

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Davies, PR;Alexander, BH;Bender, JB;Deen, J;Dewey, CE;Funk, JA;Munoz-Zanzi, CA;O'Sullivan, MG;Singer, RS;Sreevatsan, S;Stärk, KD;Stevenson, MA

Title:

Livestock density as risk factor for livestockassociated MRSA, the Netherlands

Date:

2013-09-01

Citation:

Davies, P. R., Alexander, B. H., Bender, J. B., Deen, J., Dewey, C. E., Funk, J. A., Munoz-Zanzi, C. A., O'Sullivan, M. G., Singer, R. S., Sreevatsan, S., Stärk, K. D. & Stevenson, M. A. (2013). Livestock density as risk factor for livestockassociated MRSA, the Netherlands. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 19 (9), pp.1551-1552. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1909.121577>.

Persistent Link:

<https://hdl.handle.net/11343/268861>

License:

CC BY

7. Rasoamanana B, Leroy F, Boiesier P, Rasolomaharo M, Buchy P, Carniel E, et al. Field evaluation of an immunoglobulin G anti-F1 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for serodiagnosis of human plague in Madagascar. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol*. 1997;4:587–91.
8. Rajerison M, Darteville S, Ralafiarisoa LA, Bitam I, Tuyet DTN, Andrianivoarimanana V et al. Development and evaluation of two simple, rapid immunochromatographic tests for the detection of *Yersinia pestis* antibodies in humans and reservoirs. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2009;3:e421.
9. Karimi Y. Discovery of a new intermediate focus of sylvatic plague in the eastern Azarbaijan region of Iran [in French]. *Bull Soc Pathol Exot*. 1980;73:28–35. PMID:7418121

Address for correspondence: Ehsan Mostafavi, No. 69, Pasteur Ave., Department of Epidemiology, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Postal Code: 1316943551, Tehran, Iran; email: mostafavi@pasteur.ac.ir

Livestock Density as Risk Factor for Livestock-associated MRSA, the Netherlands

To the Editor: We challenge the conclusions of Feingold et al. that “regional density of livestock is a notable risk factor for nasal carriage of LA-MRSA for persons with and without direct contact with livestock” (1). They did not study nasal carriage of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), but they retrospectively analyzed 87 culture-confirmed MRSA cases reported to a reference laboratory. These were a mixture of clinical disease isolates and screening (nose, throat, and perineum) isolates that were unevenly distributed between the groups (2). Because their analysis aimed to assess exposure risk by residential location, they should have excluded the 5 persons who acquired MRSA outside the Netherlands.

Table. Pig density in the Netherlands, United States (excluding Alaska), and major pig-producing states

Location	No. pigs	Area, km ²	Pig density, pigs/km ²	Relative pig density*
The Netherlands	12,100,000†	41,518	291.4	1
United States	67,500,000†	8,108,782‡	8.3	35.0
Iowa	19,700,000	145,744	135.2	2.2
North Carolina	8,600,000	139,393	61.7	4.7
Minnesota	7,600,000	225,174	33.8	8.6

*Pig density in the Netherlands divided by pig density in other locations.

†US data were obtained from a quarterly US Department of Agriculture report (<http://usda01.library.cornell.edu/usda/nass/HogsPigs//2010s/2012/HogsPigs-09-28-2012.pdf>).

‡Alaska was excluded because of minimal swine industry.

Retrospective case–control studies preclude direct estimation of incidence, prevalence, or risk. However, because of the symmetric property of odds ratios, disease odds ratios can be inferred indirectly from the estimated exposure odds ratios in case–control studies (3). However, this case–case study design has no true controls, precluding valid inferences of absolute or relative risks. The higher ratio of livestock-associated (LA)–MRSA to a typeable strain of MRSA (T-MRSA) in rural cases could be attributable to higher risk for LA-MRSA in rural areas, lower risk for T-MRSA in rural areas, or both.

To illustrate this point, suppose urban dwellers had equal prevalence rates of LA-MRSA and T-MRSA of 5%, and rural dwellers had prevalence rates of 2% for LA-MRSA and 1% for T-MRSA. The ratio approach used would indicate that rural dwellers had twice the risk for LA-MRSA than urban dwellers, when the absolute risk is 2.5 times higher in the urban group. At best, their conclusion could be viewed as a hypothesis that should be tested.

Three large community-based studies with better methods collectively refute this hypothesis. Across these studies, LA-MRSA prevalence (44%) was >180 times higher in 352 occupationally exposed persons than in 2,094 rural residents without farm exposure (0.24%) (4–6). Prevalence in family members of livestock workers was intermediate (5.2%). These consistent observations indicate that exposure to LA-MRSA in livestock-dense

regions is a common occupational risk for livestock workers, a lesser indirect risk to their family members, and a negligible risk to persons without livestock or farm contact.

Finally, the contention of Feingold et al. that pig production in the Netherlands is “greatly overshadowed by the density of pig-farming operations in the United States” is mistaken (1) (Table). Pig density in the Netherlands is 35 times higher than in the United States, and more than twice that in Iowa.

**Peter R. Davies,
Bruce H. Alexander,
Jeffrey B. Bender, John Deen,
Catherine E. Dewey,
Julie A. Funk,
Claudia A. Munoz-Zanzi,
M. Gerard O’Sullivan,
Randall S. Singer,
Srinand Sreevatsan,
Katharina D. Stärk,
and Mark A. Stevenson**

Author affiliations: University of Minnesota College of Veterinary Medicine, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA (P.R. Davies, J.B. Bender, J. Deen, M.G. O’Sullivan, R.S. Singer, S. Sreevatsan); University of Minnesota School of Public Health, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA (B.H. Alexander, C. A. Munoz-Zanzi); Ontario Veterinary College, Guelph, Ontario, Canada (C.E. Dewey); Michigan State University College of Veterinary Medicine, East Lansing, Michigan, USA (J.A. Funk); Royal Veterinary College, London, United Kingdom (K.D. Stärk); and Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand (M.A. Stevenson)

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1909.121577>

References

1. Feingold BJ, Silbergeld EK, Curriero FC, van Cleef BA, Heck ME, Kluytmans JA. Livestock density as risk factor for livestock-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, the Netherlands. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2012;18:1841–9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1811.111850>
2. van Loo I, Huijsdens X, Tiemersma E, de Neeling A, van de Sande-Bruinsma N, Beaujean D. Emergence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* of animal origin in humans. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2007;13:1834–9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1312.070384>
3. Cummings P. The relative merits of risk ratios and odds ratios. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 2009;163:438–45. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archpediatrics.2009.31>
4. van Cleef BA, Verkade EJ, Wulf MW, Buiting AG, Voss A, Huijsdens XW, et al. Prevalence of livestock-associated MRSA in communities with high pig-densities in The Netherlands. *PLoS ONE.* 2010;5:e9385. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0009385>
5. Cuny C, Nathaus R, Layer F, Strommenger B, Altmann D, Witte W. Nasal colonization of humans with methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) CC398 with and without exposure to pigs. *PLoS ONE.* 2009;4:e6800. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0006800>
6. Bisdorff B, Scholthöf JL, Claußen K, Pulz M, Nowak D, Radon K. MRSA-ST398 in livestock farmers and neighbouring residents in a rural area in Germany. *Epidemiol Infect.* 2012;140:1800–8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0950268811002378>

Address for correspondence: Peter R. Davies, Department of Veterinary Population Medicine, University of Minnesota College of Veterinary Medicine, St Paul, MN 55108, USA; email: davie001@umn.edu

In Response: We thank Davies et al. for their letter (1) responding to our report (2). We appreciate the opportunity to address their comments, some of which raise appropriate concerns.

Davies et al. correctly note that the original methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) registry data were derived from swab specimens and clinical

isolates; the distribution of anatomic sites differed between the cases and controls in our study. However, all study participants were proven MRSA carriers. As per their comment in their letter (1), we reran multivariate models excluding 5 persons who acquired MRSA outside the Netherlands. We found odds ratios (and $p < 0.05$) similar to those originally reported for covariates of municipality-level livestock densities.

Davies et al. apparently pooled data from 3 studies and stated that there is negligible risk for livestock-associated-MRSA among persons who do not have livestock or farm contact. Each of these studies was designed differently and had different comparison groups, and each report conceded that factors such as indirect human or environmental transmission could have exposed study participants who lacked known farm risk factors.

In addition, Davies et al. state that our observed association of increased odds of livestock-associated-MRSA compared with a typeable strain of MRSA in regions with higher livestock densities should be limited to hypothesis generation. We agree, as stated in the final sentence of our report (2). However, a similar association was confirmed in a recent study in the Netherlands that included MRSA-negative controls (3).

To clarify our statement comparing pig densities in the United States and the Netherlands (2), we referred to density in terms of animals per operation, a relevant parameter for other zoonotic diseases, including swine and avian influenzas. To state this information in a different manner, in 2007, a total of 60% of the 67.7 million pigs raised in the United States were raised on farms with >5,000 pigs, but only 22% of the 11.66 million pigs raised in the Netherlands were raised on farms with >5,000 pigs (4,5).

**Beth J. Feingold,
Jan A.J.W. Kluytmans,**

**Brigite A.G.L. van Cleef,
Frank C. Curriero,
and Ellen K. Silbergeld**

Author affiliations: Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA (B.J. Feingold, F.C. Curriero, E.K. Silbergeld); Amphia Hospital, Breda, the Netherlands (J.A.J.W. Kluytmans, B.A.G.L. van Cleef); St. Elisabeth Hospital, Tilburg, the Netherlands (J.A.J.W. Kluytmans, B.A.G.L. van Cleef); and VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands (J.A.J.W. Kluytmans, B.A.G.L. van Cleef)

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1909.130876>

References

1. Davies PR, Alexander BH, Bender JB, Deen J, Dewey CE, Funk JA, et al. Livestock density as a risk factor for livestock-associated MRSA, the Netherlands. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2013;19:1551.
2. Feingold BJ, Silbergeld EK, Curriero FC, van Cleef BA, Heck ME, Kluytmans JA. Livestock density as risk factor for livestock-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, the Netherlands. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2012;18:1841–9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1811.111850>
3. van Rijen M, Kluytmans-van den Bergh MF, Verkade EJ, ten Ham PB, Feingold BJ, Kluytmans JA. Lifestyle-associated risk factors for community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* carriage in the Netherlands: an exploratory hospital-based case-control study. *PLoS ONE.* 2013;8:e65594. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0065594>
4. Central Bureau of Statistics. Statline. 2007 [cited 2011 Jan 17]. <http://statline.org/AboutUs.html>
5. National Agricultural Statistics Service/US Department of Agriculture. Census of Agriculture. 2002, 2007 [cited 2009 Apr 5]. <http://www.agcensus.usda.gov/index.php>

Address for correspondence: Beth J. Feingold, Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Johns Hopkins University, 301 Olin Hall, 3400 Charles St, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA; email: beth.feingold@jhu.edu

The opinions expressed by authors contributing to this journal do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.