

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Pahlevan Sharif, S;Amiri, M;Allen, KA;Sharif Nia, H;Khoshnavay Fomani, F;Hatef Matbue, Y;Goudarzian, AH;Arefi, S;Yaghoobzadeh, A;Waheed, H

Title:

Attachment: the mediating role of hope, religiosity, and life satisfaction in older adults

Date:

2021-12-01

Citation:

Pahlevan Sharif, S., Amiri, M., Allen, K. A., Sharif Nia, H., Khoshnavay Fomani, F., Hatef Matbue, Y., Goudarzian, A. H., Arefi, S., Yaghoobzadeh, A. & Waheed, H. (2021). Attachment: the mediating role of hope, religiosity, and life satisfaction in older adults. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 19 (1), <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12955-021-01695-y>.

Persistent Link:

<https://hdl.handle.net/11343/277849>

License:

CC BY

RESEARCH

Open Access



Attachment: the mediating role of hope, religiosity, and life satisfaction in older adults

Saeed Pahlevan Sharif¹, Mohammadreza Amiri^{2,12}, Kelly-Ann Allen^{3,11}, Hamid Sharif Nia⁴,
Fatemeh Khoshnavay Fomani⁵, Yasaman Hatef Matbue⁶, Amir Hossein Goudarzian⁷, Sedigheh Arefi⁸,
Ameneh Yaghoobzadeh^{9*}  and Hassam Waheed¹⁰

Abstract

Background: Attachment and support from family and friends are core to the experiences of ageing for older adults. The purpose of this study is to examine the relationships between attachment styles and hope, religiosity, and life satisfaction and provide new knowledge that may assist future planning for a rapidly ageing global population.

Methods: In this cross-sectional study, 504 Iranian older adult participants from Qazvin province were recruited between December 2015 and April 2016. They completed a questionnaire that included the Revised Adult Attachment Scale, the Life Satisfaction Index-Z, and the Herth Hope Index.

Results: Participants in the study had a mean age of 66.20 years (SD: 5.76) and most of them were women (57.5%). A mediation model testing the direct relationships between attachment, hope, religiosity, and life satisfaction showed a positive relationship between close attachment and religiosity ($\beta = .226, p < .001$) and a negative relationship between anxiety attachment and religiosity ($\beta = -.229, p < .001$). Religiosity was positively related to hope ($\beta = .384, p < .01$) and hope was related to life satisfaction ($\beta = .448, p < .001$). Religiosity and hope mediated the relationship between close attachment ($\beta = .119, p < .001$) and anxiety attachment ($\beta = -.056, p < .01$) with life satisfaction. More specifically, while religiosity and hope fully mediated the relationship between close attachment and life satisfaction, they partially mediated the attachment anxiety-life satisfaction link.

Conclusions: Findings of the study provide insight into only a narrow perspective of life satisfaction and attachment given the many and varied variables that influence these constructs. Future research is needed whereby other related variables are introduced into the model to be examined further.

Keywords: Attachment, Hope, Life satisfaction, Religiosity, Older adults, ageing

Introduction

For older individuals, aged 65 years and over, attachment to and support from family and friends are important factors that may influence their quality of life (QOL) [1]. However, attachment theories also state that each individual's attachment style can affect their perception of their QOL [2]. The aim of the current study was to investigate the relationship between attachment styles

with hope, religiosity, and life satisfaction among Iranian elders. These three variables were chosen for this study as all three have significant impact on the perception of QOL in Iran, specifically, and in other cultures, generally.

In Iran, the population of elderly people has been increasing annually and changes in the family (roles and structure) have led people to experience psychological distress in this age group. Considering the marked increase in the elderly population in Iran and the impact of attachment styles in this age group, assessment of the factors associated with attachment and life satisfaction among the older adults is important. In a study of

*Correspondence: a.yaghoobzadeh@yahoo.com

⁹ Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2021. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Iranian elders, Yazdani-Charati et al. [3] concluded that happiness, self-esteem, and self-care behaviors predicted a strong attachment to family and friends. Moreover, attachment styles affected their attitude and feelings towards themselves, the changes related to ageing, and their socio-cultural relationships [4]. Adults who lack perceived attachment pattern are more likely to feel lonely and experience depression compared to others [3,5].

Hope is defined as the ability to see a desirable outcome as a genuine possibility [6]. Adults in older age groups tend to be less hopeful and satisfied with their lives compared to younger individuals [7]. Findings of McGill, Paul [8] indicated that when physical health status diminished in older age, feelings of hope also diminish. A person's ability to be hopeful ultimately influences life satisfaction [9]. Snyder suggests that attachments formed in early life can predict levels of hope later in life. Healthy relationships contribute to an individuals' capacity to focus on goal-directed thoughts and general hopefulness [10]. When a person experiences grief for example, social support from peers has been found to be beneficial [11]. Hope has been identified as an essential element in effective psychological interventions. Facilitating hope in a therapeutic way involves constructing goals in the context of a collaborative therapeutic relationship [12]. Older adults have a greater risk of psychological problems due to various agents (e.g. physical changes, loss of social support) compared to other age groups [13].

Although debate exists surrounding the differences between religiosity and spirituality, scholars unanimously agree that both constructs have some impact on individual's attitudes, behaviors, and well-being [14]. In addition, religious practices (i.e., social or individual) encourage spiritual growth in adults [14] while beliefs about religion can inform the nature of attachments one may have with others [15]. Religious and spiritual beliefs have been found to have important implications for an individual's ability to cope with adversity, which in turn has positive implications for physical and mental health [8]. Previous studies have shown that religion is positively related to happiness and reduced stress [16,17]. This is more evident in non-western countries as religion can play a more significant role in the cultural and social aspects of life for people living in those countries [18]. In the case of Iranian elders, studies have determined that religious beliefs can reduce death anxiety [19] and can be an essential aspect of an older adult's sense of power [20]. Religion has also been found to facilitate feelings of hope towards the future [21,22]. It seems that by boosting hope, life satisfaction is improved [23,24].

Life satisfaction is defined as the cognitive appraisal of one's satisfaction with life as a whole [25]. Kelley-Gillespie, Farley [26] found that older adults and their families had a higher QOL and life satisfaction once they moved from a nursing home to an assisted living facility. This finding provides insights into other significant factors that may influence older adult's satisfaction in life (for example, independence, increased social support) [27]. A decline in social connections and physical functioning are the most widely reported negative aspects of ageing among the older age population [28]. Research indicates that individuals tend to interpret their life situation in terms of their own ageing process. This means that ageing can be considered a unique experience to each individual, often related to their attachment style.

The attachment styles explored in the current study include: close (or secure), dependant/avoidant, and anxious. A close attachment style, which represents a secure attachment, is defined as the degree of comfort and intimacy that individuals perceive from an established relationship [2]. It is possible that the care and nurturing that people with a secure attachment style may have received facilitates them to have a more positive view toward themselves and others. As a result, they may have a greater sense of self-worth and trust in others compared to those without a secure attachment style. They may also be more equipped to establish a positive attitude towards social relationships [29].

The second attachment style investigated in the current study is dependant/avoidant. A dependant style is defined as the extent to which people feel comfortable depending on others and having partners depend on them [31]. While, people with an avoidant attachment style often avoid intimacy and closeness with others. Social communication, particularly in respect to discussing emotions, can be challenging for people with avoidant-type attachment [29,30]. When facing stressful situations, people with avoidant attachment can dismiss problems and suppress their emotions which can minimize the support they receive from, and provide to, others [29].

The third attachment style observed in this study is anxious. People with an anxious attachment style may show great respect for others, while not having a positive view of themselves [29]. This kind of attachment style in older adults has been speculated to have genetic roots and also be driven by interactions with people who use both punitive and nurturing methods of care. These people could be considered to be between two states of being loved and rejected, and they can be skeptical about their sense of self-worth. As a result, older adults with anxious attachment styles can be overly reliant on the acceptance and approval of others. They can also be overly concerned about what other people think

about them and, as a consequence, be reluctant to seek help [29].

Older adults with secure attachment have been found to have higher social status, while those with avoidant attachment styles report higher scores in shyness, emotional instability, intensity of emotion, anger, and humiliation [31]. Loss of attachment with loved ones or loss of significant attachments can lead to prolonged distress and disability [32]. These issues, which may result from mental health problems, are associated with reduced cognitive capacity related to the ability to solve problems and process information [33]. In a review of published research about attachment styles in Iran, Yazdani-Charati et al. [3] showed that insecure attachment style was related to an increased number of problems among older adults. Older individuals with secure attachment styles living at home had better health status compared to those living in nursing homes who experienced more anxiety, chronic disease, and sleep problems. Rezaei, Abdolahi, Akbari Balootbangan, Kheirkhahan [4] showed the significant differences among older adults living in nursing homes and sanatoriums with avoidant, secure and anxiously attachment styles. Avoidant and anxious attachments of older adults in sanatoriums were higher than older adults living at home who reported higher secure attachment scores.

Various studies have examined the relationship between secure attachment style and hope. Simmons et al. [34] found that secure attachment style has a positive and significant relationship with trust and hope among adults. It was also found that those who have a secure attachment style through internal regulatory mechanisms are more flexible and constructive in their inter-personal relationships, and are able to build trust-based relationships and find ways to reach valuable goals in their lives. Based on the definition of hope, one can expect people with this style to be more interested in attachment with others, more hopeful and have more positive attitudes towards their goals. Previous researches on nurses [34], and students [12] found a significant relationship between attachment and hope. Other studies suggest that people with secure attachment are less likely to develop symptoms of depression [35,36], and therefore, will be more hopeful.

In relation to older adults, studies show that secure attachment styles can be a predictor of happiness [37], and happiness and hope in old age are related constructs [38]. Studies on the relationship between hope and attachment in healthy older adults are limited. In a sample, that compared older adults with mild cognitive impairment (MCI), mild dementia and moderate dementia with healthy participants, the healthy older

adults had higher spiritual well-being, social support, self-esteem, life satisfaction, positive affect, optimism and hope scores, and lower negative affect scores compared to those in the other group [39]. A randomized control study by Wu and Koo [40] found that a 6-week spiritual reminiscence intervention positively affected hope, life satisfaction, and spiritual well-being of older adult patients with mild or moderate dementia. Older adults who experience more life dissatisfaction, hopelessness, and helplessness may be at increased risk of depression and suicide [41].

Kirkpatrick [42] found that people with secure attachment had significantly higher scores than those with avoidant styles for religiosity. In addition, those with an avoidant attachment style were more likely to describe themselves as impious. According to Kirkpatrick [42], there are many factors influencing religious phenomena that cannot be justified by attachment styles only.

Life satisfaction is a cognitive evaluation of one's own life as a whole [43]. Several studies confirm that a safe and secure attachment style increases satisfaction in life [44,45]. Attachment styles affect a range of behaviors in older age groups, such as adaptation to chronic diseases, processes related to grief and loss, and overall life satisfaction [46]. Thompson and Ciechanowski [47] found that with increasing the advancement of age, secure attachment becomes one of the existential characteristics of an older adult. People with a secure attachment style have a more positive life. They also experience more empathy and support than others which leads to health promotion and satisfaction in all aspects of the individual's life [48].

To date, the current study is the first to analyze the different variables associated with life satisfaction and the mediating effects of religiosity and hope among older adults. The purpose of this study is to investigate the relationship of attachment with hope, religiosity, and life satisfaction. In view of this article's goals, the hypotheses are that there will be a positive relationship between the secure attachment style and each variable and a negative relationship between avoidant and anxious attachment styles and each variable. The study will also examine the possible relationship between the variables of hope and religiosity with life satisfaction to see if there is a mediating affect.

Materials and methods

Data source

According to the results of power analysis using G*Power version 3.1.9.6, considering alpha of 0.05, power of 0.95, and effect size f^2 of 0.04 with five predictors, the minimum required sample size in this cross-sectional study

was 501 samples. Thus, the sample size of 504 participants which were recruited from Qazvin province between December 2015 and April 2016 was sufficient. A non-probability sampling method was used for data gathering. The inclusion criteria for participation in the study included participants who were: (1) willing to participate in the research; (2) aged 60 years and over; (3) aware of time and place; (4) able to communicate; and (5) able to respond to questionnaires. The participants included in this study were from health centers, clinics and public places (i.e. parks, culture centers). Participants who reported experiencing extreme stress in the past month and those with psychological problems were excluded. All participants were given fifteen minutes to finish the questionnaires and support was available to those participants who experienced difficulties with literacy.

Measures

The questionnaire consists of four parts: (1) Demographic information; (2) Adult Attachment Scale Revised (RAAS); (3) Life Satisfaction Index Z (LISZ); and (4) Herth Hope Index (HHI).

Adult Attachment Scale Revised (RAAS). The RAAS contains three subscales, each composed of six items. The three subscales are *close* (items 1, 6, 8, 12, 13, 17), *depend* (items 2, 5, 7, 14, 16, 18), and *anxiety* (items 3, 4, 9, 10, 11, 15). Items 2, 7, 8, 13, 16, 17, and 18 have reverse scores. The close scale measures the extent to which a person is comfortable with closeness and intimacy. The depend scale measures the extent to which a person feels they can depend on others to be available when needed. The anxiety attachment subscale measures the extent to which a person is worried about being rejected or unloved [49–51]. The reliability ($\alpha=0.72$ to 0.84) and validity of a translated version of this scale has been demonstrated in the Persian language [52]. As this version has not been used in Iran, the authors used content and face validity to validate it further. The Persian version of RAAS was evaluated by 10 experts (4 practitioner nurses, 2 nursing doctorates, 2 psychiatrists, and 2 clinical psychologists). The experts were asked to assess and comment on the wording, item allocation, and scaling of the items. They provided feedback regarding discrepancies found in certain items between the English and the Persian versions. Based on their comments, a final translation was created. Reliability was also assessed using Cronbach's alpha which was reported to be acceptable ($\alpha=0.71$ to 0.79).

Life Satisfaction Index Z (LISZ). The LISZ is a shortened version of the Life Satisfaction Index A [53] with 13 items. The total score ranges from 0 to 26, with a higher score indicating higher overall life satisfaction [54]. The

validity and reliability of this index has been demonstrated among older adults in Iran [55]. Cronbach's alpha was 0.78 in the present study.

Herth Hope Index (HHI). The HHI is a 12-item abbreviated version of the Herth Hope Scale (HHS) measuring multidimensional aspects of hope based upon Dufault and Martocchio's (1985) conceptual framework. It uses a 4-point Likert scale to assess the participant's level of hope. The total HHI score ranges from 12 to 48 with higher scores corresponding to higher levels of hope [56]. The HHI has been used in studies worldwide with individuals experiencing varied health conditions in both hospital and community settings. To ensure the correct translation of the questionnaires, content validity was assessed. The reliability ($\alpha=0.856$ and 0.878) and validity of this scale has been demonstrated in the Persian language [57,58].

Religiosity. The measure of religiosity was adapted and simplified from empirically validated survey scales developed by nursing researchers to prevent potential burden to respondents due to their age [59]. Participants were asked to rate each item in the questionnaire using a 10-point Likert-type scale. For example, participants were required to evaluate the strength of their religious belief from 1 to 10 (1 = the weakest, 10 = the strongest).

Statistical analysis

SPSS version 20 was used to summarize socio-demographic characteristics of the participants. Categorical variables and continuous variables were summarized using frequencies and percentages as well as mean and standard deviations (SD), respectively. The missing data were replaced using the mean imputation method [60]. The relationship between the research variables (i.e. the three dimensions of adult attachment, religiosity, hope, and life satisfaction) were examined by conducting Pearson correlation analysis.

The current study followed the two-step approach suggested by Hayes (2013) to investigate the research serial multiple mediation model [61]. First, the direct relationships between the three dimensions of adult attachment (close, depended, and anxiety) and life satisfaction without including religiosity and hope were tested (total effects model). Second, the mediators (i.e. religiosity and hope) were added to the model to develop a serial multiple mediation model (mediation effects model). In this model, close, dependent, and anxiety attachment as antecedent variables were modeled influencing life satisfaction directly as well as indirectly through the two mediators including religiosity and hope. The model was assessed using AMOS version 24 software. All path coefficients were estimated using maximum likelihood method based on the multivariate normality of

observable variables and their significance was assessed using a bootstrapping with 2000 replications [62]. Next, the standard error of the indirect relationships was estimated by conducting the bootstrapping approach [60]. Bootstrapping is more accurate and has higher statistical power than Baron and Kenny (1986) and Sobel (1982) approaches [63,64]. The coefficient of determination (R^2) was computed to assess how well the model explains the outcome.

Results

Table 1 presents the demographic characteristics of participants in the study. The mean age for men in the study was 69.50 (SD: 0.50) and 66.24 (SD: 0.37) for women. Most of the participants were women (57.5%). Among the participants, 386 (76.6%) were married and 300 (59.5%) had guidance (or middle school) education level. Other descriptive statistics are presented in Table 1.

Table 2 shows the results of conducting Pearson correlation analysis and descriptive statistics. Close had a significant positive relationship with religiosity ($r=0.127$,

$p<0.01$), hope ($r=0.234$, $p<0.01$), and life satisfaction ($r=0.094$, $p<0.05$). Anxiety attachment was negatively correlated with religiosity ($r=-0.160$, $p<0.01$) and life satisfaction ($r=-0.138$, $p<0.01$). However, this study could not find any significant relationship between anxiety attachment and hope ($r=-0.051$, $p=0.255$). Moreover, no significant association was found between depend with religiosity ($r=-0.045$, $p=0.318$), hope ($r=-0.022$, $p=0.622$), and life satisfaction ($r=-0.073$, $p=0.103$).

Following Hayes (2013), this study assessed the developed serial multiple mediation model and tested the research hypotheses by conducting bootstrapping with 2000 replications [61]. Standardized path coefficients of total and mediation effects models and their 95% confidence intervals are shown in Fig. 1 and reported in Table 3 as well. The results of assessing the total effect model showed a significant relationship between close ($\beta=0.184$, $p<0.01$) and anxiety attachment ($\beta=-0.185$, $p<0.001$) with life satisfaction. However, the results could not support the relationship between depend and life satisfaction ($\beta=-0.056$, $p=0.320$). The results allowed this study to test the serial multiple mediation model for the relationship between close and anxiety attachment with life satisfaction. The results of testing the direct relationships of the mediation model effect showed a positive relationship between close and religiosity ($\beta=0.226$, $p<0.001$). Also, a negative relationship between anxiety attachment and religiosity ($\beta=-0.229$, $p<0.001$) was found. Religiosity was positively related to hope ($\beta=0.384$, $p<0.01$) and hope was related to life satisfaction ($\beta=0.448$, $p<0.001$). However, this study failed to support the association between depend and religiosity ($\beta=-0.025$, $p=0.697$). Religiosity and hope mediated the relationship between close ($\beta=0.119$, $p<0.001$) and anxiety attachment ($\beta=-0.056$, $p<0.01$) with life satisfaction. More specifically, while religiosity and hope fully mediated the relationship between close and life satisfaction, they partially mediated the anxiety-life satisfaction link. This was because the direct association between close and life satisfaction in the mediation effects model


Table 1 Demographic profiles of respondents

Variables	N (%)	Variables	N (%)
Sex		Present socio-economic status	
Male	214 (42.5%)	Poor	85 (16.9%)
Female	290 (57.5%)	Intermediate	334 (66.3%)
Age	66.20 (5.76)	Good	85 (16.8%)
Marital status		Main income resources	
Single	27 (5.4%)	Personal	170 (33.7%)
Married	386 (76.5%)	Family	77 (15.3%)
Widow/divorced	91 (18.1%)	Pension	257 (51%)
Educational status		Relative visiting	
Illiterate	119 (23.6%)	Sometimes	253 (50.2%)
Guidance	300 (59.5%)	Often	157 (31.2%)
Diploma	56 (11.1%)	Very much	94 (18.6%)
Collegiate	29 (5.8%)	Emotional support	
Present living place		Family	475 (94.2%)
Personal	463 (91.9%)	Friends and colleagues	29 (5.8%)
Children	41 (8.1%)		

Table 2 Correlation analysis results

	Mean	SD	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
1. Close	3.117	0.391	.356**	.387**	.127**	.234**	.094*
2. Depend	3.003	0.433		.438**	-.045 ^{ns}	.022 ^{ns}	-.073 ^{ns}
3. Anxiety	2.777	0.693			-.160**	-.051 ^{ns}	-.138**
4. Religiosity	23.512	3.403				.420**	.149**
5. Hope	35.663	5.284					.438**
6. Life satisfaction	40.238	6.380					

^{ns} $p \geq .05$, * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, two-tailed tests



was not significant ($\beta = 0.065$, $p = 0.189$) whereas the link between anxiety and life satisfaction was significant ($\beta = -0.129$, $p < 0.01$). Finally, this study could not support the indirect relationship between depend and life satisfaction through religiosity and hope ($\beta = -0.004$, $p = 0.865$). The model explained 6.5% of the variance of religiosity, 21.6% of the variance of hope, and 21.3% of the variance of life satisfaction.

Discussion

The aim of the current study was to investigate the relationship between attachment with hope, religiosity, and life satisfaction among older adults living in Iran. One of the main results of the present study was the direct relationship of close attachment with religiosity, hope, and life satisfaction. The current research presents the first data regarding the role of hope, life satisfaction, and religiosity as the mediators of older adult's close and anxious attachment styles.

The current study aligns with previous research in finding a positive correlation between secure (i.e., close) attachment styles and hope and a negative correlation

between anxious attachment styles and hope. While happiness is identified as a predictor of hope, studies have revealed that it is the main unmet need in Iranian older adults [65,66]. According to the *World Happiness Report* in 2020, Iran ranked 118 out of 153 based on the countries included in the study, reporting an average score of 4.67, below the global average of 5.48 [67]. Further, studies in Iran have been determined a high prevalence of mental health problems in older adults that have resulted from care neglect and rejection [68]. This might be one reason why hope has been identified as an important factor predicting positive perceptions of ageing in older adults in Iran [9], and their ability to cope with challenges and threats to their well-being [69].

The present study identified a positive correlation between a close and secure attachment style and life satisfaction, communication with family members, and receiving support from them. This finding also aligns with previous research. About 70% of older adults in the present study have been in recent contact with their relatives. Also, about 95% of them had family support. The current finding could be a reflection of cultural norms

Table 3 Direct, indirect, and total effects

Path	Standardized path coefficients	95% confidence level	
		Lower bound	Upper bound
<i>Total effects</i>			
Close → Life satisfaction	.184**	−.300	−.058
Depend → Life satisfaction	−.056 ^{ns}	−.161	.055
Anxiety → Life satisfaction	−.185***	.085	.287
<i>Direct effects</i>			
Close → Religiosity	.226***	.129	.330
Depend → Religiosity	−.025 ^{ns}	−.129	.086
Anxiety → Religiosity	−.229***	−.322	−.131
Close → Hope	.215***	.112	.300
Depend → Hope	−.004 ^{ns}	−.094	.085
Anxiety → Hope	−.074 ^{ns}	−.162	.018
Close → Life satisfaction	.065 ^{ns}	−.032	.164
Depend → Life satisfaction	−.051 ^{ns}	−.151	.050
Anxiety → Life satisfaction	−.129*	−.235	−.011
Religiosity → Hope	.384**	.284	.461
Religiosity → Life satisfaction	−.072 ^{ns}	−.153	.029
Hope → Life satisfaction	.448***	.356	.522
<i>Indirect effects</i>			
Close → Life satisfaction	.119***	.073	.170
Depend → Life satisfaction	−.004 ^{ns}	−.047	.039
Anxiety → Life satisfaction	−.056**	−.101	−.014

^{ns} $p \geq .05$, * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$, two-tailed tests

and expectations of the Iranian population. As is the case for traditional families, Iranian individuals' life can be centred around family relationships. Imanzadeh & Hamrahzade in their phenomenological study found that visiting relatives was an important way of improving quality of life in older adults [70]. Studies show that frequent visits, especially with family members and receiving social support, facilitates happiness, promotes mental health, and ultimately leads to increased satisfaction with life [71,72].

The results of the study showed that anxious attachment style has a reverse and significant relationship with attachment to religion and life satisfaction as expected from previous research. Also, results of previous studies have highlighted the positive relationship between religion and life satisfaction. Krause, Hayward [73] found that the expression of feelings and emotions during worship for those who have religious beliefs predicts the relationship between religion and life satisfaction. Some research has showed that negative attitudes toward religion is negatively associated with life satisfaction in China and Vietnam [74–76].

One of the most important results of the present study is the effect of introducing the two variables of religion

and hope in the model as a mediator. Comparing the value between the two models shows that adding religion and hope in the model leads to a better understanding of the relationship between attachment styles and life satisfaction.

The results of this study demonstrate that there is an inverse association between the dependant/avoidant attachment style and hope, religion, and life satisfaction variables, but this correlation is not statistically significant.

Limitations

This study had some limitations. Data collection tools may have offered some challenges for the older aged participants of the study. It is unclear whether the participants answered honestly or whether they had a good overall understanding of the items in the questionnaires (as nearly 25% presented with difficulties with literacy). Another limitation of the current study is that the participant group was drawn from the residents of the same city, thus, the present results cannot be generalized to a larger older adult population in Iran. Despite these limitations, this study provides useful insights into the attachment

styles of older adults in Iran, especially considering that research in this area is limited.

Future directions

Quantitative analysis focused on attachment, hope, life satisfaction, and religiosity is recommended for future research. Moreover, other factors (i.e. education, past occupation, housing, inequality) that may have affected the main variables of the current research should be assessed in future studies. Case studies may also shed light on the perceptions of attachment among older adults. An important finding from the study was the role of hope and religiosity for life satisfaction in the participants, which holds merit for clinical practice of nurses, psychologists and other health care professionals. Future research may then focus on how hope and religiosity can be used as an intervention to increase life satisfaction in older people and investigate how this may affect their overall physical and mental well-being.

Implications

The psychological variables identified in this study together with the overall findings have implications for health practitioners in identifying interventions to improve the mental health status and QOL of the older age population. Future research is needed whereby other related variables (e.g., sense of belonging, happiness, meaningful life) are introduced into the model of the present study.

Conclusions

Based on the results of this study, religiosity was positively related to hope and hope was positively related to life satisfaction. Religiosity and hope mediated the relationship between close and anxious attachment styles with life satisfaction. Since many variables affect both life satisfaction and attachment styles, it is suggested that future research is needed.

Abbreviations

QOL: Quality of Life; MCI: Mild Cognitive Impairment; RAAS: Adult Attachment Scale Revised; LISZ: Life Satisfaction Index Z; HHI: Herth Hope Index; SD: Standard Deviation.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the patients who participated in this study.

Authors' contributions

SPSH, MA, HSHN, AY participated in the design of the study and performed the statistical analysis. FKF, HSHN, AY, KAA conceptualized of the study, and participated in its design and coordination, and helped to draft the manuscript. HSHN and SPSH, AHG, AY, KAA, YHM participated in the critical review of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The project was supported by Mazandaran University of Medical sciences (Sari, Iran).

Availability of data and materials

Available data are presented in the manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by Mazandaran University's Medical Sciences Ethics Committee (IR.MAZUMS.REC.1398.4773). Participants were informed about the study aims and procedures (e.g., that participation was voluntary and wouldn't affect medical care) before signing an informed consent document. All personal data were de-identified by assigning codes to the participants.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹ Taylor's Business School, Taylor's University, No.1, Jalan Taylor's, 47500 Subang Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia. ² School of Pharmacy, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Taylor's University, 47500 Subang Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia. ³ The Faculty of Education, Monash University, Clayton, Australia. ⁴ School of Nursing and Midwifery Amol, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran. ⁵ School of Nursing and Midwifery, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ⁶ Qazvin University of Medical Sciences, Qazvin, Iran. ⁷ Student Research Committee, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran. ⁸ Faculty of Medical Sciences, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran. ⁹ Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ¹⁰ Faculty of Business and Law, Taylor's University, Subang Jaya, Malaysia. ¹¹ The Centre for Positive Psychology, Melbourne Graduate School of Education, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Australia. ¹² Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, St. Michael's Hospital, Unity Health Toronto, Toronto, Canada.

Received: 25 April 2019 Accepted: 28 January 2021

Published online: 15 February 2021

References

- Schorch M, Wan L, Randall DW, Wulf V. Designing for those who are overlooked: Insider perspectives on care practices and cooperative work of elderly informal caregivers. Paper presented at: Proceedings of the 19th ACM conference on computer-supported cooperative work and social computing 2016.
- Collins NL, Read SJ. Adult attachment, working models, and relationship quality in dating couples. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 1990;58(4):644.
- Yazdani Charati J, Ahmadi Khatir M, Ehteram-Alsadat Ilali E-A, Azimi LH. Attachment styles in elderly and related factors: a review. *Clin Excell*. 2017;6(2):1–11.
- Rezaei A, Abdolahi M, Akbari Balootbangan A, Kheirkhahan N. Comparison of attachment styles among elderly living at home and nursing home: a case study: semnan. *J Geriatr Nurs*. 2013;1(2):9–21.
- Sedigh Arfaei F, Rahimi H, Ghodusi Z. Relationship between attachment styles and mental health among nurses. *Iran J Nurs*. 2014;27(88):11–21.
- Kwong JM. What is hope? *Eur J Philos*. 2019;27(1):243–54.
- Bailey TC, Snyder C. Satisfaction with life and hope: a look at age and marital status. *Psychol Rec*. 2007;57(2):233–40.
- McGill JS, Paul PB. Functional status and hope in elderly people with and without cancer. *Oncol Nurs Forum*. 1993;20(8):1207–13.
- Yaghoobzadeh A, Gorgulu O, Yee B-L, et al. A model of aging perception in Iranian elders with effects of hope, life satisfaction, and socioeconomic status: a path analysis. *J Am Psychiatr Nurses Assoc*. 2018;24(6):522–30.
- Blake J, Norton CL. Examining the relationship between hope and attachment: a meta-analysis. *Psychology*. 2014;5(6):556–65.
- Dyregrov K, Dyregrov A, Johnsen I. Positive and negative experiences from grief group participation: a qualitative study. *Omega (Westport)*. 2013;68(1):45–62.

12. Jankowski PJ, Sandage SJ. Meditative prayer, hope, adult attachment, and forgiveness: a proposed model. *Psychol Relig Spirit*. 2011;3(2):115.
13. Rutherford B, Karp J, Taylor WD, Lavretsky H. Influences of biological aging on the psychopharmacologic management of late-life depression. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2018;26(3):S42.
14. King JE, Crowther MR. The measurement of religiosity and spirituality. *J Organ Change Manag*. 2004;17:83–101.
15. Schmidt SD, Blank TO, Bellizzi KM, Park CL. The relationship of coping strategies, social support, and attachment style with posttraumatic growth in cancer survivors. *J Health Psychol*. 2012;17(7):1033–40.
16. Rizvi MAK, Hossain MZ. Relationship between religious belief and happiness: a systematic literature review. *J Relig Health*. 2017;56(5):1561–82.
17. Cohen AB, Johnson KA. The relation between religion and well-being. *Appl Res Qual Life*. 2017;12(3):533–47.
18. Parker CG. Popular religions and multiple modernities: a non-western perspective. In: April W, editor. *Culture and identity*. London: IntechOpen; 2018. p. 91–112.
19. Dadfar M, Bahrami F, Noghabi FS, Askari M. Relationship between religious spiritual well-being and death anxiety in Iranian elders. *Int J Med Res Health Sci*. 2016;5(6):283–7.
20. Ravanipour M, Salehi S, Taleghani F, Abedi H, Schuurmans M. Power in Iranian elders: barriers and facilitators. *Psychogeriatrics Polska*. 2008;5:114–21.
21. Sarofim S, Cabano FG. In God we hope, in ads we believe: the influence of religion on hope, perceived ad credibility, and purchase behavior. *Mark Lett*. 2018;29:391–404.
22. DiPierro M, Fite PJ, Johnson-Motoyama M. The role of religion and spirituality in the association between hope and anxiety in a sample of latino youth. Paper presented at: Child & Youth Care Forum; 2018.
23. Du H, Bernardo AB, Yeung SS. Locus-of-hope and life satisfaction: the mediating roles of personal self-esteem and relational self-esteem. *Pers Individ Differ*. 2015;83:228–33.
24. Dwivedi A, Rastogi R. Future time perspective, hope and life satisfaction: a study on emerging adulthood. *Jindal J Bus Res*. 2016;5(1):17–25.
25. Diener E. Assessing subjective well-being: progress and opportunities. Berlin: Springer; 2009. p. 25–65.
26. Kelley-Gillespie N, Farley OW. The effect of housing on perceptions of quality of life of older adults participating in a medicaid long-term care demonstration project. *J Gerontol Soc Work*. 2007;49(3):205–28.
27. Mudrazija S, Angel JL, Cipin I, Smolic S. Living alone in the United States and Europe: the impact of public support on the independence of older adults. *Res Aging*. 2020;42(5–6):150–62.
28. Westerhof GJ. The personal experience of aging. Multidimensionality and multidirectionality in relation to successful aging and well-being. *Tijdschr Gerontol Geriatr*. 2003;34(3):96–103.
29. Bartholomew K, Horowitz LM. Attachment styles among young adults: a test of a four-category model. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 1991;61(2):226.
30. Waters E, Crowell J, Elliott M, Corcoran D, Treboux D. Bowlby's secure base theory and the social/personality psychology of attachment styles: work (s) in progress. *Attach Hum Dev*. 2002;4(2):230–42.
31. Magai C, Consedine N, Gillespie M, O'Neal C, Vilker R. The differential roles of early emotion socialization and adult attachment in adult emotional experience: testing a mediator hypothesis. *Attach Hum Dev*. 2004;6(4):389–417.
32. Parkes CM. Love and loss: the roots of grief and its complications. Abingdon: Routledge; 2013.
33. Caplan G. Loss, stress, and mental health. *Commun Ment Health J*. 1990;26(1):27–48.
34. Simmons BL, Gooty J, Nelson DL, Little LM. Secure attachment: Implications for hope, trust, burnout, and performance. *J Organ Behav*. 2009;30(2):233–47.
35. Dagnino P, Carola P, Gómez A, Gloger S, Krause M. Depression and attachment: how do personality styles and social support influence this relation? *Res Psychother Psychopathol Process Outcome*. 2017;20:237.
36. Spruit A, Goos L, Weenink N, et al. The relation between attachment and depression in children and adolescents: a multilevel meta-analysis. *Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev*. 2020;23(1):54–69.
37. Homan KJ. Secure attachment and eudaimonic well-being in late adulthood: the mediating role of self-compassion. *Aging Mental Health*. 2018;22(3):363–70.
38. Jamalzadeh R, Golzari M. The effectiveness of hope therapy on increasing happiness and life satisfaction among elderly women residing in nursing home of borujen. *Socil Women (J Woman Soc)*. 2014;5:31–48.
39. dos Santos SB, Rocha GP, Fernandez LL, de Padua AC, Reppold CT. Association of lower spiritual well-being, social support, self-esteem, subjective well-being, optimism and hope scores with mild cognitive impairment and mild dementia. *Front Psychol*. 2018;9:371.
40. Wu LF, Koo M. Randomized controlled trial of a six-week spiritual reminiscence intervention on hope, life satisfaction, and spiritual well-being in elderly with mild and moderate dementia. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2016;31(2):120–7.
41. Gómez-Restrepo C, Rodríguez MN, Díaz N, Cano C, Tamayo N. Depression and life satisfaction in people over 60 years old in the city of Bogotá: survey of health, wellbeing and aging (SABE). *Rev Colomb Psiquiatr*. 2013;42:65–70.
42. Kirkpatrick LA. Attachment and religious representations and behavior. 1999.
43. Shin DC, Johnson DM. Avowed happiness as an overall assessment of the quality of life. *Soc Indic Res*. 1978;5(1–4):475–92.
44. Frederick DA, Sandhu G, Morse PJ, Swami V. Correlates of appearance and weight satisfaction in a US national sample: personality, attachment style, television viewing, self-esteem, and life satisfaction. *Body Image*. 2016;17:191–203.
45. Guarnieri S, Smorti M, Tani F. Attachment relationships and life satisfaction during emerging adulthood. *Soc Indic Res*. 2015;121(3):833–47.
46. Milberg A, Friedrichsen M. Attachment figures when death is approaching: a study applying attachment theory to adult patients' and family members' experiences during palliative home care. *Support Care Cancer*. 2017;25(7):2267–74.
47. Thompson D, Ciechanowski PS. Attaching a new understanding to the patient-physician relationship in family practice. *J Am Board Fam Pract*. 2003;16(3):219–26.
48. Bodner E, Bergman Y, Cohen-Fridel S. Do attachment styles affect the presence and search for meaning in life? *J Happiness Stud*. 2014;15(5):1041–59.
49. Collins NL, Read S. Revised adult attachment scale. Unpublished instrument, scoring instructions and reliability information, Department of Psychology, University of California, Santa Barbara. 1996.
50. Wu W, Zhang W, Liu X. The reliability and validity of adult attachment scale (AAS-1996 revised edition): a report on its application in China. *J Sichuan Univ Med Sci Ed*. 2004;35(4):536–8.
51. Collins NL. Working models of attachment: Implications for explanation, emotion, and behavior. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 1996;71(4):810.
52. Saaidian Asl M, Khanzadeh M, Hasani J, Edrisi F. Factor structure and psychometric properties of the persian version of state adult attachment scale (SAAS). *J Res Psychol Health*. 2012;6(1):66–78.
53. Neugarten BL, Havighurst RJ, Tobin SS. The measurement of life satisfaction. *J Gerontol*. 1961;16:134–43.
54. Wood V, Wylie ML, Sheafor B. An analysis of a short self-report measure of life satisfaction: correlation with rater judgments. *J Gerontol*. 1969;24(4):465–9.
55. Tagharrobi Z, Tagharrobi L, Sharifi K, et al. XML Psychometric evaluation of the Life Satisfaction Index-Z (LSI-Z) in an Iranian elderly sample. 2011.
56. Herth K. Abbreviated instrument to measure hope: development and psychometric evaluation. *J Adv Nurs*. 1992;17(10):1251–9.
57. Soleimani M, Allen K, Herth K, Sharif S. The Herth Hope Index: a validation study within a sample of Iranian patients with heart disease. *Soc Health Behav*. 2019;2(3):108–13.
58. Yaghoobzadeh A, Pahlevan Sharif S, Ong FS, et al. Cross-cultural adaptation and psychometric evaluation of the Herth Hope Index within a sample of Iranian older peoples. *Int J Aging Hum Dev*. 2019;89(4):356–71.
59. Yaghoobzadeh A, Soleimani MA, Allen KA, Chan YH, Herth KA. Relationship between spiritual well-being and hope in patients with cardiovascular disease. *J Relig Health*. 2018;57(3):938–50.
60. Pahlevan Sharif S, Mahdavian V. Structural equation modeling by the use of AMOS. Tehran: Bishe Publications; 2015.
61. Hayes A. Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis: a regression-based approach (Guilford, New York). 2013.
62. Sharif SP, Mostafiz I, Gupta V. A systematic review of structural equation modelling in nursing research. *Nurse Res*. 2018;26(2):28–31.

63. Baron RM, Kenny DA. The moderator–mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 1986;51(6):1173.
64. Sobel ME. Asymptotic confidence intervals for indirect effects in structural equation models. *Sociol Methodol*. 1982;13:290–312.
65. Amini-Rarani M, Nosratabadi M. Assessing the met and unmet needs among elderly people in Isfahan, Iran: a mixed method. *J Gerontol Geriatr*. 2019;67:195–9.
66. Mohammadi Mehr M, Zamani-Alavijeh F, Hasanzadeh A, Fasihi T. Effect of healthy lifestyle educational programs on happiness and life satisfaction in the elderly: a randomized controlled trial study. *Iran J Ageing*. 2019;13(4):440–51.
67. Helliwell JF, Huang H, Wang S. Happiness and the Quality of Government. National Bureau of Economic Research; 2020.
68. Abdi A, Tarjoman A, Borji M. Prevalence of elder abuse in Iran: a Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Asian J Psychiatry*. 2019;39:120–7.
69. Javadi-Pashaki N, Mohammadi F, Jafaraghaee F, Mehrdad N. Keeping up with the caravan of life: successful aging strategies for Iranian women. *Int J Qual Stud Health Well-being*. 2015;10(1):29500.
70. Imanzadeh A, Hamrahzdeh M. Identification of facilitators and deterrents of the quality of life in elderly women and men: a phenomenological research. *Iran J Ageing*. 2018;12(4):430–45.
71. Chatters LM, Taylor RJ, Woodward AT, Nicklett EJ. Social support from church and family members and depressive symptoms among older African Americans. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2015;23(6):559–67.
72. Liu L, Gou Z, Zuo J. Social support mediates loneliness and depression in elderly people. *J Health Psychol*. 2016;21(5):750–8.
73. Krause N, Hayward RD. Emotional expressiveness during worship services and life satisfaction: assessing the influence of race and religious affiliation. *Mental Health Relig Cult*. 2013;16(8):813–31.
74. Tran TQ, Nguyen TQ, Van Vu H, Doan TT. Religiosity and subjective well-being among old people: evidence from a transitional country. *Appl Res Qual Life*. 2017;12(4):947–62.
75. Tran TQ, Nguyen CV, Van Vu H. Does economic inequality affect the quality of life of older people in rural Vietnam? *J Happiness Stud*. 2018;19(3):781–99.
76. Tran TQ, Van Vu H. A microeconomic analysis of housing and life satisfaction among the Vietnamese elderly. *Qual Quant*. 2018;52(2):849–67.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

