



Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Bittleston, H;Goller, JL;Temple-Smith, M;Hocking, JS;Coombe, J

Title:

Telehealth for sexual and reproductive health issues: A qualitative study of experiences of accessing care during COVID-19

Date:

2022-06-23

Citation:

Bittleston, H., Goller, J. L., Temple-Smith, M., Hocking, J. S. & Coombe, J. (2022). Telehealth for sexual and reproductive health issues: A qualitative study of experiences of accessing care during COVID-19. *Sexual Health*, 19 (5), pp.473-478. <https://doi.org/10.1071/SH22098>.

Persistent Link:

<https://hdl.handle.net/11343/310902>

License:

[CC BY-NC-ND](#)

Telehealth for sexual and reproductive health issues: a qualitative study of experiences of accessing care during COVID-19

Helen Bittleston^{A,*} , Jane L. Goller^A , Meredith Temple-Smith^B, Jane S. Hocking^A  and Jacqueline Coombe^A 

For full list of author affiliations and declarations see end of paper

***Correspondence to:**

Helen Bittleston
Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Vic., Australia
Email: helen.bittleston@unimelb.edu.au

Handling Editor:

Somesh Gupta

Received: 24 March 2022

Accepted: 1 June 2022

Published: 23 June 2022

Cite this:

Bittleston H et al. (2022)
Sexual Health
doi:[10.1071/SH22098](https://doi.org/10.1071/SH22098)

© 2022 The Author(s) (or their employer(s)). Published by CSIRO Publishing.

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License ([CC BY-NC-ND](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)).

OPEN ACCESS

ABSTRACT

Background. Medicare, the health insurance system underpinning free healthcare in Australia, introduced free telehealth items in 2020 in response to the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. Their uptake among healthcare providers was significant, including among general practitioners and sexual health services. Here, we report people's experiences of accessing sexual and reproductive health (SRH)-related care via telehealth collected as part of a survey exploring the impact of COVID on SRH health. **Methods.** This study utilises qualitative data from two online surveys conducted in 2020. Surveys were advertised through social media and professional and personal networks. Anyone aged ≥ 18 years and living in Australia was eligible to participate. Respondents were asked whether they accessed care for their SRH via telehealth. A free-text question asking for further detail about their experience was analysed using content analysis. **Results.** A total of 114/1070 respondents (10.7%) accessed healthcare services via telehealth for SRH-related reasons within the previous 4 weeks. Three themes were identified from 78 free-text comments: (1) accessibility and convenience of telehealth; (2) appropriateness of telehealth for SRH issues; and (3) connecting and communicating with clinicians via telehealth. Respondents had a wide range of experiences. Telehealth improved access to services for some participants, and it was appropriate for some, but not all SRH issues. Difficulties connecting with clinicians on both an interpersonal and technical level was a key barrier to a satisfactory patient experience. **Conclusions.** Telehealth can offer a viable alternative to face-to-face care, providing patients can overcome key connection and communication barriers.

Keywords: Australasia, COVID-19, general practice, health services, pandemic, patients-views, primary care, survey.

Background

Medicare, the health insurance system underpinning free healthcare in Australia, introduced free telehealth items from March 2020 in response to the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, enabling patients to access healthcare via telephone/internet.¹ These changes were introduced to promote continuous care for patients while limiting movement to reduce COVID-19 transmission.² Telehealth uptake was substantial. By April 2020, over one-third of consultations in general practice were conducted via telehealth, compared with 1% in 2019.³ Many sexual health clinics also used telehealth to offer counselling services, take sexual histories, and/or provide initial telephone consultations, followed by face-to-face consultations when necessary.⁴

The Royal Australian College of General Practitioners (RACGP) released a guide to support general practitioners (GPs) to provide virtual consultations.⁵ It was recommended that telehealth services be provided only for patients for whom it was safe and clinically appropriate, but there was little advice on sexual and reproductive health (SRH) management, including screening for sexually transmissible infections (STIs) and cervical cancer.

In the Family Planning setting, recent research found telehealth to be generally considered as convenient, efficient, and accessible to patients.⁶ Our research further explored Australians' experiences of using telehealth to discuss SRH-related issues with a range of healthcare providers during 2020. It aimed to investigate barriers and facilitators to using telehealth from the patient perspective, and to explore the acceptability to patients of providing ongoing SRH telehealth services.

Methods

Throughout 2020, we conducted four repeated online cross-sectional surveys using Qualtrics Survey Software

(Qualtrics, Provo, UT, USA) to explore the impact of COVID-19 on the SRH of people aged ≥ 18 years and living in Australia. Recruitment to the surveys has been described elsewhere.^{7,8} Briefly, surveys were advertised on social media (Facebook and Instagram) and via researcher networks. Participation was voluntary, and no incentives were provided. This study received approval from the University of Melbourne Human Research Ethics Committee (ID: 2056693).

This paper reports on data from Surveys 3 (13–31 August 2020) and 4 (24 November–18 December 2020), which included questions about accessing healthcare via telehealth (Fig. 1). Consent and demographic details were also collected. During Survey 3, respondents from the state of Victoria were under lockdown orders (including a stay-at-home order,

- I. In the last 4 weeks, did you need to access healthcare for any reason related to your sexual or reproductive health?
- Yes
 No
- II. What services did you access? *Please select all that apply.*
- GP face-to-face
 GP via telephone/internet (telehealth)
 Specialist sexual or reproductive health provider face-to-face
 Specialist sexual or reproductive health provider via telephone/internet (telehealth)
 Pharmacy
 Online pharmacy
 Online STI testing
 Hospital
 Counselling/psychology services face-to-face
 Counselling/psychology services via telephone/internet (telehealth)
 Physiotherapy services face-to-face
 Physiotherapy services via telephone/internet (telehealth)
 Pathology services
 Medical imaging services
 Other (please state)
-
- III. Could you tell us about your experience of using this service/these services? (For example, did telehealth meet your needs? Were you able to see your usual GP?) Please tell us about it below.
-
-
-
- IV. How satisfied were you with your experience of using an online or telehealth service?*
- Extremely dissatisfied
 Somewhat dissatisfied
 Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
 Somewhat satisfied
 Extremely satisfied

*Only participants who indicated that they accessed an online/telehealth service (bolded options – Q.II) were asked Q.IV

Fig. 1. Questions asked in Surveys 3 and 4 relating to access to telehealth services.

nightly curfew, and travel restrictions), while respondents from all other states were under minimal restrictions. No respondents to Survey 4 were in lockdown.

Four questions relating to telehealth use are in Fig. 1, including a free-text question asking respondents about their experience of accessing care (QIII). The inclusion of free-text questions within quantitative surveys can yield valuable qualitative data.⁹ Responses to the free-text question were imported into NVivo qualitative software (QSR International, Cambridge, MA) to assist with analysis. There was a total of 92 comments from 86 people (six respondents completed both surveys). Fourteen comments were excluded as they referred exclusively to face-to-face services or non-SRH issues, leaving 78 comments from 72 people for analysis. Conventional content analysis was conducted whereby one researcher (HB) read through the data, coded all comments, and then examined all data within each code, combining some codes.¹⁰

Results

Overall, 1070 people completed surveys and answered questions about accessing healthcare services. Across both surveys, 114 respondents (10.7%) accessed a service via telehealth for an SRH reason within the previous 4 weeks. Demographics of respondents who accessed healthcare via telehealth are in Table 1. Most respondents (87/114; 76.3%) who accessed a telehealth service were satisfied with their experience ('extremely' [37/114; 32.5%]; 'somewhat' [50/114; 43.9%]).

Three themes relating to experiences using telehealth were identified and are outlined below, with illustrative quotes in Table 2.

Accessibility and convenience

Accessing SRH care via telehealth was convenient and quick for many. Some described benefits of having a consultation from home, including the ability to create a safe space, and being able to continue working up until an appointment. Several found it straight-forward to access services, despite COVID-19-related changes. Some respondents described how they would request the type of appointment (i.e. telehealth or face-to-face) they wanted, and some found it easier to access their preferred clinician using telehealth.

However, services were not universally accessible. Some reported long wait times, and others were unable to speak with a preferred clinician. A few also struggled to navigate changes to services, needing to advocate for themselves before being allowed a face-to-face consultation. One respondent also described the frustration and additional cost of having two consultations (telehealth followed by face-to-face). Technical issues, including poor internet connection, reduced telehealth accessibility for a few respondents.

Table 1. Demographics of survey participants.

	Survey respondents who used a telehealth service for SRH care in the previous 4 weeks (N = 114) ^A		Survey respondents who provided a free-text response (N = 72) ^A	
	n	%	n	%
Gender				
Male	8	4.4	63	87.5
Female	101	88.6	4	5.6
Gender diverse ^B	5	7.0	5	6.9
Age				
18–29 years	71	62.8	49	68.1
30 years or more	42	37.2	23	31.9
State of residence				
Victoria	82	71.9	56	77.8
All other states ^C	32	28.1	16	22.2
Location of residence				
Metropolitan	104	91.2	68	94.4
Regional/rural/remote	10	8.8	4	5.6
Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander peoples				
No	110	98.2	71	100.0
Yes	2	1.8	0	0.0
Country of birth				
Australia	90	80.4	57	79.2
Other	22	19.6	15	20.8

^ASome respondents skipped one or more demographic questions, so denominators vary.

^BGender diverse includes individuals who identified as trans, non-binary, and/or gender fluid.

^CAll other states have been included in one category due to similarity in lockdown restrictions and a high proportion of Victorian respondents.

Further logistical issues for some individuals related to accessing prescriptions, pathology requests, and test results.

Appropriateness for SRH issues

Respondents spoke with clinicians about a broad range of SRH issues via telehealth, including contraception prescriptions, receiving/discussing test results, and organising referrals and face-to-face appointments. Many respondents said their consultation/s met their needs. Telehealth was seen as particularly appropriate for uncomplicated or ongoing issues including repeat prescriptions, common complaints such as urinary tract infections, and routine STI testing.

However, telehealth was not appropriate for all SRH issues. Several respondents described complaints that had been inadequately addressed. For example, one respondent was unable to show a lactation consultant her breastfeeding problem, and others described being unable to have long-acting reversible contraception removed (these respondents

Table 2. Themes and illustrative quotes.

Theme	Illustrative quote
1. Accessibility and convenience	<p>Telehealth has helped a lot with reducing the amount of time it takes to see my doctor (he's not in the same suburb) (29/Female/Vic./S4)</p> <p>Very quick telephone call. Very easy. Quick to get an appointment (22/Female/Qld/S4)</p> <p>I was able to phone my usual GP however getting a slot for an appointment was difficult, this has never been an issue in the past (24/Female/Vic./S3)</p> <p>Telehealth was not an advertised option with the specialist sexual health provider I needed to go to, however when I requested a telehealth appointment, they were able to provide one (27/Trans Man/Vic./S3)</p> <p>Have not had any issues with telehealth and face-to-face, I book face-to-face when I know I want a test done etc. (22/Female/Vic./S3)</p> <p>Telehealth was a bit useless, as the GP told me they would need to see me face-to-face to help. This was a bit annoying, as I had to get billed for two appointments (telehealth and face-to-face) and was time consuming (32/Female/Vic./S3)</p>
2. Appropriateness of telehealth for SRH issues	<p>Telehealth has been very helpful for repeating scripts and scripts for common infection such as UTI. I hope it continues post COVID-19 into the future (25/Female/Vic./S3)</p> <p>All fine, although it would have been good to see a lactation consultant face-to-face sooner, as we needed to have a feed observed and couldn't do this via telehealth. Feeding has been difficult for my baby and we've had to advocate pretty hard to access this service (39/Female/Vic./S4)</p> <p>I wish I could have had my sex therapy in person as it is awkward from home (I live [with] 5 other people and my parents) and the internet often dropped out (21/Female/Vic./S4)</p> <p>Telehealth was ok but did not solve the issue of my Mirena [IUD] having expired and they expressed [I] should simply wait, which is frustrating (31/Female/Vic./S3)</p>
3. Connecting with clinicians via telehealth	<p>It was a bit strange to have a gyno [gynaecology] session via telehealth but I didn't have any issues with it (22/Trans man/Vic./S3)</p> <p>Telehealth has been great for my [GP] and my psychologist. I feel connected (43/Female/NSW/S3)</p> <p>Telehealth met my needs as I had a strong relationship built up with my GP and psychiatrist and psychologist prior to [...] COVID-19. Had I not had that prior relationship I think it would have been much harder (34/Female/Vic./S4)</p> <p>I personally found seeing a physio [physiotherapist] via telehealth for my pelvic floor weird and I shouldn't have to pay so much (39/Female/Vic./S3)</p> <p>Telehealth services were sufficient but difficult to focus in (27/Female/Vic./S3)</p> <p>I haven't met my counsellor face-to-face, which has some disadvantages (can feel harder to connect and be open), but also good because I can create a safe and relaxing space for myself at home and cuddle my cat during appointments (24/Female/NSW/S3)</p>

Quotes accompanied by age (years), gender, state/territory, and survey (S3, Survey 3; S4, Survey 4).

were advised to wait rather than book a face-to-face appointment). Other issues were difficult to manage via telehealth for privacy and confidentiality reasons, highlighting that discussing SRH issues can be challenging for those who lack privacy at home.

Connecting and communicating with clinicians

Respondents discussed connecting with clinicians via telehealth. Several said that telehealth felt 'weird' or 'strange', and different from face-to-face appointments. Some had trouble making a connection via telehealth with their clinician. Poor internet connection led to difficult and fractured conversations for some. However, even without technical issues, some found it difficult to connect with clinicians due to lack of eye contact, being unable to tell whether their clinician was listening to them, and difficulty in focusing. Having rapport with clinicians was mentioned by some as an

enabler to a successful appointment, suggesting telehealth consultations may be improved when there is a previously established relationship.

Discussion

Of the 114 survey respondents who reported accessing SRH care via telehealth within the past 4 weeks, most were satisfied with care received. Our qualitative analysis revealed that many appreciated the convenience and accessibility of telehealth, particularly for minor, straightforward SRH issues. Our findings are consistent with other research finding telehealth to be an acceptable and convenient means of accessing SRH care, with the potential to circumvent traditional barriers to accessing care.^{6,11} The rapid expansion of telehealth services due to COVID-19 has been recognised to have the potential to positively impact young people in

particular, who are more likely to encounter barriers to accessing healthcare (e.g. lack of confidentiality and transport, and stigma).^{12,13}

However, not all respondents in our study reported a positive experience of receiving care via telehealth. Several had difficulty accessing telehealth services (although this may reflect difficulty accessing any services during the first year of the pandemic), and a few expressed frustration that telehealth was their only option, preferring face-to-face care. Reluctance and difficulty engaging with telehealth services for various health issues has been reported by Australian women elsewhere, highlighting that telehealth may not be suitable for all situations.¹⁴ Research conducted at a adolescent clinic in the US also highlights that telehealth is unsuitable for all SRH issues, particularly where physical examinations are concerned.¹³ Confidentiality also remains a key barrier for some using telehealth, especially younger patients. Several respondents highlighted this. In the US, one-quarter of physicians surveyed who provided telehealth for SRH issues reported confidentiality concerns.¹⁵ Others have also identified privacy as a key issue when utilising telehealth, particularly for adolescents.¹³

Our third and final theme revealed that connection and communication with clinicians (on both a technical and interpersonal level) was important to respondents. Technical issues arising in some telehealth consultations can be a barrier to successful communication. This connection appeared to go beyond technology, and some respondents reported difficulty connecting and communicating with clinicians due to being unable to read body language. An existing, ongoing relationship facilitated connection for some.

There are several limitations to our study to consider when interpreting results. Our convenience sample was self-selected and is therefore not representative of the general population. Women, Victorians, and young people were over-represented, and it is likely that we have little representation of some culturally and linguistically diverse populations, some of whom may experience further communication barriers with healthcare providers. Further, the survey items included in this analysis were included within a larger survey exploring many aspects of SRH. To minimise the burden on respondents, we did not ask for detail about the process of the teleconsultation, or the issues that participants were seeking care for, meaning we cannot explore whether there are certain issues that lend themselves better to telehealth.

Conclusion

Accessing SRH care via telehealth can be acceptable and convenient for many, and can provide a valuable alternative

in specific situations. Being able to engage and communicate with clinicians, not only on a technical level, but also on an interpersonal, emotional level, is important for patients.

References

- 1 RACGP. COVID-19 telehealth MBS items: FAQs. Royal Australian College of General Practitioners; 2020.
- 2 Hunt G, Kidd M. COVID-19: Whole of population telehealth for patients, general practice, primary care and other medical services. 2020. Department of Health; 2020. Available at <https://www.health.gov.au/ministers/the-hon-greg-hunt-mp/media/covid-19-whole-of-population-telehealth-for-patients-general-practice-primary-care-and-other-medical-services>
- 3 Scott A. The impact of COVID-19 on GPs and non-GP specialists in private practice. University of Melbourne; 2020.
- 4 Phillips TR, Fairley CK, Donovan B, Ong JJ, McNulty A, Marshall L, et al. Sexual health service adaptations to the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic in Australia: a nationwide online survey. *Aust NZ J Public Health* 2021; 45(6): 622–7. doi:10.1111/1753-6405.13158
- 5 The Royal Australian College of General Practitioners. Guide to providing telephone and video consultations in general practice. East Melbourne: RACGP; 2020.
- 6 Cheng Y, Boerma C, Peck L, Botfield JR, Estoesta J, McGeechan K. Telehealth sexual and reproductive health care during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Med J Aust* 2021; 215: 371–2. doi:10.5694/mja.2.51219
- 7 Coombe J, Kong F, Bittleston H, Williams H, Tomnay J, Vaisey A, et al. Love during lockdown: findings from an online survey examining the impact of COVID-19 on the sexual practices of people living in Australia. *Sex Transm Infect* 2021; 97: 357–62.
- 8 Bittleston H, Goller JL, Temple-Smith M, Hocking JS, Coombe J. ‘I didn’t want to visit a doctor unless it was extremely necessary’: perspectives on delaying access to sexual and reproductive health care during the COVID-19 pandemic in Australia from an online survey. *Aust J Prim Health* 2022; 28: 131–6. doi:10.1071/PY21239
- 9 Rich JL, Chojenta C, Loxton D. Quality, rigour and usefulness of free-text comments collected by a large population based longitudinal study - ALSWH. *PLoS ONE* 2013; 8(7): e68832. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068832
- 10 Hsieh H-F, Shannon SE. Three approaches to qualitative content analysis. *Qual Health Res* 2005; 15(9): 1277–88. doi:10.1177/1049732305276687
- 11 Saragih ID, Imanuel Tonapa S, Porta CM, Lee B-O. Effects of telehealth interventions for adolescent sexual health: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies. *J Telemed Telecare* 2021; 1357633X211047762. doi:10.1177/1357633X211047762
- 12 Mmeje OO, Coleman JS, Chang T. Unintended consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic on the sexual and reproductive health of youth. *J Adolesc Health* 2020; 67(3): 326–7. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2020.06.019
- 13 Barney A, Buckelew S, Mesheriakova V, Raymond-Flesch M. The COVID-19 pandemic and rapid implementation of adolescent and young adult telemedicine: challenges and opportunities for innovation. *J Adolesc Health* 2020; 67(2): 164–71. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2020.05.006
- 14 White J, Cavenagh D, Byles J, Mishra G, Tooth L, Loxton D. The experience of delayed health care access during the COVID 19 pandemic in Australian women: a mixed methods exploration. *Health Soc Care Community* 2021. doi:10.1111/hsc.13546
- 15 Steiner RJ, Zapata LB, Curtis KM, Whiteman MK, Brittain AW, Tromble E, et al. COVID-19 and sexual and reproductive health care: findings from primary care providers who serve adolescents. *J Adolesc Health* 2021; 69(3): 375–82. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2021.06.002

Data availability. The data that support this study cannot be publicly shared due to ethical or privacy reasons.

Conflicts of interest. JSH is a Joint Editor of *Sexual Health*, but was blinded from the peer review process for this paper. All other authors report no conflicts of interest.

Declaration of funding. JSH is supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council Fellowship (1136117) This research did not receive any specific funding.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank everyone who generously gave their time to complete our survey.

Author affiliations

^AMelbourne School of Population and Global Health, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Vic., Australia.

^BDepartment of General Practice, University of Melbourne, Carlton, Vic., Australia.