaratirwa, S. (2019). Molecular identification of hookworm  
300 isolates from stray dogs, humans and selected wildlife from South Africa. *Journal of*  
301 *Helminthology*, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X19000130>

302 Nwoha, R., & Ekwuruike, J. (2010). Prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites in dogs from Umuahia  
303 City of Abia State | Global Journal of Medical Sciences. *GLOBAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL*  
304 *SCIENCES*, 9(1&2), 35–42. Retrieved from  
305 <https://www.ajol.info/index.php/gjms/article/view/79168>

306 Oboegbulem, S. I., & Nwakonobi, I. E. (1989). Population density and ecology of dogs in Nigeria:  
307 a pilot study. In *Rev. sci. tech. Off. int. Epiz* (Vol. 8).

308 Obunge, O., & Onyejepu, N. (2008). Cutaneous larva migrans in an eleven-year-old boy in an  
309 urban setting in Rivers State. *Port Harcourt Medical Journal*, 3(1), 108–110.  
310 <https://doi.org/10.4314/phmedj.v3i1.38953>

311 Ogunbanjo, B. O., & Edungbola, L. D. (1989). Outbreak of Cutaneous Larva Migrans in a Family  
312 Household - PubMed. *Trop Geogr Med*, 41(1), 66–68. Retrieved from  
313 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/2763349/>

314 Okoye, I. C., Obiezue, N. R., Okorie, C. E., & Ofoezie, I. E. (2011). Epidemiology of intestinal  
315 helminth parasites in stray dogs from markets in south-eastern Nigeria. *Journal of*  
316 *Helminthology*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X10000738>

317 Perez Lopez, I., Martinez Lopez, A., Bueno Rodriguez, A. M., Aguayo Carreras, P., & Garrido  
318 Colmenero, C. (2017). Cutaneous larva migrans, roads on the skin. *Journal of the American*  
319 *Academy of Dermatology*, 76(6), AB89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2017.04.361>

320 Schantz, P. M. (2002). Parasitic zoonoses in perspective. *International Journal for Parasitology*.

321 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-7519\(91\)90006-s](https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-7519(91)90006-s)

322 Soulsby, E. J. L. (1982). Helminths, arthropods and protozoa of domesticated animals. Seventh  
323 edition. In B. Tindal (Ed.), *Sixth edition of Monnig's veterinary helminthology and*  
324 *entomology* (7th editio). London, UK.

325 Sowemimo, O. A. (2009). The prevalence and intensity of gastrointestinal parasites of dogs in Ile-  
326 Ife, Nigeria. *Journal of Helminthology*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X08067229>

327 Stracke, K., Jex, A. R., & Traub, R. J. (2020). Zoonotic Ancylostomiasis: An Update of a  
328 Continually Neglected Zoonosis. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*.  
329 <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.20-0060>

330 Traub, R. J. (2013). Ancylostoma ceylanicum, a re-emerging but neglected parasitic zoonosis.  
331 *International Journal for Parasitology*, 43(12–13), 1009–1015.  
332 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2013.07.006>

333 Traub, R. J., Robertson, I. D., Irwin, P., Mencke, N., & Thompson, R. C. A. (2004). Application  
334 of a species-specific PCR-RFLP to identify Ancylostoma eggs directly from canine faeces.  
335 *Veterinary Parasitology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2004.05.026>

336 Ugbomoiko, U. S., Ariza, L., & Heukelbach, J. (2008). Parasites of importance for human health  
337 in Nigerian dogs: high prevalence and limited knowledge of pet owners. *BMC Veterinary*  
338 *Research*, 4(1), 49. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1746-6148-4-49>

339

## 340 **Figure Legend**

341 **Figure 1.** Distribution of dogs positive for hookworms by microscopy (A) and for *Ancylostoma*  
342 *caninum* (B) *Ancylostoma braziliense* (C) and mixed-species infections by qPCR in Nigeria.

343

344 **Table 1.** Distribution of *Ancylostoma* spp. positive dogs in each of the Nigerian states  
345 sampled.

State	Sample size (%)	Hookworm microscopy positive (%; CI 95%)	<i>Ancylostoma braziliense</i> * (%; CI 95%)	<i>Ancylostoma caninum</i> * (%; CI 95%)	Mixed hookworm species infections (%; CI 95%)
Plateau	64 (7.2)	19 (29.7; 19.9-41.8)	2 (3.1; 0.23-11.3)	10 (15.6; 8.5-26.6)	4 (6.3; 2-15.4)
Benue	26 (2.9)	2 (7.7; 1-2.5)	1 (3.9; 0.01-20.4)	0	1 (3.9; 0-20.5)
Federal Capital Territory	146 (16.5)	18 (12.3; 7.8- 18.7)	1 (0.68; 0.014.2)	12 (8.22; 4.6-13.9)	4 (2.7; 0.8-7.1)
Kaduna	111 (12.5)	18 (12.3; 7.8- 18.7)	7 (6.3; 2.9-12.7)	6 (5.4; 2.2-11.5)	5 (4.5; 1.7-10.4)
Oyo	21 (2.4)	7 (33.3; 17-54.8)	0	5 (23.8; 10.2-45.5)	2 (9.5; 1.5-3)
Lagos	119 (13.4)	16 (13.4; 8.3-20.8)	0	9 (7.6; 3.9-13.9)	4 (3.4; 1-8.6)
Borno	103 (11.6)	8 (7.8; 3.8-14.8)	0	0	8 (7.8; 3.8-14.8)
Bauchi	65 (7.3)	55 (84.6; 73.8-91.6)	15 (23.1; 14.4-34.8)	6(9.2; 4-19)	26 (40; 29-52.1)
Kebbi	74 (8.4)	33 (44.6; 33.8-55.9)	8 (10.8-5.3-20.2)	5(6.8; 2.6-15.2)	16 (21.6; 13.7-32.4)
Akwa Ibom	60 (6.8)	31 (51.7; 39.3-63.8)	0	31 (51.7; 38.3- 63.8)	0
Abia	42 (4.7)	5 (11.9; 4.7-25.5)	0	5 (11.9; 4.7-25.5)	0
Rivers	54 (6.1)	6 (11.1; 4.8-22.6)	0	6 (11.1; 4.8-27.3)	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>885</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>70</b>

\* Single species infection