



Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

WILLIAMS, G

Title:

Space to Think

Date:

2004

Citation:

WILLIAMS, G. (2004). Space to Think. Teacher: The National Education Magazine, September 2004, pp.50-52

Persistent Link:

<https://hdl.handle.net/11343/311222>

associated with student inclination to explore the unfamiliar. These resilience-building features are identified below in italics after each suggestion of a topic for brief reports occurring after each ten to twenty minutes of group work:

- Something you can't work out and are hoping others might help with. *Failure is temporary.*
- Something you didn't know before and you do know now. *You turned failure into success.*
- A pattern you have found. *You attained success.*
- A reason to explain why this pattern works. *Increased challenge once smaller challenge was overcome.*
- Or anything else – you choose. *I have faith in your ability.*

STUDENT RESPONSES TO CLASS COLLABORATION

I was surprised and delighted when students began to express their enjoyment of the class-collaboration process, saying they were learning and understanding far more than they ever had before. Here are some student responses:

The way we learned to solve problems in Specialist Maths has helped me more in my medical studies than anything else I learned at school. Year Twelve Specialist Maths female student when a third year Medical student.

It is so exciting when you know you can report something that you know no one else has found. Year Twelve Maths Methods male student.

I've really enjoyed seeing how other people think about a problem. I had always thought we all did it the same way. Year Nine male student.

We can stay at recess and finish our reports. Year Seven low-achieving male student who was generally uninterested in mathematics. It was his turn to report when the bell went.

I found there were times when students were so involved that they continued their intense discussions oblivious to our preparations for the next reporting session. There were other times when students remained after the final bell for the day to continue their mathematical discussions. I began to wonder what made it all so special.

SHAPING RECTANGLES

You are going to think about the rectangles you can make with square tiles. In each case you have to use all of the number of tiles stated in making a rectangle. Always give all the answers your group can think of for each question. Insides of rectangles must be filled with tiles.

Question 1. What is a rectangle? List all the things your group knows about what a rectangle is.

Question 2. If you use fourteen tiles, what rectangle can you make?

Question 3. If you use twelve tiles, what rectangle can you make?

Question 4. What rectangle could you make if you had sixteen tiles?

Question 5. Can you make the same number of rectangles with each number of tiles? Why? Explain your group's thinking.

Question 6. Can you give a mathematical argument to show you have found all possible rectangles that can be made using sixteen tiles?

Question 7. Your group is going to choose how many tiles to use for this question. You can choose any number of tiles between 1 and X (The number has been replaced with X for this article).

a) Choose the number of tiles that will make the most rectangles. Is there another number of tiles that will also make this many rectangles?

b) Explain how you know there is not a number of tiles that will make more rectangles than that?

c) Think about the answer you have given in 7b. Can you rewrite your thinking so it sounds more like a mathematical argument? Or, can you explain why you don't need to rewrite it because you are satisfied that it already sounds like a mathematical argument.

THEORISING PRACTICE

I returned to university and undertook research in my Specialist Mathematics classes to find out. I found the state of 'flow' was consistent with what I had observed. Flow (Csikszentmihalyi and Csikszentmihalyi, 1992) is a state of total involvement where all energies are directed to the task at hand and feelings of pleasure are experienced. This state can be achieved when people work just above their present skill level on a self-set challenge that is almost out of reach. The state of flow cannot be sustained for long unless complexity is increased. I developed the conditions for flow specific to mathematical problem solving and called this process 'discovering complexity.'

I found it was not the actual task that created the engagement, it was the mathematical complexities the students discovered for themselves and wondered about and experimented with as they worked with the task that did the trick. Different groups

discovered different complexities so when they combined their ideas in the reporting sessions they began to see the mathematics in the task from a variety of perspectives. Each group was setting its own intellectual challenge that was just beyond the present conceptual level of the group members. The task above is used to explain this process.

COMPLEX TASKS

It's important that tasks are developed in such a way that they can be accessed through numerical examples, developing patterns and thinking about why patterns work. Consider the task above. This is presented without teaching the students about arrays, areas of rectangles or factors just prior to the task. The task is accessible whether or not this work has been covered in the past. By not identifying these mathematical topics as relevant, students have the opportunity to recognise their relevance for themselves or to develop these concepts as

they explore. This contributes to the spontaneity of their exploration, spontaneity of exploration being a condition for flow.

My tasks are designed with the intention to surprise. Some students are surprised to find twelve tiles make more rectangles than fourteen tiles – Questions 2 and 3 – and begin to wonder why. Other students may not become engaged until they reach Question 6 where they need to show they have found all possibilities. At this stage, students can begin to develop systematic ways to find factors. It could be the first time they have had to think about developing an argument to show they have exhausted all possibilities.

For this article I have intentionally replaced one of the numbers in the task with an 'X' to provide you with the opportunity to construct part of the task by considering the nature of a number that could replace the 'X'. We need a number that:

- is lower than 50 – so the working is not tedious
- makes quite a few different rectangles – to entice students to select this endpoint
- does not make the largest number of rectangles – to demonstrate that careful thinking and checking is required.

By selecting 'X' carefully, some groups think they have reached closure too early. Their surprise arises when they find that a group they had not considered to be 'good at maths' finds something they did not. Such experiences entice students into analysis, synthesis and evaluation (Williams, 2002) as they search for ways to check the mathematics they have generated.

To retain the challenge and excitement, it is important that no one who already knows has the opportunity to 'tell' those who don't during small-group work. Instead of composing groups for peer tutoring, students in the same group need to have similar mathematical backgrounds and think at similar paces.

What is the role of the teacher during such a task? My PhD research within the Learners' Perspective Study (Clarke, 2001) provides an opportunity to reflect upon this.

FACTORS THAT PROMOTE HIGH-QUALITY LEARNING SITUATIONS

Of five teachers identified by their school communities in Australia and the US as 'good' teachers, none specifically focused

upon providing opportunities for the type of high-quality learning associated with flow. These teachers were expert in providing safe emotional environments, but students in these classrooms rarely engaged in thinking more complex than analysis. It appeared that most of these teachers over-generalised their students' need for emotional security to a need for 'cognitive protection'. These teachers said their efforts were aimed at ensuring students did not have to struggle with their mathematics. In spite of this, five of their eighty-six students spontaneously manoeuvred their own 'space to think', creating high-quality learning where complex thinking and high positive affect co-existed.

The inclination to explore

Each of the five students – of varying achievement levels – who created 'space to think' was found to be resilient, inclined to explore the unfamiliar and bounce back from problems encountered. This finding raises questions about whether we should be building students' resilience to improve their mathematical problem-solving ability rather than just focusing on the mathematical problem solving process! If resilience is important, we need to broaden our research focus to study resilience building through mathematics learning – such as occurs during class collaboration.

Questions to structure the exploration

The structuring of questions to propel exploration forward was undertaken by these students but not by their teachers. Examples of these questions follow, with the type of thinking involved in answering the question in italics.

Can I use this for anything else? Kerri. *Evaluation.*

How can we express this relationship (generally)? Leon and Pepe. *Synthesis.*

Which way is better? Leon. *Evaluative analysis.* (Williams, 2004)

Is there another way? Leon. *Synthetic analysis.* (Williams, 2004)

How could that help? Leon. *Analysis.* (Williams, 2004)

These questions parallel the questions asked during class collaboration by the teacher and students. Development of these questioning techniques could reduce the

desire felt by teachers to provide cognitive protection.

SURVEYING THE FUTURE

Intellectual quality has been recognised as a feature of schools in which higher learning gains are achieved. (Luke, Elkins et al., 2003) Intellectual quality when associated with high positive affect has the potential to assist students to interconnect mathematical concepts, decrease alienation from school, and build resilience to improve mathematical problem solving and buffer against depression. Such opportunities are too good to miss, and class collaboration illustrates one way this could be achieved. We need to broaden our research focus to explore resilience in the context of mathematics learning. We must raise teacher awareness of the differences between emotional security and 'cognitive protection' and scaffold teacher realisation that, although it is productive to promote the former, the latter should be replaced by opportunities for students to focus spontaneously on appropriate intellectual challenges. When teachers are supported through the provision of structured questions relating to their pedagogical explorations as they develop pedagogical approaches that promote high-quality learning, they are likely to build their own resilience and thus be better equipped to build the resilience of their students. How could we decide to do anything other than pursue such learning opportunities? ■

Gaynor Williams works with the Brunswick Cluster of the Victorian Department of Education and Training, associated with the University of Melbourne, and is a recipient of a National Excellence in Teaching Award, an Australian Association of Mathematics Teachers Innovative Teaching Award and a Mathematics Education Research Group of Australasia Early Career Award. This article is a version of her address at the Charting National Futures Forum convened by Deakin University in Melbourne in May.

For references go to www.educare.com.au/news_references.html