

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Van Schaik, L;Kettle, C;Green, R;Wundersitz, D;Gordon, B;Irving, HR;Rathner, JA

Title:

Corrigendum: Both caffeine and Capsicum annuum fruit powder lower blood glucose levels and increase brown adipose tissue temperature in healthy adult males(Front. Physiol., (2022), 13, (870154), 10.3389/fphys.2022.870154)

Date:

2023-01-10

Citation:

Van Schaik, L., Kettle, C., Green, R., Wundersitz, D., Gordon, B., Irving, H. R. & Rathner, J. A. (2023). Corrigendum: Both caffeine and Capsicum annuum fruit powder lower blood glucose levels and increase brown adipose tissue temperature in healthy adult males(Front. Physiol., (2022), 13, (870154), 10.3389/fphys.2022.870154). Frontiers in Physiology, 13, pp.1127730-. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2022.1127730>.

Persistent Link:

<https://hdl.handle.net/11343/334977>

License:

CC BY



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Da-wei Zhang,
University of Alberta, Canada

*CORRESPONDENCE
Lachlan Van Schaik,
✉ lachlan.vanschaik@unimelb.edu.au

SPECIALTY SECTION
This article was submitted
to Metabolic Physiology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Physiology

RECEIVED 19 December 2022
ACCEPTED 21 December 2022
PUBLISHED 10 January 2023

CITATION
Van Schaik L, Kettle C, Green R,
Wundersitz D, Gordon B, Irving HR and
Rathner JA (2023), Corrigendum: Both
caffeine and *Capsicum annuum* fruit
powder lower blood glucose levels and
increase brown adipose tissue
temperature in healthy adult males.
Front. Physiol. 13:1127730.
doi: 10.3389/fphys.2022.1127730

COPYRIGHT
© 2023 Van Schaik, Kettle, Green,
Wundersitz, Gordon, Irving and Rathner.
This is an open-access article distributed
under the terms of the [Creative Commons
Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The use,
distribution or reproduction in other
forums is permitted, provided the original
author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are
credited and that the original publication in
this journal is cited, in accordance with
accepted academic practice. No use,
distribution or reproduction is permitted
which does not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: Both caffeine and *Capsicum annuum* fruit powder lower blood glucose levels and increase brown adipose tissue temperature in healthy adult males

Lachlan Van Schaik^{1*}, Christine Kettle¹, Rod Green¹,
Daniel Wundersitz², Brett Gordon², Helen R. Irving¹ and
Joseph A. Rathner^{1,3}

¹Department of Rural Clinical Sciences, La Trobe Institute for Molecular Science, La Trobe University, Bendigo, VIC, Australia, ²Department of Rural Allied Health, Holsworth Research Initiative, La Trobe Rural Health School, La Trobe University, Bendigo, VIC, Australia, ³Department of Anatomy and Physiology, School of Biomedical Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

KEYWORDS

thermogenesis, substrate utilisation, capsaicin, glucose use, infra-red thermography (IRT), energy expenditure (EE), randomized double-blind placebo and positive-controlled crossover trial

A Corrigendum on

Both caffeine and *Capsicum annuum* fruit powder lower blood glucose levels and increase brown adipose tissue temperature in healthy adult males


by Van Schaik L, Kettle C, Green R, Wundersitz D, Gordon B, Irving HR and Rathner JA (2022). *Front. Physiol.* 13:870154. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2022.870154

In the published article, there was an error in [Figure 3](#) as published. A mistake in the conversion of units due to human error resulted in the values being an order of magnitude too high and the incorrect figure was included in the published article (incorrect and corrected calculation have been provided to the editor/journal for verification). As per the Weir equation (Cunningham, 1990) energy expenditure is calculated in kilocalories (kcal/min). When converting kcal to kilojoules (kJ/min), the correct formula: $\text{kcal} \times 4.184$. The authors accidentally used: $\text{kJ} \times 4.184$. In an early draft of the manuscript, we converted kcal/kg/min into kJ/kg/min to report the data in SI units, as is required for some publications. In the process a mistake due to human error resulted in the incorrect data being included in [Figure 3](#). The corrected [Figure 3](#) and caption appear below.

Also, due to the above error, the results section states incorrect values for the energy expenditure in reference to [Figure 3](#).

A correction has been made to the **results** section, *Caffeine and C. annuum effects on total on total energy expenditure*, paragraph number, 2. The corrected paragraph is given below:

“For day 1 energy expenditure, a significant interaction effect ($F_{(2, 21)} = 23.13$, $p < .001$, [Figure 3A](#)) was found. Post-hoc analysis revealed that both caffeine ($3.34 \pm .13$ kcal/kg/min) over the 120-min intervention period and *C. annuum* fruit powder ($2.92 \pm .34$ kcal/kg/min over 120 min) significantly increased the rate of energy expenditure compared to placebo ($1.95 \pm .21$ kcal/kg/min over 120 min; [Figure 3A](#)). Similarly, for day 7, a significant interaction effect ($F_{(2, 21)} = 23.13$, $p < .001$, [Figure 3B](#)) was found. Post-hoc analysis revealed that caffeine ($3.18 \pm$

**FIGURE 3**

Changes in whole-body energy expenditure in participants between pre- and post-administration of interventions in a 120 min period. (A) day 1 and (B) day 7. Grey bar, placebo capsule; blue bar, caffeine capsule; red bar, *C. annuum* fruit powder capsule. Data is expressed as mean $\pm$ SD, $n = 8$ per intervention, *represents interaction effect (** $p < .001$). Data values were analysed using repeated measures 2-way analysis of variance (ANOVA; pre $\times$ post). Each ANOVA assessed differences between treatments (caffeine, *C. annuum*, and placebo) and time points (pre vs. post intervention).

.16 kcal/kg/min) and *C. annuum* fruit powder ($3.13 \pm .17$ kcal/kg/min) significantly increased the rate of energy expenditure compared to placebo ($1.8 \pm .15$ kcal/kg/min; Figure 3B). No significant day interaction effect was found for energy expenditure.”

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.