



Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Lau, LH;Cliff, ERS;Wong, V;Wong, H;Torkamani, N;Eer, A;Weickhardt, A;Grossmann, M

Title:

Hypocalcaemia following denosumab in prostate cancer: A clinical review

Date:

2020-06-01

Citation:

Lau, L. H., Cliff, E. R. S., Wong, V., Wong, H., Torkamani, N., Eer, A., Weickhardt, A. & Grossmann, M. (2020). Hypocalcaemia following denosumab in prostate cancer: A clinical review. *Clinical Endocrinology*, 92 (6), pp.495-502. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cen.14169>.

Persistent Link:

<https://hdl.handle.net/11343/275418>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

DR LIK-HUI LAU (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-9323-5655)

DR MATHIS GROSSMANN (Orcid ID : 0000-0001-8261-3457)

Article type : 5 Unsolicited Review

Hypocalcaemia following denosumab in prostate cancer: a clinical review

Short title: Hypocalcaemia after denosumab in prostate cancer

Lik-Hui Lau¹, Edward R.S. Cliff², Vanessa Wong³, Henry Wong¹, Niloufar Torkamani¹,
Audrey Eer¹, Andrew Weickhardt³, Mathis Grossmann^{1,4}

¹Department of Endocrinology, Austin Health, Melbourne, Australia

²Royal Melbourne Hospital, Melbourne Australia

³Department of Oncology, Austin Health, Melbourne, Australia

⁴Department of Medicine-Austin Health, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia

Word Count: Summary 249; Main text 2,673; Table 1, Figure 1.

Supplementary Material: Tables 1, Figures 3.

Correspondence: Dr Mathis Grossmann

Department of Medicine, Austin Health, University of Melbourne, 145 Studley Road,
Heidelberg, VIC 3084, Australia

Email mathisg@unimelb.edu.au

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1111/cen.14169](https://doi.org/10.1111/cen.14169)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

28 Disclosures: Lik-Hui Lau, Edward Cliff, Vanessa Wong, Henry Wong, Niloufar Torkamani,
29 Audrey Eer, Andrew Weickhardt and Mathis Grossmann declare that they have no conflict of
30 interest relevant to this article.

31

32

33 **Summary**

34 Objective: Denosumab is often used in men with advanced prostate cancer to prevent skeletal
35 related events, but can be associated with severe hypocalcaemia. Our objective was to review
36 the pathophysiology, identify risk factors and provide recommendations for prevention and
37 management of denosumab-associated hypocalcaemia.

38 Design: We reviewed the literature regarding denosumab-associated severe hypocalcaemia,
39 defined as necessitating hospitalization for intravenous calcium treatment, in the context of
40 prostate cancer.

41 Results: We identified 20 men with prostate cancer with severe denosumab-associated
42 hypocalcemia, including the present case. Median age (range) was 70years (45-86). All had
43 skeletal metastases and presented with symptomatic hypocalcemia 16 days (4-35) after the
44 initial (n=18) or second (n=2) denosumab treatment, with a serum total calcium of
45 1.36mmol/L (1.13-1.91). The key risk factor was presence of active osteoblastic metastases,
46 evidenced by elevated serum alkaline phosphatase, 838U/L (58-2,620) and supportive
47 imaging. Other risk factors reported in some men included vitamin D deficiency
48 (<50nmol/L), 25-OH vitamin D 44nmol/L (22-81), renal impairment, serum creatinine
49 103µmol/L (62-1,131), and hypomagnesaemia, 0.82mmol/L (0.29-1.20). Men received
50 intravenous calcium infusions for 16 days (1-90), and median total intravenous elemental
51 calcium requirements were 3.17g (0.47-26.65).

52 Conclusions: Denosumab treatment in men with metastatic prostate cancer can be associated
53 with life-threatening hypocalcaemia requiring prolonged hospitalization for intravenous
54 calcium treatment. Modifiable risk factors should be corrected before denosumab
55 administration. In men with active osteoblastic metastases, consideration should be given to
56 delay denosumab treatment until underlying disease activity is controlled, and/or be
57 administered with close monitoring and proactive treatment with calcium and calcitriol.

58 Keywords: Hypocalcaemia, prostate cancer, denosumab, consumption hypocalcaemia, risk
59 factors, pathophysiology, treatment

60

61 **Introduction**

62 Prostate cancer is the second most common cancer and the fifth leading cause of mortality
63 among men worldwide¹. Skeletal metastases are common in advanced disease² and can result
64 in skeletal-related events (SRE) such as pathologic fractures, spinal cord compression and
65 pain necessitating radiotherapy or surgery to bone. Treatment with denosumab, a receptor
66 activator of nuclear factor-kappa β ligand (RANKL) inhibitor, reduces SRE in men with
67 castrate-resistant prostate cancer³. At standard doses, denosumab is more effective than
68 zoledronic acid⁴, with a lower SRE incidence (risk ratio 0.84) and a delayed onset to first
69 SRE (risk ratio 0.83) reported in a systematic review³. The oncologic benefit of denosumab is
70 considered to be due to its more potent suppression of bone remodeling compared to
71 zoledronic acid^{3,5,6}, but this may also contribute to an increased risk of hypocalcaemia⁷.
72 Multiple phase 3 randomised clinical trials (RCT) trials comparing treatment with oncologic
73 dosing zoledronic acid (4mg monthly) to denosumab (120 mg monthly) in prostate cancer
74 and other solid organ malignancies have reported a higher risk of Common Terminology
75 Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) all-grade hypocalcaemia (serum calcium <2.1mmol/L)
76 with denosumab (9.6 – 13%) compared to zoledronic acid (3.9 – 6%)^{3,4,7-9}. The incidence of
77 all-grade hypocalcaemia was higher (14 – 39.6%) in observational studies¹⁰⁻¹². Median time
78 to hypocalcaemia was 3.8 months after denosumab compared to 6.5 months with zoledronic
79 acid in RCTs⁸. In observational studies, hypocalcaemia following denosumab occurred
80 between 7 and 25 days^{10,13,14} and almost all episodes occurred within the first 6 months⁹.
81 Patients with prostate cancer had a higher recurrence rate of hypocalcaemia with repeated
82 denosumab administration compared to other solid organ malignancies⁸. Most cases of
83 hypocalcaemia reported in these studies were mild and did not require intensive calcium
84 replacement. Severe denosumab-associated hypocalcaemia is, by comparison, relatively
85 uncommon but can be life-threatening.

86
87 In this article, we review the existing literature regarding severe denosumab-associated
88 hypocalcaemia, defined as necessitating hospitalisation for intravenous calcium treatment, in
89 the context of prostate cancer. We explore the underlying pathophysiology, identify risk
90 factors and provide recommendations for prevention and management.

91
92 PubMed and MEDLINE database searches were conducted to January 2020 using the terms
93 “hypocalcemia”, “denosumab”, “prostate cancer”, “risk factors”, “management”. The search
94 was supplemented by manual review of references listed within retrieved articles.

95

96 **Results**

97 *Index case*

98 We encountered an unusually severe case of denosumab-induced hypocalcaemia in a 59-
99 year-old man with recently diagnosed bone-metastatic prostate cancer necessitating a
100 prolonged hospital admission with ongoing intravenous calcium requirement despite high
101 dose oral treatment with calcitriol, vitamin D (as cholecalciferol) and calcium
102 supplementation. The case was notable for a unique combination of risk factors, foremost
103 extensive osteoblastic metastases evidenced by suggestive FDG-PET skeletal imaging
104 (**Supplementary Figure 1**), elevated bone density in the spine by DXA, T-score +4.4, and
105 marked elevations in baseline alkaline phosphatase (ALP), 1,348 IU/L [normal range 30-
106 110], and in procollagen type 1 N propeptide (P1NP), >1200 mcg/L [15-80], with pre-
107 existing borderline hypocalcaemia (total calcium 2.01 mmol/L, albumin-adjusted calcium
108 2.18 nmol/L) (**Supplementary Table 1**). This was compounded by untreated vitamin D
109 deficiency, serum 25 OH-vitamin D 23mol/L, and chemotherapy-related diarrhoea. There
110 was evidence of compensatory secondary hyperparathyroidism (**Supplementary Table 1**).
111 These factors likely contributed to a very early presentation with symptomatic hypocalcemia,
112 serum calcium 1.28 mmol/L, only 4 days after his first denosumab administration (120 mg),
113 with severe clinical features (**Supplementary Figure 2**), compared to a median of 16 days
114 (range 4 to 35) in the entire cohort (**Table 1**). Given his initially uncontrolled skeletal disease
115 activity, requirement for intravenous calcium treatment was prolonged, totaling 25 days,
116 despite concomitant high-dose oral calcium, vitamin D and calcitriol (maximum dose 4
117 mcg/day) administration (**Supplementary Figure 3**). The total dose of intravenous calcium
118 administered was 26.65g, the highest reported to date, compared to 0.47 to 7.44 g in previous
119 reports (**Table 1**). His C-terminal telopeptide of type 1 collagen (CTX) level was low-normal
120 on admission, 137 ng/L [normal range 100-600], consistent with rapid denosumab-associated
121 suppression of bone resorption (**Supplementary Table 1**). In contrast, bone formation (a
122 calcium-requiring process), based on the much slower decline in ALP and P1NP
123 (**Supplementary Figure 3**), remained high for several weeks due to lag in effectiveness of
124 chemotherapy and androgen deprivation therapy, explaining the ongoing large calcium
125 requirements. The reductions in ALP and P1NP, reflecting oncologic control of his skeletal
126 disease confirmed by loss of FDG avidity on PET imaging (**Supplementary Figure 1**),
127 mirrored the improvement in the hypocalcemia and ultimately allowed weaning and cessation
128 of intravenous calcium treatment (**Supplementary Figure 3**). As expected, subsequent doses

129 of denosumab, with concomitant oral calcium and calcitriol treatment, did not cause
130 hypocalcaemia (**Supplementary Figure 3**).

131

132 ***Summary of published case reports of severe denosumab associated hypocalcaemia***

133 We identified 20 published cases (including the previously unpublished index case) of severe
134 denosumab-induced hypocalcaemia in men with prostate cancer, defined as necessitating (as
135 determined by the treating physician) hospitalisation for intravenous calcium administration,
136 corresponding to CTCAE grade 3 and 4 (3=severe, hospitalization needed, 4= life-threatening
137 consequences; urgent intervention indicated), regardless of the serum calcium concentration
138 at presentation. All had skeletal metastases (**Table 1**)^{13,15-23}. Eighteen patients were older than
139 60 years, most had a relatively long history of prostate cancer, and some had received prior
140 bisphosphonate therapy. In the total cohort (n=20), median serum total calcium was 1.36
141 mmol/L (1.13 to 1.91) at presentation, occurring at a median of 16 days (4-35) after
142 denosumab administration. Ionised calcium, reported in four men, was 0.66 mmol/L (0.58-
143 0.94). Ionised calcium was not reported in the other 16 men (Table 1). Of note, 18 men
144 presented after their first, and two men after their second denosumab dose. ALP levels were
145 elevated, median 838 U/L (58-2,620), as were parathyroid hormone (PTH) levels, median
146 30.1 pmol/L (12.7- 128.6). Nine of the 20 patients had evidence of evidence of vitamin D
147 insufficiency (25 OH-vitamin D <50 nmol/L), and nine had evidence of renal impairment
148 (increased serum creatinine and/or reduced eGFR). Requirements for intravenous calcium
149 treatment were prolonged in some patients, with a median duration of 16 days (1-90) (**Table**
150 **1**).

151

152 Symptoms and signs attributable to hypocalcaemia were present in only seven of the 20
153 cases, and were restricted to features of neuromuscular irritability (spontaneous muscle
154 cramps/spasms, paraesthesias, and positive Trousseau's sign); two cases presented with
155 nonspecific lethargy/fatigue; no seizures were reported. In the remaining 13 cases, the
156 hypocalcaemia was asymptomatic/incidental. In seven of the nine men with available
157 electrocardiograms, the corrected QT interval was prolonged at presentation. Evidence of
158 malabsorption and/or diarrhoea was reported in three cases.

159

160

161 **Discussion**

162 ***Pathophysiology of prostate cancer-bone metastatic disease and its relationship with***
163 ***calcium metabolism***

164 Prostate cancer is typically associated with predominantly osteoblastic skeletal metastases^{2,24}.
165 Prostate cancer cells residing in the prostate or in the metastasis microenvironment release
166 bone remodeling and growth factors that result in a net increase in bone formation^{2,24,25}
167 (**Figure 1**). Extensive osteoblastic activity can lead to consumption hypocalcaemia even in
168 the absence of anti-resorptive treatment, with rates reaching up to 9 – 33% in retrospective
169 studies²⁶⁻³¹. A compensatory increase in PTH leads to increased bone resorption via
170 osteoclast activation to maintain a low-normal serum calcium²⁶. Denosumab, via inhibition of
171 RANKL³² potently inhibits this compensatory osteoclast activity, leading to an inhibition of
172 calcium release from bone, and consequently to marked hypocalcaemia (**Figure 1**). In the
173 context of osteoblastic metastases, due to discordance between rapid new bone formation and
174 its slow mineralisation, the calcium deficit can be severe, requiring prolonged intravenous
175 calcium administration (**Table 1**), especially in the context of insufficient oncologic skeletal
176 disease control.

177

178 ***Pharmacology of denosumab***

179 Denosumab is metabolised by the reticuloendothelial system³³ and not dependent on renal or
180 hepatic clearance^{34,35}. The volume of distribution is approximately 2.6L/66kg body
181 weight^{34,35}. Denosumab demonstrates dose-dependent, non-linear pharmacokinetics across a
182 wide range of doses. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics do not differ across tumour
183 types and are independent of concomitant cancer therapies³⁶.

184

185 While bone remodeling markers are reduced within 24 hours after denosumab administration,
186 the maximum serum drug level is reached in 7 to 21 days and peak osteoclast suppression
187 usually occurs within the first 2 weeks of treatment^{36,37}. Effect duration generally increases
188 with increasing dose and frequency^{36,37}. Mean half-life is 29 days (range 25-35 days) in
189 patients receiving 4 weekly injections of 120mg denosumab³⁶. Steady state is achieved by 6
190 months in patients receiving 120mg every 4 weeks³⁸.

191

192 ***Risk factors for developing hypocalcaemia***

193 ***Increased bone remodeling due to active skeletal disease***

194 High bone remodeling increases the risk of denosumab-associated hypocalcaemia occurring
195 even if dosed for osteoporosis treatment (60 mg 6-monthly)^{39,40}. In prostate cancer, elevated

196 bone remodeling markers reflect the activity of skeletal metastases^{31,41}, and patients with
197 active osteoblastic metastases are reliant on increased bone resorption to maintain
198 normocalcaemia^{39,40} (**Figure 1**). Pre-clinical data have shown that administration of
199 osteoclast inhibitors to ovariectomised monkeys increased calcium absorption by
200 unmineralized osteoid, with consequent reductions serum calcium⁴². Of note, the importance
201 of bone resorption in maintaining serum calcium in men with uncontrolled osteoblastic
202 metastases from prostate cancer was first recognised in the 1980s, when it was reported that
203 even treatment with oestrogen, a weakly anti-resorptive agent, can trigger frank
204 hypocalcaemia^{43,44}.

205

206 In observational studies of men with metastatic prostate cancer, high baseline bone
207 remodeling was consistently associated with a higher incidence and severity, and a more
208 rapid development of denosumab-associated hypocalcaemia^{8,10,13,26,45,46}. This suggests that
209 uncontrolled underlying osteoblastic disease activity is a major, and intuitively modifiable
210 risk factor for denosumab-associated hypocalcemia (*see prevention and treatment below*).

211

212 *Vitamin D deficiency*

213 Vitamin D is required to enhance dietary calcium absorption, calcium mobilisation from bone
214 and calcium reabsorption from the kidney⁴⁷. Vitamin D deficiency leads to a reduction in
215 gastrointestinal calcium absorption by up to 50%^{48,49}. Of note, vitamin D deficiency alone
216 can lead to elevations in PTH and increased bone remodelling. Moreover, intestinal
217 malabsorption, reported in three of the 20 patients in this case series, is associated with
218 vitamin deficiency, and can further reduce intestinal calcium absorption. Not surprisingly,
219 vitamin D deficiency is associated with an increased incidence of hypocalcaemia following
220 intravenous bisphosphonates⁵⁰ or denosumab in patients with and without skeletal metastases
221 from malignancy¹³.

222

223 *Chronic kidney disease*

224 Chronic kidney disease (CKD, especially stages 4 and 5), poses a significant risk factor for
225 hypocalcaemia through multiple mechanisms including reduction in renal 1 α -hydroxylase
226 activity resulting in reduced activation of 25-hydroxyvitamin D to 1,25 di-hydroxyvitamin D,
227 elevated FGF-23-associated suppression of PTH⁵¹, and hyperphosphataemia leading to
228 increased calcium crystallisation^{49,52}.

229

230 Observational studies have reported an increased incidence of hypocalcaemia in patients with
231 CKD receiving denosumab (60mg 6-monthly), up to 42 – 69% in those receiving
232 haemodialysis^{37,53-55}. Patients with CKD may also be treated with cinacalcet which can
233 further increase susceptibility to denosumab-associated hypocalcaemia^{53,56}

234
235 In a post-hoc analysis of three RCTs administering denosumab 120mg monthly for the
236 treatment of skeletal metastases, hypocalcaemia occurred with increasing incidence with
237 reducing renal function⁸. One retrospective study of 22 patients with a creatinine clearance
238 (CrCl) <30mL/min receiving denosumab 120mg monthly for the prevention of SRE in
239 metastatic renal or breast cancer reported a 45% incidence of all-grade hypocalcaemia and a
240 14% incidence of grade 3 and 4 hypocalcaemia within 6 months of treatment⁵⁷ while another
241 study reported that all patients with a CrCl <30mL/min developed grade 2 or worse
242 hypocalcaemia³⁷.

243
244 Although the pharmacokinetics of denosumab are not altered in CKD, caution is advised; the
245 product information of Xgeva® (denosumab 120mg) warns of a higher risk of hypocalcaemia
246 in patients with a CrCl <30mL/min³⁸. While the use of calcitriol in the treatment of
247 denosumab-associated hypocalcaemia has been reported in case reports (Table 1), whether
248 hypocalcaemia can be prevented by proactive calcitriol administration has not been
249 systematically studied.

250 251 *Hypomagnesaemia*

252 Magnesium is important for the synthesis and release of PTH⁵⁸, acting as a cofactor to
253 calcium sensing receptors on the parathyroid gland to regulate PTH secretion. Low
254 magnesium levels suppress PTH release and affect PTH action at target organs such as the
255 bone and kidney⁵⁹. Chronic hypomagnesaemia produces a functional state of PTH resistance.
256 Poor nutrition, diarrhoea and diuretics are associated with hypomagnesaemia. In some case
257 reports, hypomagnesaemia has been documented and may have contributed to the
258 development of hypocalcaemia (**Table 1**).

259 260 *Prior bisphosphonate use*

261 Denosumab is a potent anti-resorptive and can cause further suppression of bone resorption in
262 patients previously treated with bisphosphonates^{5,6}. While intuitively, prior antiresorptive
263 treatment could increase the risk of hypocalcaemia, the data on denosumab after

264 bisphosphonates are inconsistent with some studies reporting an increased risk of
265 hypocalcaemia while others do not^{5,13,60}. However, these studies are difficult to interpret due
266 to lack of randomization and lack of accounting for differences in underlying skeletal disease
267 activity.

268

269 ***Prevention of denosumab-associated hypocalcaemia***

270 *Disease control*

271 Given that the risk of severe denosumab-associated hypocalcaemia is substantially dependent
272 on the degree of skeletal osteoblastic metastatic activity, non-urgent denosumab treatment
273 should be postponed until skeletal disease is controlled by oncologic therapy (e.g.
274 chemotherapy, androgen deprivation therapy). Following denosumab administration, close
275 proactive monitoring for hypocalcaemia within the first few weeks and empirical calcitriol
276 and calcium supplementation is recommended in at-risk patients.

277

278 *Correction of other modifiable risk factors*

279 While evidence specific to men with metastatic prostate cancer is not available, pre-existing
280 vitamin D deficiency and hypomagnesaemia should be corrected and renal function should be
281 optimised. The Xvega® product information recommends daily supplementation of at least
282 500mg calcium and 400 IU vitamin D³⁸.

283

284 The effect of vitamin D and calcium supplementation in preventing denosumab-associated
285 hypocalcaemia are variable with benefit reported in a post hoc analysis⁸, while other studies
286 showed no difference¹¹. In retrospective studies, 10-14% of patients developed
287 hypocalcaemia despite the prescription of vitamin D and calcium supplementation^{12,46}. In
288 these studies however, compliance with vitamin D and calcium supplementation was not
289 rigorously assessed. In men with CKD, addition of calcitriol treatment to vitamin D
290 supplementation may be considered⁶¹.

291

292 ***Treatment of denosumab-associated hypocalcaemia***

293 While guidelines specific to men with prostate cancer are lacking, the European Society of
294 Endocrinology recommends intravenous calcium treatment for patients with serum calcium
295 <1.9mmol/L and/or symptomatic hypocalcaemia⁶². The preferred intravenous calcium is
296 calcium gluconate (10mL [10% solution] = 1g calcium gluconate = 90mg elemental calcium
297 = 4.65mEq). One to two bolus infusions of 10mLs of calcium gluconate followed by a

298 continuous infusion with rates of up to 0.5 – 2.0mg elemental calcium/kg/hour or ~5 –
299 10mLs/hour are suggested ^{49,62,63}. Oral calcium, vitamin D and calcitriol should be
300 administered concurrently. Calcium carbonate and citrate are the two oral calcium of choice
301 as they contain the highest content of elemental calcium⁶⁴. Hypomagnesaemia is treated with
302 intravenous or oral magnesium supplementation in addition to stopping any precipitating
303 drugs^{49,65}. This is followed up by frequent monitoring of serum calcium levels and titration of
304 oral therapies.

305

306 ***Future considerations***

307 Denosumab 60mg given 6 monthly to men with non-metastatic prostate cancer increases
308 bone mineral density and reduces vertebral fracture incidence (RR 0.38 vs. placebo), with a
309 <0.1% incidence of hypocalcaemia⁶⁶. However, such men are at lower risk of developing
310 hypocalcaemia compared to those with skeletal metastases. There are currently no trials
311 examining whether lower dose denosumab maintains effectiveness in preventing SRE or is
312 associated with a lower risk of hypocalcaemia, in metastatic prostate cancer. There are no
313 current trials comparing the use of calcitriol treatment to vitamin D supplementation in the
314 prevention of hypocalcaemia in patients with metastatic prostate cancer.

315 **Conclusion**

316 In patients with uncontrolled osteoblastic skeletal metastases from prostate cancer,
317 denosumab treatment, especially after the first dose, can lead to severe, potentially life-
318 threatening hypocalcaemia. In some men, despite the biochemical severity, hypocalcaemia
319 can be asymptomatic, perhaps due to a relatively slow decline in the serum calcium.
320 Prolonged hospitalization due to ongoing requirement of intravenous calcium treatment may
321 be necessary, especially in the context of predisposing risk factors. In patients with extensive
322 osteoblastic metastases, if feasible, denosumab treatment should be avoided until the disease
323 activity is controlled, e.g. by chemotherapy and/or androgen deprivation therapy. Denosumab
324 associated risk of hypocalcaemia is expected to be low, once control of underlying disease
325 activity is achieved, and modifiable risk factors are optimised. However, close proactive
326 monitoring for hypocalcaemia and empirical treatment with calcitriol, vitamin D and calcium
327 supplementation, should be considered in at-risk patients. Future studies assessing the
328 usefulness of calcitriol supplementation, and whether oncologic efficacy can be maintained
329 and hypocalcaemia risk reduced with lower dosed denosumab, and are needed.

330

331 **Data Sharing Agreement:**

332 Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this
333 study.

334

335

336

337

338

339

340 **References**

- 341 1. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer
342 statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36
343 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2018;68(6):394-424.
- 344 2. Roodman GD. Mechanisms of bone metastasis. *N Engl J Med.* 2004;350(16):1655-
345 1664.
- 346 3. Peddi P, Lopez-Olivo MA, Pratt GF, Suarez-Almazor ME. Denosumab in patients
347 with cancer and skeletal metastases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cancer*
348 *Treat Rev.* 2013;39(1):97-104.
- 349 4. Lipton A, Fizazi K, Stopeck AT, et al. Superiority of denosumab to zoledronic acid
350 for prevention of skeletal-related events: a combined analysis of 3 pivotal,
351 randomised, phase 3 trials. *Eur J Cancer.* 2012;48(16):3082-3092.
- 352 5. Body JJ, Lipton A, Gralow J, et al. Effects of denosumab in patients with bone
353 metastases with and without previous bisphosphonate exposure. *J Bone Miner Res.*
354 2010;25(3):440-446.
- 355 6. Morony S, Warmington K, Adamu S, et al. The inhibition of RANKL causes greater
356 suppression of bone resorption and hypercalcemia compared with bisphosphonates in
357 two models of humoral hypercalcemia of malignancy. *Endocrinology.*
358 2005;146(8):3235-3243.
- 359 7. Qi WX, Lin F, He AN, Tang LN, Shen Z, Yao Y. Incidence and risk of denosumab-
360 related hypocalcemia in cancer patients: a systematic review and pooled analysis of
361 randomized controlled studies. *Curr Med Res Opin.* 2013;29(9):1067-1073.
- 362 8. Body JJ, Bone HG, de Boer RH, et al. Hypocalcaemia in patients with metastatic bone
363 disease treated with denosumab. *Eur J Cancer.* 2015;51(13):1812-1821.

- 364 9. Fizazi K, Carducci M, Smith M, et al. Denosumab versus zoledronic acid for
365 treatment of bone metastases in men with castration-resistant prostate cancer: a
366 randomised, double-blind study. *Lancet*. 2011;377(9768):813-822.
- 367 10. Koguchi D, Satoh T, Tsumura H, et al. Risk Factors for Hypocalcemia following
368 Treatment with Denosumab in Patients with Bone Metastases from Prostate Cancer.
369 *Journal of Clinical Trials*. 2016;06.
- 370 11. Yerram P, Kansagra S, Abdelghany O. Incidence of hypocalcemia in patients
371 receiving denosumab for prevention of skeletal-related events in bone metastasis. *J*
372 *Oncol Pharm Pract*. 2017;23(3):179-184.
- 373 12. Huynh AL, Baker ST, Stewardson AJ, Johnson DF. Denosumab-associated
374 hypocalcaemia: incidence, severity and patient characteristics in a tertiary hospital
375 setting. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf*. 2016;25(11):1274-1278.
- 376 13. Autio KA, Farooki A, Glezerman IG, et al. Severe Hypocalcemia Associated With
377 Denosumab in Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer: Risk Factors and
378 Precautions for Treating Physicians. *Clin Genitourin Cancer*. 2015;13(4):e305-e309.
- 379 14. Lechner B, DeAngelis C, Jamal N, et al. The effects of denosumab on calcium
380 profiles in advanced cancer patients with bone metastases. *Support Care Cancer*.
381 2014;22(7):1765-1771.
- 382 15. Laskowski LK, Goldfarb DS, Howland MA, Kavcsak K, Lugassy DM, Smith SW. A
383 RANKL Wrinkle: Denosumab-Induced Hypocalcemia. *J Med Toxicol*.
384 2016;12(3):305-308.
- 385 16. Muqeeet Adnan M, Bhutta U, Iqbal T, AbdulMujeeb S, Haragsim L, Amer S. Severe
386 Hypocalcemia due to Denosumab in Metastatic Prostate Cancer. *Case reports in*
387 *nephrology*. 2014;2014:565393.
- 388 17. Milat F, Goh S, Gani LU, et al. Prolonged hypocalcemia following denosumab
389 therapy in metastatic hormone refractory prostate cancer. *Bone*. 2013;55(2):305-308.
- 390 18. Marlow CF, Sharma S, Babar F, Lin J. Severe Hypocalcemia and Hypomagnesemia
391 with Denosumab in Advanced Chronic Kidney Disease: Case Report and Literature
392 Review. *Case reports in oncological medicine*. 2018;2018:2059364.
- 393 19. Ali N, Farhat S. Severe Refractory Hypocalcemia in a Patient with Metastatic Prostate
394 Carcinoma Following Denosumab Injection. *Journal of Clinical Case Reports*.
395 2016;6.
- 396 20. McCaleb RV, Johnson JT. SEVERE, PROLONGED, DENOSUMAB-INDUCED
397 HYPOCALCEMIA WITH RECOVERY AFTER 111 DAYS OF HIGH-DOSE

- 398 CALCIUM SUPPLEMENTATION. *AACE Clinical Case Reports*. 2019;5(1):e82-
399 e85.
- 400 21. Watthanasuntorn K, Abid H, Gnanajothy R. Severe hypocalcaemia following
401 denosumab in a patient with cancer with vitamin D deficiency. *BMJ Case Rep*.
402 2018;11(1).
- 403 22. Pittman K, Antill YC, Goldrick A, Goh J, de Boer RH. Denosumab: Prevention and
404 management of hypocalcemia, osteonecrosis of the jaw and atypical fractures. *Asia*
405 *Pac J Clin Oncol*. 2017;13(4):266-276.
- 406 23. Gunawan F, George E, Kotowicz M. Denosumab-induced hypocalcaemia in
407 metastatic castrate-resistant prostate cancer. *Endocrinol Diabetes Metab Case Rep*.
408 2019;2019.
- 409 24. Abrahamsson P-A. Pathophysiology of Bone Metastases in Prostate Cancer.
410 *European Urology Supplements*. 2004;3(5):3-9.
- 411 25. Sohail A, Sherin L, Butt SI, et al. Role of key players in paradigm shifts of prostate
412 cancer bone metastasis. *Cancer Manag Res*. 2018;10:1619-1626.
- 413 26. Murray RM, Grill V, Crinis N, Ho PW, Davison J, Pitt P. Hypocalcemic and
414 normocalcemic hyperparathyroidism in patients with advanced prostatic cancer. *J*
415 *Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2001;86(9):4133-4138.
- 416 27. Tucci M, Mosca A, Lamanna G, et al. Prognostic significance of disordered calcium
417 metabolism in hormone-refractory prostate cancer patients with metastatic bone
418 disease. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis*. 2009;12(1):94-99.
- 419 28. Kukreja SC, Shanmugam A, Lad TE. Hypocalcemia in patients with prostate cancer.
420 *Calcif Tissue Int*. 1988;43(6):340-345.
- 421 29. Rizzo C, Vella S, Cachia MJ. Refractory hypocalcaemia complicating metastatic
422 prostatic carcinoma. *BMJ Case Rep*. 2015;2015.
- 423 30. Fokkema MI, de Heide LJ, van Schelven WD, Hamdy NA. Severe hypocalcaemia
424 associated with extensive osteoblastic metastases in a patient with prostate cancer.
425 *Neth J Med*. 2005;63(1):34-37.
- 426 31. Berruti A, Dogliotti L, Bitossi R, et al. Incidence of skeletal complications in patients
427 with bone metastatic prostate cancer and hormone refractory disease: predictive role
428 of bone resorption and formation markers evaluated at baseline. *J Urol*.
429 2000;164(4):1248-1253.
- 430 32. Boyle WJ, Simonet WS, Lacey DL. Osteoclast differentiation and activation. *Nature*.
431 2003;423(6937):337-342.

- 432 33. Tabrizi MA, Tseng CM, Roskos LK. Elimination mechanisms of therapeutic
433 monoclonal antibodies. *Drug discovery today*. 2006;11(1-2):81-88.
- 434 34. Tat SK, Padrines M, Theoleyre S, et al. OPG/membranous--RANKL complex is
435 internalized via the clathrin pathway before a lysosomal and a proteasomal
436 degradation. *Bone*. 2006;39(4):706-715.
- 437 35. Keizer RJ, Huitema AD, Schellens JH, Beijnen JH. Clinical pharmacokinetics of
438 therapeutic monoclonal antibodies. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2010;49(8):493-507.
- 439 36. Sohn W, Simiens MA, Jaeger K, Hutton S, Jang G. The pharmacokinetics and
440 pharmacodynamics of denosumab in patients with advanced solid tumours and bone
441 metastases: a systematic review. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2014;78(3):477-487.
- 442 37. Ikesue H, Tsuji T, Hata K, et al. Time Course of Calcium Concentrations and Risk
443 Factors for Hypocalcemia in Patients Receiving Denosumab for the Treatment of
444 Bone Metastases From Cancer. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2014;48(9):1159-1165.
- 445 38. Amgen Incorporated. XGEVA (denosumab) injection, for subcutaneous use: US
446 prescribing information [online]. Thousand Oaks, CA: Amgen Inc; 2010.
447 http://pi.amgen.com/united_states/xgeva/xgeva_pi.pdf.
- 448 39. Ishikawa K, Nagai T, Sakamoto K, et al. High bone turnover elevates the risk of
449 denosumab-induced hypocalcemia in women with postmenopausal osteoporosis. *Ther*
450 *Clin Risk Manag*. 2016;12:1831-1840.
- 451 40. Ishikawa K, Nagai T, Tsuchiya K, et al. High bone turnover status as a risk factor in
452 symptomatic hypocalcemia following denosumab treatment in a male patient with
453 osteoporosis. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2018;13:1929-1934.
- 454 41. Jung K, Lein M, Stephan C, et al. Comparison of 10 serum bone turnover markers in
455 prostate carcinoma patients with bone metastatic spread: diagnostic and prognostic
456 implications. *Int J Cancer*. 2004;111(5):783-791.
- 457 42. Kostenuik PJ, Smith SY, Samadfam R, Jolette J, Zhou L, Ominsky MS. Effects of
458 denosumab, alendronate, or denosumab following alendronate on bone turnover,
459 calcium homeostasis, bone mass and bone strength in ovariectomized cynomolgus
460 monkeys. *J Bone Miner Res*. 2015;30(4):657-669.
- 461 43. Harley HA, Mason R, Phillips PJ. Profound hypocalcaemia associated with oestrogen
462 treatment of carcinoma of the prostate. *Med J Aust*. 1983;2(1):41-42.
- 463 44. Vogelgesang SA, McMillin JM. Hypocalcemia associated with estrogen therapy for
464 metastatic adenocarcinoma of the prostate. *J Urol*. 1988;140(5):1025-1027.

- 465 45. Kinoshita Y, Arai M, Ito N, et al. High serum ALP level is associated with increased
466 risk of denosumab-related hypocalcemia in patients with bone metastases from solid
467 tumors. *Endocr J*. 2016;63(5):479-484.
- 468 46. Imatoh T, Sai K, Takeyama M, et al. Identification of risk factors and development of
469 detection algorithm for denosumab-induced hypocalcaemia. *J Clin Pharm Ther*.
470 2019;44(1):62-68.
- 471 47. DeLuca HF. Overview of general physiologic features and functions of vitamin D. *Am*
472 *J Clin Nutr*. 2004;80(6 Suppl):1689s-1696s.
- 473 48. Holick MF. Vitamin D deficiency. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;357(3):266-281.
- 474 49. Tohme JF, Bilezikian JP. Diagnosis and Treatment of Hypocalcemic Emergencies.
475 *The Endocrinologist*. 1996;6(1):10-18.
- 476 50. Kohno N, Aogi K, Minami H, et al. Zoledronic acid significantly reduces skeletal
477 complications compared with placebo in Japanese women with bone metastases from
478 breast cancer: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *J Clin Oncol*.
479 2005;23(15):3314-3321.
- 480 51. Krajisnik T, Bjorklund P, Marsell R, et al. Fibroblast growth factor-23 regulates
481 parathyroid hormone and 1alpha-hydroxylase expression in cultured bovine
482 parathyroid cells. *J Endocrinol*. 2007;195(1):125-131.
- 483 52. Md P, Frep R, PhD T, et al. Primer on the Metabolic Bone Diseases and Disorders of
484 Mineral Metabolism. *Primer on the Metabolic Bone Diseases and Disorders of*
485 *Mineral Metabolism*. 2018:646 - 653.
- 486 53. Dave V, Chiang CY, Booth J, Mount PF. Hypocalcemia post denosumab in patients
487 with chronic kidney disease stage 4-5. *Am J Nephrol*. 2015;41(2):129-137.
- 488 54. Thongprayoon C, Acharya P, Acharya C, et al. Hypocalcemia and bone mineral
489 density changes following denosumab treatment in end-stage renal disease patients: a
490 meta-analysis of observational studies. *Osteoporos Int*. 2018;29(8):1737-1745.
- 491 55. Block GA, Bone HG, Fang L, Lee E, Padhi D. A single-dose study of denosumab in
492 patients with various degrees of renal impairment. *J Bone Miner Res*.
493 2012;27(7):1471-1479.
- 494 56. Bhanot RD, Kaur J, Bhat Z. Severe Hypocalcemia and Dramatic Increase in
495 Parathyroid Hormone after Denosumab in a Dialysis Patient: A Case Report and
496 Review of the Literature. *Case reports in nephrology*. 2019;2019:3027419.
- 497 57. Watkins KR, Rogers JE, Atkinson B. Tolerability of denosumab in metastatic solid
498 tumor patients with renal insufficiency. *Support Care Cancer*. 2015;23(6):1657-1662.

- 499 58. Anast CS, Winnacker JL, Forte LR, Burns TW. Impaired release of parathyroid
500 hormone in magnesium deficiency. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1976;42(4):707-717.
- 501 59. Rude RK, Oldham SB, Singer FR. Functional hypoparathyroidism and parathyroid
502 hormone end-organ resistance in human magnesium deficiency. *Clin Endocrinol*
503 *(Oxf)*. 1976;5(3):209-224.
- 504 60. Stopeck AT, Fizazi K, Body JJ, et al. Safety of long-term denosumab therapy: results
505 from the open label extension phase of two phase 3 studies in patients with metastatic
506 breast and prostate cancer. *Support Care Cancer.* 2016;24(1):447-455.
- 507 61. Buonerba C, Caraglia M, Malgieri S, et al. Calcitriol: a better option than vitamin D
508 in denosumab-treated patients with kidney failure? *Expert Opin Biol Ther.*
509 2013;13(2):149-151.
- 510 62. pitTurner J, Gittoes N, Selby P, Society for Endocrinology Clinical C. SOCIETY
511 FOR ENDOCRINOLOGY ENDOCRINE EMERGENCY GUIDANCE: Emergency
512 management of acute hypocalcaemia in adult patients. *Endocr Connect.*
513 2016;5(5):G7-G8.
- 514 63. Cooper MS, Gittoes NJL. Diagnosis and management of hypocalcaemia. *BMJ*
515 *(Clinical research ed)*. 2008;336(7656):1298-1302.
- 516 64. Harvey JA, Zobitz MM, Pak CY. Dose dependency of calcium absorption: a
517 comparison of calcium carbonate and calcium citrate. *J Bone Miner Res.*
518 1988;3(3):253-258.
- 519 65. Ayuk J, Gittoes NJ. How should hypomagnesaemia be investigated and treated? *Clin*
520 *Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 2011;75(6):743-746.
- 521 66. Smith MR, Egerdie B, Hernandez Toriz N, et al. Denosumab in men receiving
522 androgen-deprivation therapy for prostate cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;361(8):745-
523 755.
- 524
- 525
- 526
- 527
- 528
- 529

530

531

532

533

534

535 **FIGURE LEGEND**

536 **Figure 1. Mechanism of hypocalcaemia in metastatic prostate cancer after treatment**
537 **with denosumab**

538 FGF = fibroblast growth factors, TGF- β = transforming growth factor- β , IGF = insulin-like
539 growth factors, BMP = bone morphogenic proteins, PC3 = prostate cancer cell line, PSA =
540 prostate specific antigen, PTHrP = parathyroid hormone related protein, IL-6 = interleukin-6,
541 RANKL = receptor activator of nuclear factor-kappa β ligand, ALP = alkaline phosphatase,
542 P1NP = Procollagen type 1 N Propeptide, BMD = bone mineral density, CTX = C-terminal
543 telopeptide of type 1 collagen, PTH = parathyroid hormone, Vit D = 25-OH vitamin D

544 Illustrated are the mechanisms that lead to hypocalcaemia in patients with osteoblastic
545 skeletal metastases from prostate cancer treated with denosumab. Various growth and
546 resorption factors are released in prostate cancer (red circle). These factors are implicated in
547 causing increased bone remodelling with a net increase in bone formation, evidenced by
548 increased bone mineral density on DEXA in some cases. This leads to calcium requirements
549 for increased bone mineralisation. Untreated vitamin D deficiency reduces gastrointestinal
550 absorption of calcium, and aggravates secondary hyperparathyroidism. Increased PTH
551 stimulates calcium release from bone via osteoclast activation, hence maintaining a low to low
552 normal serum calcium. Anti-resorptives (in yellow) of increasing potency (thicker line
553 represents higher potency) prevent the mobilisation of calcium from bone by inhibiting bone
554 resorption, hence unmasking subclinical consumption hypocalcaemia, and can lead to frank,
555 potentially life-threatening hypocalcaemia.

Table 1: Characteristics of men with metastatic prostate cancer requiring intravenous calcium treatment following denosumab therapy

Characteristic	Median	Range	N
Age (years)	70	45 – 86	20
Ionised calcium (mmol/L)	0.66	0.58 – 0.94	4
Serum total calcium (mmol/L)	1.36	1.13 – 1.91	20
Magnesium (mmol/L)	0.82	0.29 – 1.20	5
Phosphate (mmol/L)	1.1	0.42 – 1.97	8
25-OH Vitamin D (nmol/L)	44	22 – 81	20
PTH (pmol/L)	30.1	12.5 – 128.6	19
Creatinine ($\mu\text{mol/L}$)	103	62 – 1131	10
eGFR (mL/min/1.73m^2)	66	50 – 90	8
Albumin (g/L)	37	24 – 40	5
ALP (U/L)	838	58 – 2620	16
Total IV elemental calcium requirement (g)	3.17	0.47 – 26.65	8
Time to presentation of hypocalcaemia (days)	16	4 – 35	20
Duration of IV calcium (days)	16	1-90	6
Maximum daily calcitriol dose (mcg/day)	2.0	0.25-32.0	9

PTH = parathyroid hormone, eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate, ALP = alkaline phosphatase, IV = intravenous. N represents the number of patients with available results for each parameter. The biochemical parameters reported in the case reports were measured with

site-specific assay methodology used in routine clinical care. Serum total calcium was reported to be albumin-adjusted in 18 cases, whereas in 2 cases, it was not specified whether the serum total calcium was albumin adjusted or not.

Author Manuscript

Figure 1. Mechanism of hypocalcaemia in metastatic prostate cancer after treatment with denosumab

