

DR. GIANNI L FAEDDA (Orcid ID : 0000-0001-6197-0543)
 DR. MICHAEL BERK (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-5554-6946)
 PROF. PHILIPPE CONUS (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-5832-1910)
 PROF. ANNE DUFFY (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-5895-075X)
 DR. ANDREA PFENNIG (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-3415-5583)
 DR. ROBERT POST (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-4246-524X)
 DR. ASWIN RATHEESH (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-8029-9422)
 DR. MAURICIO TOHEN (Orcid ID : 0000-0001-8049-4351)
 DR. EDUARD VIETA (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-0548-0053)
 DR. ERIC YOUNGSTROM (Orcid ID : 0000-0003-2251-6860)

Article type : Task Force Papers

Corresponding author mail id: moodcenter@gmail.com

Title: An International Society of Bipolar Disorders Task force Report: Precursors and Prodromes of Bipolar Disorder.

Authors: Faedda GL^{a,b}, Baldessarini RJ^{b,c}, Marangoni C^d, Bechdolf A,^{e,f} Berk M,^{g,h} Birmaher B,ⁱ Conus P,^j DelBello MP,^k Duffy AC,^l Hillegers MHJ,^m Pfennig A,ⁿ Post RM,^o Preisig M,^p Ratheesh A,^{g,h} Salvatore P,^{e,q} Tohen M,^r Vázquez GH,^{b,s} Vieta E,^t Yatham LN,^u Youngstrom EA,^v Van Meter A,^{w,x} Correll CU^{w,x,y,z}

Affiliations:

- a. Mood Disorders Center, New York, NY, USA.
- b. International Consortium for Mood and Psychotic Disorders Research, McLean Hospital, Belmont, MA, USA.
- c. Mailman Research Center, McLean Hospital; Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA.
- d. Department of Psychiatry - District 3, ULSS 9 Scaligera, Verona, Italy.

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: [10.1111/BDI.12831](https://doi.org/10.1111/BDI.12831)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

- e. Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.
- f. Department of Psychiatry, Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, Vivantes Hospital am Urban and Vivantes Hospital im Friedrichschain, Charite Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Germany.
- g. Deakin University, IMPACT Strategic Research Centre, University Hospital Geelong, Barwon Health, Geelong, Australia.
- h. Orygen, The National Center of Excellence in Youth Mental Health, Parkville, the Florey Institute for Neuroscience and Mental Health and the Department of Psychiatry, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia.
- i. Department of Psychiatry, Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA, USA.
- j. Treatment and Early Intervention in Psychosis Program (TIPP), Département de Psychiatrie CHUV, Université de Lausanne, Clinique de Cery, 1008 Prilly, Switzerland.
- k. Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Neuroscience, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH, USA.
- l. Department of Psychiatry, Queen's University, Student Wellness Services, Kingston, Ontario, Canada.
- m. Department of Psychiatry, Brain Center Rudolf Magnus, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands; Erasmus medical Center Rotterdam, Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Rotterdam, The Netherlands.
- n. Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Carl Gustav Carus University Hospital, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany.
- o. Bipolar Collaborative Network, Bethesda, MD; Department of Psychiatry, George Washington University School of Medicine, Washington, DC, USA.
- p. Department of Psychiatry, University Hospital of Lausanne, Site de Cery, Prilly, 1008, Lausanne, Switzerland.
- q. Psychiatry Section, Department of Neuroscience, School of Medicine, University of Parma, Parma, Italy.
- r. Department of Psychiatry & Behavioral Sciences, University of New Mexico Health Sciences Center, Albuquerque, NM, USA.
- s. Psychiatry, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada.
- t. Bipolar Disorder Unit, Institute of Neuroscience, Hospital Clinic, University of Barcelona, IDIBAPS, CIBERSAM, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain.
- u. Mood Disorders Centre, Department of Psychiatry, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada.
- v. Department of Psychology and Neuroscience, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA.
- w. Hofstra Northwell School of Medicine, Department of Psychiatry and Molecular Medicine, Hempstead, NY, USA.
- x. The Zucker Hillside Hospital, Psychiatry Research, Northwell Health, Glen Oaks, NY, USA.

y. The Feinstein Institute for Medical Research, Center for Psychiatric Neuroscience, Manhasset, NY, USA.

z. Charité Universitätsmedizin, Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Berlin, Germany.

Resubmitted to: *Bipolar Disorders*, June 2019.

Author Manuscript

Disclosures:

Dr. Faedda is a PI at Mood Disorders Consulting Medicine, PLLC and conducts or has conducted clinical trials, or has been a consultant or speaker for: Acadia, Alkermes, Allergan, Avanim, Axovant, AZTherapies, Janssen, Lundbeck, Neurim, Neurocrine, Otsuka, Pfizer, Roche, Sunovion, Suven and Teva.

Dr. Baldessarini and immediate family members have no financial relationships with commercial organizations that might represent potential conflicts of interest in the material presented.

Dr. Bechdolf has received grants from the National Institute of Mental Health and honoraria from Janssen, Lundbeck, Otsuka, the German Research Foundation (DFG), the German Federal Minister of Research and Education (BMBF) and from the Brain and Behavior Research Foundation.

Dr. Berk has received Grant/Research Support from the NIH, Cooperative Research Centre, Simons Autism Foundation, Cancer Council of Victoria, Stanley Medical Research Foundation, MBF, NHMRC, Beyond Blue, Rotary Health, Geelong Medical Research Foundation, Bristol Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly, Glaxo SmithKline, Meat and Livestock Board, Organon, Novartis, Mayne Pharma, Servier, Woolworths, Avant and the Harry Windsor Foundation, has been a speaker for Astra Zeneca, Bristol Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly, Glaxo SmithKline, Janssen Cilag, Lundbeck, Merck, Pfizer, Sanofi Synthelabo, Servier, Solvay and Wyeth, and served as a consultant to Allergan, Astra Zeneca, Bioadvantex, Bionomics, Collaborative Medicinal Development, Eli Lilly, Grunbiotics, Glaxo SmithKline, Janssen Cilag, LivaNova, Lundbeck, Merck, Mylan, Otsuka, Pfizer and Servier.

Dr. Birmaher received grants from the royalties for publications from Random House, Inc. (New Hope for Children and Teens with Bipolar Disorder), Lippincott Williams & Wilkins (Treating Child and Adolescent Depression) and UpToDate.

Dr. Conus and immediate family members have no financial relationships with commercial organizations that might present potential conflicts of interest in the material presented.

Dr. Del Bello has received grant or research support from National Institute of Mental Health, the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute, Janssen, Johnson and Johnson, Supernus, Sunovion, Otsuka, Pfizer, Lundbeck, and Shire. She has served on the advisory board of Sunovion, Neuronetics, Akili, Supernus, Lundbeck, and Johnson and Johnson. She has served as a consultant to Johnson and Johnson, Takeda, and Sunovion. She has received honoraria from American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry Institute, CMEology and Medscape for presentations. She has served on the speakers' bureau of Sunovion and Otsuka. She has received travel expenses from Sunovion, CMEology, Medscape, and Supernus.

Dr. Duffy and immediate family members have no financial relationships with commercial organizations that might present potential conflicts of interest in the material presented.

Dr. Hillegers and immediate family members have no financial relationships with commercial organizations that might present potential conflicts of interest in the material presented.

Dr. Marangoni and immediate family members have no financial relationships with commercial organizations that might present potential conflicts of interest in the material presented.

Dr. Pfennig received honoraria and reimbursement of travel expenses from Janssen, AstraZeneca, Lundbeck and Lilly.

Dr. Post AstraZeneca, Sunovion, Takeda.

Dr. Preisig and immediate family members have no financial relationships with commercial organizations that might present potential conflicts of interest in the material presented.

Dr. Ratheesh and immediate family members have no financial relationships with commercial organizations that might present potential conflicts of interest in the material presented.

Dr. Salvatore and immediate family members have no financial relationships with commercial organizations that might present potential conflicts of interest in the material presented.

Dr. Tohen is a former full time employee at Lilly (1997 to 2008). He has been a consultant for Alexza, Alkermes, AstraZeneca, Abbott, Bristol Myers Squibb, Elan, Eli Lilly, Forest, Gedeon Richter, Glaxo Smith-Kline, Johnson & Johnson, Lundbeck, Merck, Otsuka, Pamlab, Roche, Sunovion, Teva, and Wyeth Corporations . His spouse is a former employee of Eli Lilly Corp. (1998-2013).

Dr. Vázquez and immediate family members have no financial relationships with commercial organizations that might present potential conflicts of interest in the material presented.

Dr. Vieta has received grants and served as consultant, advisor or CME speaker for the following entities: AB-Biotics, Allergan, AstraZeneca, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Ferrer, Gedeon Richter, Glaxo-Smith-Kline, Janssen, Lundbeck, Otsuka, Pfizer, Roche, Sanofi-Aventis, Servier, Shire, Sunovion, Takeda, Telefónica, the Brain and Behaviour Foundation, the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (CIBERSAM), the Seventh European Framework Programme (ENBREC), and the Stanley Medical Research Institute.

Dr. Yatham has been on speaker/advisory boards for, or has received research grants from Allergan, Alkermes, AstraZeneca, Bristol Myers Squibb, CANMAT, CIHR, Dainippon Sumitomo, Eli Lilly, Forest, GlaxoSmithKline, Janssen, Lundbeck, Michael Smith Foundation for Health Research, Otsuka, Pfizer, Servier, Sunovion, and the Stanley Foundation.

Dr. Youngstrom has consulted with Pearson, Otsuka, Janssen, Joe Startup Technologies, and Western Psychological Services about psychological assessment.

Dr. Van Meter has received funding from the American Psychological Foundation.

Dr. Correll has received grant support from the Bendheim Foundation, Janssen, the National Institute of Diabetes, the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute, and Takeda. He has also been a consultant and/or advisor to or has received honoraria from Alkermes, Allergan, Angelini, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Gerson Lehrman Group, Indivior, IntraCellular Therapies, Janssen/J&J, LB Pharma, Lundbeck, MedAvante-ProPhase, Medscape, Merck, Neurocrine, Noven, Otsuka, Pfizer, Rovi, Servier, Sunovion, Supernus, Takeda, and Teva. He has provided expert testimony for Bristol-Myers Squibb, Janssen, and Otsuka. He served on a Data Safety Monitoring Board for Boehringer-Ingelheim, Lundbeck, Rovi, Supernus, and Teva. He received royalties from UpToDate and is also a shareholder of LB Pharma.

Acknowledgments:

RJB is supported, in part by a grant from the Bruce J. Anderson Foundation and the McLean Private Donors Psychopharmacology Research Fund. MB is supported by a NHMRC Senior Principal Research Fellowship (1059660 and 1156072).

Correspondence to:

Dr. GL Faedda, Mood Disorders Center, 2 West 46h Street, Suite 511, New York, NY 10036, USA.

Abstract

Objectives: To clarify the clinical features preceding the onset of Bipolar disorder (BD) has become a public health priority for the prevention of high morbidity and mortality. BD remains frequently under- or misdiagnosed, and under- or mistreated, often for years. **Methods:** We assessed the predictive value of precursors and prodromes of BD. We assessed precursors of first-lifetime manic or hypomanic episodes with/without mixed features in retrospective and prospective studies. **Methods:** The task force evaluated and summarized separately assessments of familial risk, premorbid personality traits, retrospective and prospective studies. **Results:** Cyclothymic features, a family history of BD, retrospectively-reported attenuated manic symptoms, prospectively-identified subthreshold symptoms of hypomania, recurrence of depression, panic anxiety and psychotic features, have been identified as clinical precursors of BD. The prodromal symptoms like [hypo]mania often appears to be long enough to encourage early identification and timely intervention. **Conclusions:** The predictive value of any risk factor identified remains largely unknown. Prospective controlled studies are urgently needed for prevention and effective treatment.

Key words: antecedents, bipolar disorder, depression, early onset, hypomania, mania, prodrome, risk factors.

INTRODUCTION

Bipolar disorder (BD) evolved in the mid-20th century from Kraepelin's proposal of a broader manic-depressive illness characterized by recurrences of manic and depressive episodes¹. Forms of BD recognized in DSM-5 include *type I*, *type II*, *cyclothymia*, and other specified and *unspecified* forms. Prevalence of BD varies with the diagnostic criteria used and the breadth of included subtypes. Rates may reach 5%, particularly if unspecified BD or recurring major depression with hypomanic features are included². In recent years, there has been increased interest in both the earliest stages of BD and subsyndromal manifestations³. This growing interest reflects efforts to predict BD or to identify it earlier, based on early psychopathology, or to differentiate BD from symptoms of other coexisting or emerging conditions that may not be risk factors or precursors of BD⁴.

BD is highly heritable, a confirmed family history in first-degree relatives is a strong predictor and identifies a high-risk population⁵. Onset of BD is in adolescent or early adult years, but half of patients who eventually meet diagnostic criteria for BD-I or BD-II have had mood symptoms or episodes of major depression in youth as well as nonspecific psychopathology, including anxiety, substance abuse, attention deficit, or behavior disorders^{6,7}. As many as half of BD patients diagnosed in adolescence or early adulthood had prior anxiety disorders, clinically significant mood symptoms and sensitivity or high emotionality to stressors^{8,10,7}. Furthermore, in a vast majority of cases, the index mood episode of a BD is depressive often years prior to the first manic episode^{11,21,51}. There are reports of mania-like symptoms in very young, pre-pubertal children, often with complex and rapidly shifting admixtures of affective symptoms resembling "[hypo]manic" (manic or hypomanic) and depressive features and behavioral changes that may represent mixed features, as well as psychotic symptoms, and high rates of co-

occurring neurodevelopmental disorders, and may lack a discrete, episodic course - notwithstanding the cross-diagnostic specificity of such symptoms^{2,3,22}. Such early cases may differ in some respects from typical, adult BD, and their developmental continuity remains to be proved. However, there is growing evidence that adolescent and adult presentations of BD as considered typical in adults are particularly likely to be followed by life-long, episodic recurrences¹¹. Onset of BD before adulthood has been associated with a poorer outcome, frequent recurrences, high rates of co-occurring anxiety disorders and substance abuse, poor treatment response and functional outcome^{8,9,10,11}. Increasingly, clinical and research attention has been directed toward earlier identification and treatment of BD with the hope of reducing the long-term burden of illness and disability. It is important to better characterize the psychopathological conditions arising in youth, which precede more typical, adult forms of BD. Such precursor symptoms and syndromes may include complex, changing, and diagnostically poorly specific and confusing admixtures of affective (depressive and [hypo]manic), anxious, and behavioral features^{23,44,60}. Research on precursors and prodromes of BD may contribute to predicting onset of first episode mania-like (mania, hypomania, mixed) episodes¹⁰. Such research and clinical attention clearly are warranted by the frequency, morbidity, disability, and mortality of BD, particularly of early-onset^{6,10}. However the early stages of all psychiatric syndromes are diffuse and non-specific prompting some researchers to embrace transdiagnostic approaches to the at-risk period.

Several relatively nonspecific psychopathological presentations have been reported as antecedents to the syndromal onset of BD^{39,138}. They include mood-swings, emotional lability, substance abuse, severe or sustained irritability, psychotic features, depressive and activated/hypomanic symptoms, sleep-disturbances, anxiety, impulsivity, aggression, and sometimes cyclothymia or unspecified forms of BD as well as syndromal depression. Such symptoms and syndromes can occur several years before a first major episode of mania or hypomania, as required to support a diagnosis of BD^{39,138}. A particular diagnostic challenge is to differentiate early depressive illness that will or will not later meet criteria for BD. Nevertheless, prospective and retrospective studies have also shown that major depression, especially if recurrent, abrupt onset in adolescence and in the context of a positive family history of BD is predictive of developing BD²⁰.

Based on this background, the International Society of Bipolar Disorders (ISBD) convened a task force of experts charged primarily with the task of identifying factors that support prediction of the later emergence of BD by summarizing the available research evidence. A secondary aim was to identify next steps for research aimed at improving the timely diagnosis of BD. This report summarizes the findings and recommendations of the ISBD task force.

METHODS

The task force primarily aimed to review and summarize clinical evidence, from family-based and other prospective high-risk studies, as well as retrospective and other types of studies, to identify and evaluate

precursors and prodromes to BD, defined as the occurrence of a first-lifetime manic or hypomanic episodes with/without mixed features.

After several conference calls and an inaugural meeting, the task force organised four working groups to address the prediction of BD by: [a] *prospectively identified risk factors*, [b] *symptomatic prodromes of mania*, [c] *family high-risk studies*, and [d] *affective temperaments*. These working groups continued to use conference calls and exchanges of draft documents; their methods of each working group are summarized next.

a) Prospectively identified risk factors

This component followed reported methods¹², updating the search. Briefly, it involved two separate computerized, systematic searches of PubMed, PsycINFO and SCOPUS databases through June 2017, using the following search terms and algorithms: [1] bipolar disorder AND (antecedent* OR predict* OR prodrom* OR prospect* OR risk) AND (diagnosis OR development); [2] bipolar disorder AND (prenatal exposure OR maternal exposure OR trauma OR childhood abuse OR alcoholism OR cannabis OR smoking OR cocaine OR central stimulants OR opioids OR UV light OR pollution OR vitamin D). References in identified reports were hand-searched for additional reports. Articles selected with text or abstract in English and met the following inclusion criteria: [1] prospective, longitudinal observational cohort studies or longitudinal case-control studies; [2] studies of subjects without lifetime BD-I or -II at initial assessment but with BD-I or -II at follow-up; [3] diagnosis could be clinical or based on structured interviews (meeting DSM-III, -IV, or -5, or ICD-9 or 10 criteria). Non-BD diagnoses at intake could include major depressive episode (MDE), major depressive disorder (MDD), dysthymia, cyclothymia, sub-syndromal affective disorders, anxiety disorders, disruptive behavioral disorders, ADHD, or no psychiatric diagnosis. Exclusion criteria were studies with: [1] subjects with a pre-intake lifetime diagnosis of BD; [2] BD outcome combined with other diagnoses; [3] subjects with genetic abnormalities; [4] risk factors based on neuropsychological testing, biological markers or neuroimaging (as this Task Force was charged with focusing specifically on the clinical at-risk stages or “prodromes” of BD, while a separate ISBD Task Force currently addresses the biological risk factors and mechanisms underlying BD); [5] studies of temperaments or personality traits or disorders; [6] cross-sectional studies without longitudinal follow-up; [7] retrospective studies; [8] clinical trials; [9] reviews or case reports; and [10] studies of antidepressant exposure for anxiety or mood disorders. We focused specifically on new diagnoses of BD type-I or -II (and not -NOS) as the outcome of interest.

b) Symptomatic prodromes of mania

Systematic literature reviews were conducted through June 2017, using the PubMed database and the search terms: predict*, prodrom*, “first episode” and “mania,” limited to title and abstracts through 2017. Additional reports were identified from previous reviews on the topic^{3,13-17}. Among included studies, outcomes considered were limited to first-lifetime episodes of *mania* (not hypomania, as the validity of identifying a prodrome to hypomania was considered questionable). Hence, we excluded reports focusing on BD-II, BD-NOS or broadly

defined “bipolar-spectrum” diagnoses, or with insufficient information to support a DSM-IV (or equivalent) diagnosis of BD-I. Due to the paucity of prospective studies, cross-sectional and retrospective studies of cases with established BD also were included. Identified studies were stratified by quality-ratings based on the presence of controls and of a systematic identification of antecedent or prodromal symptoms.

c) Family high-risk studies

Based on a systematic literature review in PubMed/Medline until June 2017, we reviewed reports from high-risk family studies of the offspring of one or two parents with BD, emphasizing the work of several leading international research groups. We aimed to identify antecedent conditions and describe the early clinical trajectory of BD among offspring considered to be at increased risk given high estimated heritability of BD¹⁸. Studies included in this review were: the Amish study in the US^{58,100}; the Flourish Canadian Bipolar Offspring study^{11,19}, the Dutch Bipolar Offspring study^{20,21}, the BIOS Pittsburgh study^{22,23}, a US-Australian multi-site study²⁴, and a Swiss study^{25,26} (for focus, only the first and one recent paper from these important cohort studies are listed). These six cohort studies were emphasized as representing the largest and most detailed body of evidence with the longest prospective follow-up duration regarding clinical outcomes of the offspring of parents diagnosed with BD.

d) Affective temperaments

A systematic literature search was conducted in the PubMed/Medline, Scopus, BiomedCentral, PsychLit, Scielo, and Cochrane databases, using the search terms “affective temperament* AND depression*, OR mania*, OR bipolar disorder* OR suicide*”. The search period was 1980 through June 2017, as 1980 marked the beginnings of modern interest in the assessment of affective temperament. Two investigators (GHV, PS) conducted independent literature searches and pooled findings based on consensus, after screening titles and abstracts, and reviewing full text articles for potentially eligible articles. The electronic search was supplemented by hand searching of reference lists of included and review articles on this topic. Included were prospective, cross-sectional or retrospective studies of subjects without age restriction, with or without a psychiatric diagnosis, currently suffering or not from a psychiatric disorder, which were published in peer-reviewed journals in any language but with an abstract in English.

RESULTS

a) Prospective studies of risk factors

Homotypic risk factors of BD

Homotypic risk factors (i.e., phenomenological expressions overlapping with the diagnostic criteria for BD) (Table 1) reported in prospectively observed samples share characteristics with BD itself. For example, similar to findings in family high-risk studies⁴, mood lability predicted later diagnoses of BD-II disorders in young adults in

a community sample²⁷, and predicted a change of diagnosis to BD-I in adults hospitalized for MDD with psychotic features^{28,29}. A history of sub-syndromal depression predicted BD in a community study of adults³⁰. Again, similar to family high risk data, lifetime sub-syndromal hypomanic symptoms predicted later development of BD spectrum disorders and recurrent MDD³⁰, both risk factors for BD. In addition, the combination of subclinical hypomania with subclinical psychosis predicted new diagnoses of BD three-times more often than subclinical hypomania alone³¹. Similarly, in the NESARC study, symptoms of elation or irritability, and especially their combination, significantly predicted later BD within three years of follow-up³². MDD with sub-syndromal hypomanic symptoms predicted later diagnosis of BD in both children³³, adolescents³⁴, adults³⁵ and in those with psychotic symptoms regardless the age^{28,29,36}. MDD with psychotic symptoms has been found to be diagnostically unstable in several prospective studies, and has included relatively high rates of later BD in both adolescents and adults³⁵⁻³⁸. Among hospitalized children and adolescents diagnosed with MDD, high scores for cyclothymic temperament also significantly predicted later diagnoses of BD³⁷.

Consistent with prospective studies, mood lability or mood-swings preceding the diagnosis of BD have also been described in retrospective analyses of both youths and adults, and can represent a precursor or part of a prodrome evolving into syndromal [hypo]mania³⁹⁻⁴¹. Notably, when depression lacked associated hypomanic features, risk of later BD was less than when such features were present^{33,42}.

Subsyndromal hypomania has predicted BD in only a minority of subjects over relatively short periods of follow-up^{4,23,30-32,43}. Fewer than half of youth diagnosed with BD-NOS at intake, particularly those without a family history of BD, met criteria for BD-I or BD-II within five years of follow-up, suggesting that BD-NOS is not necessarily a precursor to better-defined forms of BD^{42,44}. In addition, some cases of cyclothymic disorder, BD-NOS or BD-II remained stable and did not develop mania during follow-up^{42,44-46}, again failing to support a developmental hierarchy of these conditions, although based on possibly inadequate duration of follow-up. On the other hand, rates of progression to full BD in cases of hypomania or cyclothymia are among the highest and even more predictive than having a family history of BD. However, consistent with findings of the Early Developmental Stages of Psychopathology (EDSP) study, hypomanic symptoms increased risk of BD only if they were recurrent or persistent, and especially when associated with other predictors or precursors of BD^{23,33,42,47,48}. Taken together, hypomanic symptoms are relatively sensitive, but not necessarily specific, in predicting BD.

Heterotypic risk factors of BD

Heterotypic risk factors (i.e., phenomenological expressions not overlapping with the diagnostic criteria for BD) (Table 2) can precede development of BD, but are not similar to clinical features typical of BD⁴⁹. Examples include anxiety syndromes, sleep disturbances, substance abuse, and behavior disorders^{2,4,23,50,51}. Such early phenomena are also likely to be nonspecific, in that they can precede other clinical conditions or even lack of later diagnosable psychiatric illness. They may be relatively sensitive in predicting later BD, but lacking in specificity, which may be increased if there is also a family history of BD^{4,49}.

In a community study, any anxiety disorder in adolescence was a significant risk factor for later BD⁵². In the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC) study, generalized anxiety disorder (GAD) in association with irritability or mild elation predicted the onset of BD in adulthood³², as did a history of separation anxiety⁵³, panic attacks⁵⁴, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD)^{55,56}. However, these precursors lacked specificity and were associated with later conditions as diverse as to include anxiety disorders, MDD, alcohol dependence, and chronic pain^{32,53-56}.

An association of early anxiety disorders with prospectively observed BD is supported by several studies^{32,52-56}. This predictive association parallels the high rates of co-occurrence of anxiety disorders in adults with BD⁵⁷. The association of anxiety disorders, especially early in youth, with later BD also is supported by prospective studies of the offspring of patients with BD^{4,21,50,58}. Anxiety disorders may be markers of general psychopathology, and often precede disruptive behavior, mood disorders including major depression, and substance-use disorders in youth⁵⁹.

In both the EDSP community study^{47,48} and the NESARC study⁵⁵, adolescent behavior disorders or impulsive behavior often preceded BD by 8–9 years, and the association was even stronger given a family history of BD^{4,23}. Again, however, these behavioral disorders also were followed by anxiety, depression, substance abuse, or a cluster B personality disorder^{49,60,61}.

Risk of BD appears to be increased following a range of conduct symptoms or disorders in childhood or adolescence, including runaway behavior, truancy, fighting, bullying, and other forms of aggression or violence^{39,47-49,60-62}. Childhood ADHD and behavioral difficulties have been found prospectively to predict later BD, but also other mood disorders, disruptive behavior disorders, sleep disorders, and specific phobias^{63,64}. Interestingly, however, an association between disruptive behavior disorders and later BD was not found in prospective studies of at-risk children of parents with BD in whom ADHD and disruptive behavioral disorders were uncommon, perhaps suggesting different developmental pathways to BD^{4,21,23,50,58}. Early violence and criminal behavior also have been associated with later BD; however, such behaviors, too, are likely to precede a range of psychopathology, including alcohol and substance abuse, and personality disorders^{34,65}. For all of the preceding, proposed heterotypic risk factors of BD, many studies not only lack specificity for BD, but also lack comparison or control groups with which to estimate rates of sensitivity and specificity in predicting BD^{4,23}.

Perinatal and juvenile exposure-related risk factors

We identified several conditions (Table 3) that can be considered perinatal stressors or otherwise serve as risk factors that have been associated with later BD. The Northern California Birth Cohort study has found an approximately twice-greater risk of later BD in offspring of mothers who smoked during pregnancy⁶⁶.

Premature birth or low birth-weight were found to precede BD either in female offspring only⁶⁷, or in both sexes⁶⁸, with approximately three-fold increase of risk of future BD. Unsurprisingly, the specificity of prematurity as a predictor is limited, as it can precede depression, eating disorders, and non-affective psychotic disorders^{67,68}. Among several other perinatal factors, including antenatal uterine bleeding, birth presentation type, induced labor,

and neonatal APGAR score, only planned delivery by cesarean section was associated statistically with increased risk of future BD, but the association is almost certainly not specific for BD⁶⁹.

Studies using data from the Northern California Birth Cohort examined the relationship between prenatal infection and BD. One found a four-fold increased risk of BD after exposure to influenza at any time during pregnancy, and a six-fold increase after exposure during the third trimester⁷⁰. Another found that prenatal exposure to maternal influenza significantly increased risk of BD with psychotic features⁷¹. However, similar associations have been reported for other neuropsychiatric disorders, including schizophrenia⁷² and autism⁷³. In general, prenatal exposure to infection usually is viewed as a non-specific vulnerability factor for neurodevelopmental disorders, and not disease-specific⁷⁴.

At least two studies found that early head injury was associated with increased risk of BD, but also for other neuropsychiatric disorders, including MDD, schizophrenia, and organic mental disorders^{75,76}.

Prenatal exposure to war during the first trimester of pregnancy was reported to be followed by an excess risk of BD, but also for MDD and other mood disorders⁷⁷. Parental loss within the first few years of life was found to increase risk for later BD by 2–4-fold, but the effect tended to attenuate with increasing ages of the children involved⁷⁸, and specificity of the association seems improbable. In subjects with MDD, early physical or sexual abuse, as well as social or economic distress have been associated with increased risk of later BD⁷⁹. Nevertheless, adverse life events and stressors during perinatal or early life appear to lack specificity for predicting later BD. The findings in a Danish register study regarding childhood adverse events as risk factors for later BD do not exclude early-life events as possible risk factors, but challenge the concept of adversities as important independent determinants of BD in genetically vulnerable individuals. Parental mental disorder was by far the strongest risk factor for BD⁸⁰.

Several studies found associations between early exposure to prescribed and illicit drugs and later BD, including cocaine⁸¹, cannabis^{82,83}, opioids^{84,85} and clinically prescribed stimulants, tranquilizers or sedatives, particularly when more than one substance was involved⁸⁶. In these studies, risk for later BD was increased by 2–5-fold, but early substance abuse was also associated with later MDD, anxiety disorders, and substance-use disorders.

In summary, a solid and increasing body of evidence suggests that homotypic risk factors along the symptomatic dimensions of (hypo)mania are among the most specific clinical features preceding a full expression of (hypo)mania. Among the most predictive symptoms are attenuated mania-like symptoms and co-occurring symptom constellations, such as increased energy and goal directed behavior, racing thoughts, overtalkativeness and decreased need for sleep, which are all part of the diagnostic criteria for a manic episode, but also prominently mood lability, which is not captured by mania criteria. Frank hypomania, as well as MDD with psychosis or MDD with mania-like symptoms also seem to be a relevant clinical risk states for BD. Heterotypic risk factors may either indicate a more severe psychopathological state due to multiple comorbidities that precede (hypo)mania or overlap with the symptom expressions of (hypo)mania, such as in externalizing disorders during childhood, cluster C personality disorders or substance use disorders. Finally, perinatal and juvenile exposure-related risk factors may be additional “hits” that increase the likelihood of illness expression, but are likely less

specific than heterotypic clinical risk factors, with the highest specificity being observed for homotypic clinical risk factors.

b) Symptomatic prodromes of mania

There are several findings of retrospectively or prospectively described symptoms that emerged prior to overt clinical manifestations of diagnosable BD. Prediction of later BD by preceding hypomanic symptoms was described in the 1970s⁸⁷. More broadly, the search for prodromal features preceding first syndromal episodes of various psychotic disorders gained momentum since then⁸⁸. This effort includes prospective studies of subjects at high familial risk for BD¹⁸ as well as retrospective studies of preceding clinical histories in patients with BD^{3,41}, as well as prospective studies of subjects not selected based on BD family history. We divided the identified studies into three types: [a] descriptive studies without controls, [b] descriptive studies with structured assessments, and [c] controlled studies (Table 4), to test the hypothesis that prodromal features of first-lifetime [hypo]manic episodes can be characterized systematically.

Descriptive studies without controls

A large survey of 600 members of the Depression and Manic-Depression Association (DMDA) found that 70% of participants had at least one manic symptom prior to diagnosis of BD-I⁸⁹. In another retrospective, questionnaire-based study of 240 participants with BD or schizoaffective disorder, depressive or manic symptoms and mood-swings were reported 3–6 years prior to a first manic episode⁹⁰.

Descriptive studies with structured assessments

In a cross-sectional study of BD-I participants based on semi-structured interviews, 6/20 subjects reported having had mood-swings before developing BD, and these correlated with a family history of affective disorders and with cyclothymic or irritable temperament⁹¹. Similarly, a retrospective study examined participants with first episodes of psychotic mania or bipolar-type schizoaffective disorder using structured questionnaires to assess diagnosis, prodromal symptoms, and temperament⁹². The duration of apparent prodromes, consisting of mood symptoms, sleep disruption and functional decline, had a bimodal distribution: ≤ 10 weeks in 50%, and 24–49 weeks in the other half⁹².

A semi-structured interview (Bipolar Prodrome Symptom Scale-Retrospective [BPSS-R]) was developed to examine prodromal symptoms of BD retrospectively¹³. Initial evaluation of this instrument among 52 children and adolescents with BD-I and a first episode of mania at age 13.4 ± 3.3 years found that 26.9% of first episodes of mania occurred before puberty⁹³. A proposed *mania prodrome*, based on identifying ≥ 3 manic features with or without depressive or other symptoms, was present for ≥ 4 months in 65.4% of subjects, and occurred 10.3 [CI: 6.30–14.4] months before a first-lifetime manic episode⁹³. Symptoms identified in $>50\%$ of samples prior to mania included subsyndromal hypomanic features, as well as depressed mood and decreased concentration.

Controlled studies

Parents of 82 postpubertal adolescents with BD, ADHD, or no psychiatric illness reported antecedent symptoms in preschool, latency or adolescent periods⁹⁴: compared with the healthy controls or those with ADHD, adolescents with BD more often were reported to have had elevated or irritable mood lasting >6 hours/day for >2 days during earlier adolescence as well as depressed mood lasting >6 hours/day during ages 6–12. A retrospective study evaluated early symptomatic differences between subjects diagnosed with ADHD or early-onset BD based on parental ratings of yearly symptoms⁹⁵. In those with BD, brief (41% vs. 5%) and extended mood elevations (59% vs. 18%) and decreased sleep (44% vs. 9%) were significantly more prevalent than with ADHD. In a 16-year prospective study of 115 Amish-American children with a BD-I parent and 106 children of parents without BD, a total of nine developed BD: 8/115 [6.96%] with and 1/106 [0.94%] without a family history of BD (a 7-4-fold risk difference; $\chi^2 = 5.11, p=0.02$). Prior to onset, parents of all nine juvenile subjects later diagnosed with BD also reported such nonspecific features such as “a sensitive nature,” anxiety or worries, obsessive-compulsive symptoms, low energy, somatic complaints, decreased sleep, minor depression, mood changes, or features of ADHD⁵⁸.

Another prospective, community-based study of Dutch adolescents used ratings with the Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) at ages 11–16 years to predict psychiatric diagnoses at age 19⁹⁶. Scores on a composite of CBCL items selected to represent DSM-IV symptoms of [hypo]mania were higher among 56 participants later diagnosed with BD-I compared with those with MDD ($p=0.002$) or GAD ($p=0.004$), but did not differ from those later diagnosed with ADHD or oppositional defiant disorder⁹⁶.

Another approach combined multiple risk factors as “bipolar-at-risk” (BAR) criteria in youth aged 15–25 years⁹⁷. The authors considered first-degree family history of BD, cyclothymic features, and sub-threshold depressive or manic symptoms as risk factors. In a prospective, controlled evaluation over 12 months⁹⁸, participants who fulfilled BAR criteria were significantly more likely to develop BD during follow-up (11.4%: BD-I 2.9%, BD-II 8.6%) compared with help-seeking psychiatric controls (0.0%). In addition, having a baseline history of alcohol abuse (75% vs. 8%, $p<0.001$) or a family history of substance-use disorder (67% vs. 12.5%, $p=0.01$) were associated with development of BD⁹⁹.

Relative prevalence of prodromal symptoms

In a meta-analysis of 11 studies (1078 subjects), involving broadly defined BD, the weighted average latency from prodromal symptoms to an initial manic episode was 10.6 ± 10.9 months (range=3.1–18.8 months) in the five studies (178 subjects) providing such information³. The most common prodromal symptoms ranked: excessive energy (87%), excessive talkativeness (60%), racing thoughts (59%), elated mood (59%), racing thoughts (59%), decreased need for sleep (57%), irritable mood (54%), hyperactive behavior (50%), and over-productive goal-directed (50%) behavior³.

In summary, prodromal symptoms of mania can be studied systematically and prospectively with structured methods of assessment, including psychiatric as well as healthy controls. Subjects-of-interest have been identified

in various ways, including those with current mood symptoms or disorders, a family history of BD, or those who had already developed BD. Subthreshold symptoms of hypomania, occurrence of depression and symptoms including anxiety or psychotic features, have been identified as clinical precursors of a manic episode. The duration of clinical prodromes before overt expression of [hypo]mania often appears to be long enough to encourage early identification and timely intervention. Targeting youth entering the typical range of onset-ages for BD, especially those with a family history of probable BD, and focusing on attenuated mania-like symptoms that have a new onset or that are worsening, may improve the accuracy of predicting BD.

c) Family high-risk studies

There are a growing number of prospective, longitudinal, high-risk studies of the children of at least one patient with BD^{11,19-26,58,100}. Implications and gaps of these studies have been recently discussed¹⁸. Most have reported findings that are similar in regards to the clinical trajectories of developing BD despite using different methods of recruitment and assessment. Main convergent findings include: [a] a relatively low rate of BD diagnoses in offspring at confirmed familial risk, in some cases from highly penetrant multigenerational families (9%–22%), although not all of the at-risk subjects had passed the age of highest risk for BD (to at least age 30 years); [b] onset of the first diagnosable [hypo]manic episode arose at ages 13–20 years; [c] depression predominated the early course of emerging BD (in 67%–88% of cases eventually diagnosed as BD); [d] with the exception of the BIOS study, there was little evidence of pre-pubertal [hypo]mania as has been described in studies of clinically referred cohorts¹⁰¹; [e] there was increased lifetime risk of a broad range of childhood psychopathological conditions compared to the general population or low-risk controls; [f] anxiety, sleep, and some behavioral disorders were significant predictors (around 2.5-fold increased risk) of subsequent mood disorders in high-risk offspring⁵⁰.

Most familial risk studies did not find elevated rates of conduct or neurodevelopmental disorders in association with BD. Further, in the BIOS study, an elevated rate of ADHD in high-risk offspring did not survive adjustment for family-related factors such as the psychosocial milieu and parental psychopathology, suggesting ADHD was not a specific antecedent of BD, at least in the families studied¹⁰². The Canadian study found that neurodevelopmental disorders in high-risk offspring were increased selectively in a particular psychotic subtype of familial BD, characterized by a poor response to lithium treatment¹⁰³.

Interpretation of the findings from high-risk family studies should consider limitations that include differences in study methods and designs, including variable intake-age and length of follow-up of high-risk offspring, recruitment and assessment strategies, parental differences, differences in other risk factors, and the BD subtypes encountered (Table 5). It is also very important to the goal of early detection of BD to realize that most cases eventually diagnosed as BD debuted with a depressive episode, often years prior to the first diagnosable [hypo]manic episode. The Dutch Bipolar Offspring study found that subthreshold manic experiences proved the strongest predictor of BD conversion in those offspring with a depressive mood episode⁵¹. Some differences in onset-age and risk of an initial major depressive episode may reflect such factors as heterogeneity of the parental

BD, risks of general psychopathology in the families, sex of the offspring, and psychosocial influences as reflected in family socioeconomic status, as well as substance abuse in the family¹⁰⁴. In a recent study, the rates of psychopathology in the Pittsburgh BIOS study and the Dutch Bipolar Offspring Study were compared systematically¹⁰⁵. BIOS offspring displayed significantly more non-affective psychopathology, but the two studies did not differ in risk of BD (13% in BIOS and 14% in the Dutch study at a mean age of 19 years). Parental reports indicated similar levels of dimensional psychopathology in both studies.

In the Canadian Flourish cohort, childhood anxiety disorders in offspring at risk for BD were associated with a 2.5-fold greater risk of subsequent major mood disorders of various types, compared to controls⁵⁰. This association was replicated by Nurnberger and colleagues²⁴. Further, clinically significant hypomanic symptoms but not self-reported symptoms differentiated high-risk offspring from low-risk controls and predicted recurrent mood disorders⁴³. The Pittsburgh BIOS study reported that parent-reported internalizing symptoms and offspring-reported affective lability at baseline were strong predictors of new-onset BD-like disorders in the at-risk offspring, whereas hypomanic symptoms were more proximal predictors of BD⁴. Both findings had been anticipated earlier in the Amish high-risk study^{58,100} and are concordant with more recent findings from both the Canadian and Dutch studies^{43,51}.

In addition to clinical risk factors, the Canadian study found that perceived neglect by mothers during childhood and longer exposure to active BD in the affected parent was associated with a significantly greater risk of diagnosable psychopathology in the children, whereas exposure during the first two years of life was significantly associated with later emergence of mood disorders¹⁰⁶. Both the Dutch and Canadian studies have independently reported that stressful life events and personality characteristics (high sensitivity or emotionality and low risk-taking or avoidant) were independent risk factors that predicted onset of mood disorders in at-risk offspring^{107,108}.

Based on findings from high-risk studies, Duffy and colleagues proposed a model describing the trajectory of emerging BD that considered the important issue of heterogeneity of BD¹¹, as well as proposing associated psychological risk processes and factors. In addition, these authors and others have discussed points of similarity and differences in the clinical trajectory of BD compared to schizophrenia¹⁰⁹. Such syntheses can be helpful in identifying important knowledge gaps and highlighting directions for future risk research that should investigate different psychological risk processes and factors, and trajectories.

In summary, the findings underscore the critical importance of considering family history of psychiatric disorders in evaluating clinical syndromes in children and adolescents, and support closer monitoring of youth with a family history of BD, especially in those presenting with anxiety and mood symptoms¹¹⁰. Whether or not the clinical antecedents of BD reflect a general risk trajectory or a specific predisposition to BD or other mood disorders in the immature nervous system of at-risk children, requires further systematic research. Taking a developmental prospective approach to both research and diagnosis can powerfully advance understanding and differentiation of emerging trajectories of psychiatric illness, improve early detection of emerging syndromal illnesses, and identify specific targets for early intervention.

d) Affective Temperaments

Temperaments are permanent variations of personality that play a crucial role in determining emotional reactions and affective resonance, and that have been related to mood disorders¹¹¹. According to Akiskal and colleagues¹¹², affective temperaments are subclinical, sub-affective, trait-like manifestations that may or may not be associated with mood disorders. This concept was introduced by Kraepelin in presenting his broadly defined group of manic-depressive disorders, ranging from traits (so-called fundamental states) to mild cyclothymia, through severe depression with or without mania or hypomania, and is supported by family, genetic, biological and clinical studies¹¹²⁻¹¹⁸. In short, affective temperaments, as attenuated manifestations or precursors of mood disorders, are often conceived to be part of the non-pathological end of the spectrum reaching into the normal domain of mental functioning, with potentially important contributions to predicting, diagnosing and subtyping affective illnesses¹¹⁹⁻¹²¹. Affective temperaments may play a moderating role, probably influencing the emergence and clinical evolution of mood disorders and important disease characteristics, including predominant polarity, symptomatic expression, neurocognitive performance¹¹²⁻¹²⁴, long-term course and outcome, as well as response and adherence to treatment¹¹⁹. Temperament may also mediate such features of BD, such as impulsivity, suicidal risk, and functional capacity¹²⁵.

Affective temperaments are found in psychiatric disorders other than BD and MDD¹²⁶, as well as in 13%–20% of the healthy general population, depending on the definition of the dominant temperament-type¹²⁰. In mood disorder patients, dominant forms of affective temperaments are much more prevalent than in the healthy general population¹²⁰. Notably, a recent meta-analytic study found that *cyclothymic*, *depressive*, *irritable* and *anxious* temperament scores with the TEMPS-A scale were significantly higher ($p < 0.0001$) among patients with BD than in healthy controls, whereas *hyperthymia* ratings were significantly lower ($p = 0.004$) in BD subjects compared to healthy controls¹²⁷.

Affective temperaments show a characteristic sex-distribution, with depressive and anxious temperaments being more common among females and hyperthymic temperament being more frequent among males¹²⁰. In clinical samples, depressive temperament has been especially prevalent in MDD patients^{119,128}, whereas hyperthymic¹²⁸ and cyclothymic temperaments are characteristic of patients with BD, whereas irritable temperament is less prevalent and found in both unipolar and bipolar mood disorders^{119,128,129}. In a prospective study, 35% of subjects with cyclothymic temperament developed hypomanic, manic or depressive episodes within three years, and one-third of the offspring and siblings of BD patients had dysthymic, cyclothymic, or hyperthymic temperaments¹²⁹. Cyclothymic temperament in MDD patients also has been associated with atypical features, such as hypersomnia and hyperphagia, which are common in bipolar depression^{119,129}. In patients with recurrent MDD, higher ratings for cyclothymic temperament also have been associated with earlier age of onset, a greater number of previous depressive episodes, more psychotic and melancholic features, and more suicidal ideation and attempts¹²⁵. Such features also are predictive of bipolarity among patients with recurrent MDD¹³⁰. These findings support the suggestion that assessment of particular temperament-types may have prognostic value, including anticipation of BD.

Temperament also may be a major factor influencing the clinical evolution of BD. For example, affective temperaments have been associated with an earlier age at onset of BD¹³¹; higher scores for depressive versus hyperthymic temperaments have been associated with relatively more depressive than manic recurrences¹³². Among BD-I patients, depressive temperament has been associated with predominant depressive polarity, whereas hyperthymic temperament has been associated with predominantly [hypo]manic polarity^{119,132} (Table 6). BD patients with cyclothymic or hyperthymic temperaments are reported to differ significantly in several important clinical and course features, including sex differences, predominant episode polarity, greater episode-frequency, more hospitalization, higher suicidal risk, and co-occurring anxiety and personality disorders¹³³⁻¹³⁵. Furthermore, hyperthymic temperament was associated with psychotic features during major affective episodes in both BD-I and BD-II patients¹²⁸. Spontaneous and antidepressant-induced mood switches into [hypo]mania have been more common in BD patients with a hyperthymic temperament¹³⁶.

In summary, BD-I can be associated with various temperaments, but there has been a relatively high ratio of hyperthymic/depressive temperament ratings. BD-II is more selectively associated with cyclothymic temperament¹³⁷ and hyperthymic temperament was correlated with fewer depressive episodes and with seasonal features¹³⁸. Finally, cyclothymic temperament is also associated with progression from MDD to BD³⁷.

DISCUSSION

Prevention and early identification and treatment of BD are preeminent clinical goals. The body of research reviewed above points to the fact that BD is often preceded by homotypic or heterotypic precursors, as well as symptoms, syndromes, and disorders that require clinical attention themselves as well as have some predictive value for identifying patients likely to be diagnosed with BD later. However, the nonspecific nature and uncertain predictive value of many of these antecedents call for research aimed at identifying more specific risk characteristics and constellations, as well as effective ways to intervene at different stages of BD.

Various sources of information were considered relevant for evaluating manifestations prior to the full clinical expression of BD. We considered clinical risk factors as well as identifying a prodrome for mania, prospective studies of the offspring of BD patients, and investigations of temperament in BD patients. We emphasized prospective studies of subjects followed to full expression of BD, but included some, largely consistent, retrospective findings from studies of cases of established BD. Prospective studies of this type are preferred since recall may be biased in retrospective studies and by the failure to recognize some antecedent conditions prior to first-lifetime episodes of [hypo]mania.

Information about homotypic, heterotypic and other risk factors for BD, derived from prospective as well as retrospective studies are clearly important, especially when considered together¹². Individual risk factors seem to be insufficiently specific to predict BD reliably. Particularly challenging is to predict BD when early manifestations have been mainly depressive for months or years before a first episode of [hypo]mania^{4,13,18,21}. Combinations of risk factors may be more important than any single measure.

Retrospective and prospective information about a symptomatic prodrome to developing syndromal mania, is marked particularly by attenuated hypomanic features^{3,93,98}. Converging evidence suggests that mood lability and mood swings are a core feature of the clinical prodrome to (hypo)mania. This is relevant, as mood lability is also part of the illness itself and poorly captured by the diagnostic criteria for BD. Mood lability is however also part of other mood and anxiety disorders as well as emerging borderline and related personality disorders, and these are major differential diagnoses. In contrast, depressive symptoms are more often prodromal to depressive episodes or a mixed state as defined in DSM-IV³. A Bipolar Prodrome Symptom Interview and Scale-Pro prospective (BPSS-P) has been developed and validated for use in identifying and scoring symptoms that may be part of the prodrome to mania⁹³.

In studies of subjects at increased risk for BD due to having a parent with BD, rates of prospectively observed development of BD have been surprisingly small, and other forms of psychopathology have been quite prevalent, possibly owing to the unusual nature of such uncommon at-risk families, and insufficiently prolonged years of follow-up. Nevertheless, in offspring studies mood-swings and attenuated but worsening hypomanic symptoms in youth were especially strongly associated with later diagnosis of BD or related disorders¹³⁸. Studies of affective temperaments appear to be helpful in identifying traits that may underlie risk for developing BD^{37,107,112,118,130}. However, the limited specificity of temperamental expressions, as with many other factors found to precede BD, restrict the utility of assessing temperament as a means of enhancing prediction of future BD¹²⁰. For all of these several approaches to establishing sensitive and specific predictors of BD, limitations arise from selecting possibly non-representative samples, incomplete or inaccurate recording of past events, insufficient follow-up through the years of risk for BD (especially ages 15–30 years), and the low diagnostic specificity of most individual, identified predictive factors. Prospective studies can address some of these issues, but are difficult and costly to carry out. Finally, a limitation of this report is that each of the four work groups used somewhat different databases for their identification of the evaluated evidence. However, all used at least PubMed/Medline as the overlapping, large data source. Nevertheless, despite these limitations of the review and the reviewed literature, converging evidence from the four types of studies reviewed here suggests that some factors and especially in combinations, can contribute to improving prediction of new onset or worsening of attenuated hypomanic symptoms^{3,4,18,22,43,44,47,98}. These findings encourage better-designed clinical studies aimed at improving prediction of new [hypo]mania. Importantly, comparison or control groups, ideally with other psychiatric disorders as outcomes, should be included to increase the chance to identify clinically useful, sufficiently sensitive, and specific predictors of BD risk. Indeed, the sensitivity and specificity of most identified predictors of BD has not been adequately evaluated and requires further study. Finally, with growing data from prospective studies with harmonized assessment of risk factors, emerging risk calculators for the development of BD are likely going to become relevant for clinical care.

In conclusion, based on the review of pertinent research findings and their critical discussion, among its members, this Taskforce makes the following recommendations:

1. More prospective studies of well-characterized clinical and/or familial high-risk individuals that focus on identifying precursors and prodromes of BD are needed to pursue efforts to identify individuals at risk for emergence of first lifetime episodes of [hypo]mania.
2. We recommend development of a consensus about a minimal and optimal set of methods for clinical and biomedical assessments in order to generate a sharable pool of data related to prediction and early recognition of BD.
3. We suggest that studies should assess the many risk and predictive factors enumerated above, with the hope that this International Society of Bipolar Disorders Taskforce Report on precursors and prodromes in BD will help clinicians and investigators to more efficiently address prediction and early recognition of BD, with the aim of improving treatment and outcomes.

REFERENCES

1. Goodwin FK, Jamison KR. Manic-Depressive Illness: Bipolar Disorders and Recurrent Depression, second edition. New York, Oxford University Press, 2007.
2. Van Meter AR, Burke C, Kowatch RA, et al. Ten-year updated meta-analysis of the clinical characteristics of pediatric mania and hypomania. *Bipolar Disord.* 2016;18:19-32.
3. Van Meter AR, Burke C, Youngstrom EA, et al. Bipolar prodrome: analysis of symptom prevalence prior to initial or recurrent mood episodes. *J Am Acad Child Adol Psychiatry.* 2016;55:543-555.
4. Hafeman DM, Merranko J, Axelson D, et al. Toward definition of a bipolar prodrome: dimensional predictors of bipolar spectrum disorders in at-risk youths. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2016;173:695–704.
5. Gottesman II, Laursen TM, Bertelsen A, Mortensen PB. Severe mental disorders in offspring with 2 psychiatrically ill parents. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2010;67:252-257.
6. Leverich GS, Post RM, Keck PE Jr, et al. Poor prognosis of childhood-onset bipolar disorder. *J Pediatrics.* 2007;150:485–490.
7. Perlis RH, Dennehy EB, Miklowitz DJ, et al. Retrospective age at onset of bipolar disorder and outcome during two-year follow-up: results from the STEP-BD study. *Bipolar Disord.* 2009;11:391–400.
8. DelBello MP, Hanseman D, Adler CM, et al. Twelve-month outcome of adolescents with bipolar disorder following first hospitalization for a manic or mixed episode. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2007;164:582–590.
9. Geller B, Tillman R, Bolhofner K, Zimmerman B. Child bipolar I disorder: prospective continuity with adult bipolar I disorder; characteristics of second and third episodes; predictors of 8-year outcome. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2008;65:1125–1133.
10. Post RM, Leverich GS, Kupka RW, et al. Early-onset bipolar disorder and treatment delay are risk factors for poor outcome in adulthood. *J Clin Psychiatry.* 2010;71:864–872.
11. Duffy A, Horrocks J, Doucette S, et al. Developmental trajectory of bipolar disorder. *Br J Psychiatry.* 2014;204:122–128.

12. Marangoni C, Faedda GL, Baldessarini RJ. Clinical and environmental risk factors for bipolar disorder: review of prospective studies. *Harv Rev Psychiatry*. 2018;26:1–7.
13. Correll CU, Penzner JB, Frederickson AM, et al. Differentiation in the preonset phases of schizophrenia and mood disorders: evidence in support of a bipolar mania prodrome. *Schizophrenia Bull*. 2007;33:703–714.
14. Conus P, Ward J, Hallam KT, et al. Proximal prodrome to first episode mania—new target for early intervention. *Bipolar Disord*. 2008;10:555–565.
15. Howes OD, Lim S, Theologos G, et al. Comprehensive review and model of putative prodromal features of bipolar affective disorder. *Psychol Med*. 2010;41:1567–1577.
16. Bechdolf A, Ratheesh A, Wood SJ, et al. Rationale and first results of developing at-risk (prodromal) criteria for bipolar disorder. *Curr Pharmaceut Design*. 2012;18:358–375.
17. Hauser M, Correll CU. Significance of at-risk or prodromal symptoms for bipolar I disorder in children and adolescents. *Can J Psychiatry*. 2013;58:22–31.
18. Duffy A, Vandeleur C, Heffer N, Preisig M. The clinical trajectory of emerging bipolar disorder among the high-risk offspring of bipolar parents: current understanding and future considerations. *Int J Bipolar Disord*. 2017;5:37.
19. Duffy A, Alda M, Kutcher S, Fusee C, Grof P. Psychiatric symptoms and syndromes among adolescent children of parents with lithium-responsive or lithium-nonresponsive bipolar disorder. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1998;155:431–433.
20. Wals M, Hillegers MH, Reichart CG, Ormel J, Nolen WA, Verhulst FC. Prevalence of psychopathology in children of a bipolar parent. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2001;40:1094–1102.
21. Mesman E, Nolen WA, Reichart CG, et al. Dutch bipolar offspring study: 12-year follow-up. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2013;170:542–549.
22. Birmaher B, Axelson D, Monk K, et al. Lifetime psychiatric disorders in school-aged offspring of parents with bipolar disorder: Pittsburgh Bipolar Offspring study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2009;66:287–296.
23. Axelson DA, Goldstein B, Goldstein T, et al. Diagnostic precursors to bipolar disorder in offspring of parents with bipolar disorder: longitudinal study. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2015;172:638–646.
24. Nurnberger JI Jr, McInnis M, Reich W, et al. High-risk study of bipolar disorder: childhood clinical phenotypes as precursors of major mood disorders. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2011;68:1012–1020.
25. Vandeleur CL, Rothen S, Gholam-Rezaee M, et al. Mental disorders in offspring of parents with bipolar and major depressive disorders. *Bipolar Disord*. 2012;14:641–653.
26. Preisig M, Strippoli MP, Castela E, et al. The specificity of the familial aggregation of early-onset bipolar disorder: A controlled 10-year follow-up study of offspring of parents with mood disorders. *J Affect Disord*. 2016;190:26–33.
27. Angst J, Gamma A, Endrass J. Risk factors for the bipolar and depression spectra. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 2003;108(Suppl):15–19.

28. Tohen M, Khalsa HMK, Salvatore P, et al. Two-year outcomes in first-episode psychotic depression the McLean-Harvard First-Episode Project. *J Affect Disord.* 2012;136:1–8.
29. Salvatore P, Baldessarini RJ, Khalsa HM, et al. Predicting diagnostic change among patients diagnosed with first-episode DSM-IV-TR major depressive disorder with psychotic features. *J Clin Psychiatry.* 2013;74:723–31
30. Regeer EJ, Krabbendam L, de Graaf R, et al. Prospective study of the transition rates of subthreshold (hypo)mania and depression in the general population. *Psychol Med.* 2006;36:619–627.
31. Kaymaz N, van Os J, de Graaf R, et al. Impact of subclinical psychosis on the transition from subclinical mania to bipolar disorder. *J Affect Disord.* 2007;8:55–64.
32. Homish GG, Marshall D, Dubovsky SL, et al. Predictors of later bipolar disorder in patients with subthreshold symptoms. *J Affect Disord.* 2013;144:129–133.
33. Nadkarni RB, Fristad MA. Clinical course of children with a depressive spectrum disorder and transient manic symptoms. *Bipolar Disord.* 2010;12:494–503.
34. Zimmermann P, Brückl T, Nocon A, et al. Heterogeneity of DSM-IV major depressive disorder has a consequence of sub-threshold bipolarity. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2009;66:1341–1352.
35. Fiedorowicz JG, Endicott J, Leon AC, et al. Subthreshold hypomanic symptoms in progression from unipolar major depression to bipolar disorder. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2011;168:40–48.
36. Strober M, Lampert C, Schmidt S, et al. The course of major depressive disorder in adolescents: I. Recovery and risk of manic switching in a follow-up of psychotic and nonpsychotic subtypes. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry.* 1993;32:34–42.
37. Kochman FJ, Hantouche EG, Ferrari P, et al. Cyclothymic temperament as a prospective predictor of bipolarity and suicidality in children and adolescents with major depressive disorder. *J Affect Disord.* 2005; 85:181–189.
38. Bromet EJ, Kotov R, Fochtmann LJ, et al. Diagnostic shifts during the decade following first admission for psychosis. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2011;168:1186–1194.
39. Faedda GL, Baldessarini RJ, Glovinsky IP, Austin NB. Pediatric bipolar disorder: phenomenology and course of illness. *Bipolar Disord.* 2004;6:305–313.
40. Skjelstad DV, Malt UF, Holte A. Symptoms and signs of the initial prodrome of bipolar disorder: systematic review. *J Affect Disord.* 2010;126:1–13.
41. Salvatore P, Baldessarini RJ, Khalsa HMK, et al. Antecedents of manic versus other first psychotic episodes in 263 bipolar I disorder patients. *Acta Psychiatr Scand.* 2014;129:275–285.
42. Beesdo K, Hofler M, Leibenluft E, et al. Mood episodes and mood disorders: patterns of incidence and conversion in the first three decades of life. *Bipolar Disord.* 2009;11:637–649.
43. Goodday SM, Preisig M, Gholamrezaee M, et al. Association between self-reported and clinically determined hypomanic symptoms and the onset of major mood disorders. *Br J Psychiatry Open.* 2017;3:71–77.

44. Axelson DA, Birmaher B, Strober MA, et al. Course of subthreshold bipolar disorder in youth: diagnostic progression from bipolar disorder not otherwise specified. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2011; 50:1001–1016.
45. Alloy LB, Urosevic S, Abramson LY, et al. Progression along the bipolar spectrum: a longitudinal study of predictors of conversion from bipolar spectrum conditions to bipolar I and II disorders. *J Abnorm Psychol*. 2012;121:16–27.
46. Van Meter AR, Youngstrom EA, Birmaher B, et al. Longitudinal course and characteristics of cyclothymic disorder in youth. *J Affect Disord*. 2017;215:314-322.
47. Tijssen MJ, van Os J, Wittchen HU, et al. Evidence that bipolar disorder is the poor outcome fraction of a common developmental phenotype: 8-year cohort study in young people. *Psychol Med*. 2010;40:289–299.
48. Tijssen MJ, van Os J, Wittchen HU, et al. Prediction of transition from common adolescent bipolar experiences to bipolar disorder: 10-year study. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2010;196:102–108.
49. Kim-Cohen J, Caspi A, Moffitt TE, et al. Prior juvenile diagnoses in adults with mental disorder: developmental follow-back of a prospective-longitudinal cohort. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2003;60:709–717.
50. Duffy A, Horrocks J, Doucette S, et al. Childhood anxiety: An early predictor of mood disorders in offspring of bipolar parents. *J Affect Disord*. 2013;150:363–369.
51. Mesman E, Nolen WA, Keijsers L, Hillegers MHJ. Baseline dimensional psychopathology and future mood disorder onset: findings from the Dutch Bipolar Offspring Study. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 2017;136:201-209.
52. Johnson JG, Cohen P, Brook JS. Associations between bipolar disorder and other psychiatric disorders during adolescence and early adulthood: a community-based longitudinal investigation. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2000;157:1679–1681.
53. Brückl TM, Wittchen HU, Höfler M, et al. Childhood separation anxiety and the risk of subsequent psychopathology: results from a community study. *Psychother Psychosom*. 2007;76:47–56.
54. Kinley DJ, Walker JR, Enns MW, Sareen J. Panic attacks as a risk for later psychopathology: results from a nationally representative survey. *Depress Anxiety*. 2011;28:412–419.
55. Grant BF, Goldstein RB, Chou SP, et al. Sociodemographic and psychopathologic predictors of first incidence of DSM- IV substance use, mood and anxiety disorders: results from the Wave 2 National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2009;14:1051–1066.
56. Chou KL, Mackenzie CS, Liang K, Sareen J. Three-year incidence and predictors of first-onset of DSM-IV mood, anxiety, and substance use disorders in older adults: results from Wave 2 of the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2011;72:144–155.
57. Vázquez GH, Tondo L, Undurraga J, Baldessarini RJ. Nature and management of co-occurring psychiatric illnesses in bipolar disorder patients: focus on anxiety syndromes. Chapt 33 in Yildiz A, Nemeroff C, Ruiz Pm editors. *The Bipolar Book: History, Neurobiology, and Treatment*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2015: pp 457–470.

58. Egeland JA, Endicott J, Hostetter AM, et al. A 16-year prospective study of prodromal features prior to BPI onset in well Amish children. *J Affect Disord.* 2012;142:186–192.
59. Merikangas KR, He JP, Burstein M, et al. Lifetime prevalence of mental disorders in U.S. adolescents: results from the National Comorbidity Study–Adolescent Supplement. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry.* 2010;49:980–989.
60. Shankman SA, Lewinsohn PM, Klein DN, et al. Subthreshold conditions as precursors for full syndrome disorders: a 15-year longitudinal study of multiple diagnostic classes. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry.* 2009;50:1485–1494.
61. Morcillo C, Duarte CS, Sala R, et al. Conduct disorder and adult psychiatric diagnoses: associations and gender differences in the US adult population. *J Psychiatr Res.* 2012;46:323–330.
62. Vaughn MG, Fu Q, Bender K, et al. Psychiatric correlates of bullying in the United States: findings from a national sample. *Psychiatr Q.* 2010;81:183–195.
63. Gau SS, Lin YJ, Cheng AT, et al. Psychopathology and symptom remission at adolescence among children with attention-deficit-hyperactivity disorder. *Austral NZ J Psychiatry.* 2010;44:323–332.
64. Bernardi S, Faraone SV, Cortese S, et al. Lifetime impact of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC). *Psychol Med.* 2012;42:875–887.
65. Chamorro J, Bernardi S, Potenza MN, et al. Impulsivity in the general population: national study. *J Psychiatr Res.* 2012; 4:994–1001.
66. Talati A, Bao Y, Kaufman J, et al. Maternal smoking during pregnancy and bipolar disorder in offspring. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2013;170:1781–1785.
67. Øgendahl BK, Agerbo E, Byrne M, et al. Indicators of fetal growth and bipolar disorder: Danish national register-based study. *Psychol Med.* 2006;36:1219–1224.
68. Nosarti C, Reichenberg A, Murray RM, et al. Preterm birth and psychiatric disorders in young adult life. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2012;69:E1–E8.
69. Chudal R, Sourander A, Polo-Kantola P, et al. Perinatal factors and the risk of bipolar disorder in Finland. *J Affect Disord.* 2014;155:75–80.
70. Parboosing R, Bao Y, Shen L, et al. Gestational influenza and bipolar disorder in adult offspring. *JAMA Psychiatry.* 2013;70:677–685.
71. Canetta SE, Bao Y, Co MD, et al. Serological documentation of maternal influenza exposure and bipolar disorder in adult offspring. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2014;171:557–563.
72. Brown AS, Derkits EJ. Prenatal infection and schizophrenia: review of epidemiologic and translational studies. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2010;167:261–280.
73. Atladóttir HÓ, Henriksen TB, Schendel DE, Parner ET. Autism after infection, febrile episodes, and antibiotic use during pregnancy: exploratory study. *Pediatrics.* 2012;130:1447–1454.

74. Harvey L, Boksa P. Prenatal and postnatal animal models of immune activation: relevance to a range of neurodevelopmental disorders. *Dev Neurobiol.* 2012;72:1335–1348.
75. Mortensen PB, Mors O, Frydenberg M, Ewald H. Head injury as a risk factor for bipolar affective disorder. *J Affect Disord.* 2003;76:79–83.
76. Orlovska S, Pedersen MS, Benros ME, et al. Head injury as risk factor for psychiatric disorders: nationwide register-based follow-up study of 113,906 persons with head injury. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2014;171:463–469.
77. Kleinhaus K, Harlap S, Perrin M, et al. Prenatal stress and affective disorders in a population birth cohort. *Bipolar Disord.* 2013;15:92–99.
78. Mortensen PB, Pedersen CB, Melbye M, et al. Individual and familial risk factors for bipolar affective disorders in Denmark. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2003;60:1209–1215.
79. Gilman SE, Dupuy JM, Perlis RH. Risks for the transition from major depressive disorder to bipolar disorder in the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *J Clin Psychiatry.* 2012;73:829–836.
80. Bergink V, Larsen JT, Hillegers MH, Dahl SK, Stevens H, Mortensen PB, Petersen L, Munk-Olsen T. Childhood adverse life events and parental psychopathology as risk factors for bipolar disorder. *Transl Psychiatry.* 2016;6:e929.
81. Anthony JC, Petronis KR. Epidemiologic evidence on suspected associations between cocaine use and psychiatric disturbances. *NIDA Res Monogr.* 1991;110:71–94.
82. Van Laar M, Dorsselaer S, Monshouwer K, de Graaf R. Does cannabis use predict the first incidence of mood and anxiety disorders in the adult population? *Addiction.* 2007;102:1251–1260.
83. Feingold D, Weiser M, Rehm J, Lev-Ran S, 2015. Association between cannabis use and mood disorders: longitudinal study. *J Affect Disord.* 2015;172:211–218.
84. Schepis TS, Hakes JK. Dose-related effects for the precipitation of psychopathology by opioid or tranquilizer/sedative nonmedical prescription use: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *J Addict Med.* 2013;7:39–44.
85. Martins SS, Fenton MC, Keyes KM, et al. Mood and anxiety disorders and their association with non-medical prescription opioid use and prescription opioid-use disorder: longitudinal evidence from the National Epidemiologic Study on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Psychol Med.* 2012;42:1261–1272.
86. Schepis TS, Hakes JK. Non-medical prescription use increases the risk for the onset and recurrence of psychopathology: results from the National Epidemiological Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Addiction.* 2011;106:2146–2155.
87. Carlson GA, Goodwin FK. Stages of mania: longitudinal analysis of the manic episode. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 1973;28:221–228.
88. Beiser M, Erickson D, Fleming JA, Iacono WG. Establishing the onset of psychotic illness. *Am J Psychiatry.* 1993;150:1349–1354.

89. Hirschfeld RM, Lewis L, Vornik LA. Perceptions and impact of bipolar disorder: how far have we really come? Results of the national depressive and manic-depressive association 2000 survey of individuals with bipolar disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2003;64:161–174.
90. Berk M, Dodd S, Callaly P, et al. History of illness prior to a diagnosis of bipolar disorder or schizoaffective disorder. *J Affect Disord*. 2007;103:181–186.
91. Ozgurdal S, van Haren E, Hauser M, et al. Early mood swings as symptoms of the bipolar prodrome: preliminary results of a retrospective analysis. *Psychopathology*. 2009;42:337–342.
92. Conus P, Abdel-Baki A, Harrigan S, et al. Premorbid and outcome correlates of first episode mania with psychosis: is a distinction between schizoaffective and bipolar I disorder valid in the early phase of psychotic disorders? *J Affect Disord*. 2010;126:88–95.
93. Correll CU, Hauser M, Penzner JB, et al. Type and duration of subsyndromal symptoms in youth with bipolar I disorder prior to the first manic episode. *Bipolar Disord*. 2014;16:478–492.
94. Rucklidge JJ. Retrospective parent report of psychiatric histories: do checklists reveal specific prodromal indicators for postpubertal-onset pediatric bipolar disorder? *Bipolar Disord*. 2008;10:56–66.
95. Luckenbaugh DA, Findling RL, Leverich GS, et al. Earliest symptoms discriminating juvenile-onset bipolar illness from ADHD. *Bipolar Disord*. 2009;11:441–451.
96. Papachristou E, Ormel J, Oldehinkel AJ, et al. Child Behavior Checklist-Mania Scale (CBCL-MS): development and evaluation of a population-based screening scale for bipolar disorder. *PLoS One*. 2013;8:e69459.
97. Bechdolf A, Nelson B, Cotton SM, Chanen A, Thompson A, Kettle J, et al. Preliminary evaluation of the validity of at-risk criteria for bipolar disorders in help-seeking adolescents and young adults. *J Affect Disord*. 2010;127:316–320.
98. Bechdolf A, Ratheesh A, Cotton SM, et al. Predictive validity of bipolar-at risk (prodromal) criteria in help-seeking adolescents and young adults: prospective study. *Bipolar Disord*. 2014;16:493–504.
99. Ratheesh A, Cotton SM, Betts JK, et al. Prospective progression from high-prevalence disorders to bipolar disorder: Exploring characteristics of pre-illness stages. *J Affect Disord*. 2015;183:45–48.
100. Egeland JA, Shaw JA, Endicott J, et al. Prospective study of prodromal features for bipolarity in well Amish children. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2003;42:786–796.
101. Geller B, Tillman R. Prepubertal and early adolescent bipolar I disorder: review of diagnostic validation by Robins and Guze criteria. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2005;66(Suppl 7):21–28.
102. Goldstein BI, Shamseddeen W, Axelson DA, et al. Clinical, demographic, and familial correlates of bipolar spectrum disorders among offspring of parents with bipolar disorder. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2010;49:388–96.
103. Duffy A, Horrocks J, Milin R, Doucette S, Persson G, Grof P. Adolescent substance use disorder during the early stages of bipolar disorder: a prospective high-risk study. *J Affect Disord*. 2012;142:57–64.

104. Duffy A, Doucette S, Lewitzka U, et al. Findings from bipolar offspring studies: methodology matters. *Early Interv Psychiatry*. 2011;5:181–191.
105. Mesman E, Birmaher BB, Goldstein BI, et al. Categorical and dimensional psychopathology in Dutch and US offspring of parents with bipolar disorder: A preliminary cross-national comparison. *J Affect Disord*. 2016;205:95–102.
106. Goodday SM, Levy A, Flowerdew G, et al. Early exposure to parental bipolar disorder and risk of mood disorder: the Flourish Canadian prospective offspring cohort study. *Early Interv Psychiatry*. 2015; doi: 10.1111/eip.12291.
107. Doucette S, Horrocks J, Grof P, Keown-Stoneman C, Duffy A. Attachment and temperament profiles among the offspring of a parent with bipolar disorder. *J Affect Disord*. 2013;150:522–526.
108. Kemner SM, Mesman E, Nolen WA, Eijckemans MJ, Hillegers MH. Role of life events and psychological factors in the onset of first and recurrent mood episodes in bipolar offspring: results from the Dutch Bipolar Offspring Study. *Psychol Med*. 2015;45:2571–2581.
109. Duffy A, Malhi GS, Grof P. Do the trajectories of bipolar disorder and schizophrenia follow a universal staging model? *Can J Psychiatry*. 2017;62:115–122.
110. Sugranyes G, de la Serna E, Borrás R, et al. Clinical, Cognitive, and Neuroimaging Evidence of a Neurodevelopmental Continuum in Offspring of Proband With Schizophrenia and Bipolar Disorder. *Schizophr Bull*. 2017;43:1208–1219.
111. Harnic D, Pompili M, Mazza M. Affective temperaments and psychopathological dimensions of personality in bipolar and cyclothymic patients. *Behav Med*. 2013;39:17–23.
112. Akiskal HS, Akiskal KK, Allilaire JF, et al. Validating affective temperaments in their subaffective and socially positive attributes: psychometric, clinical and familial data from a French national study. *J Affect Disord*. 2005;85:29–36.
113. Kelsoe JR. Arguments for the genetic basis of the bipolar spectrum. *J Affect Disord*. 2003;73:183–97.
114. Chiaroni P, Hantouche EG, Gouvernet J, et al. The cyclothymic temperament in healthy controls and familiarly at risk individuals for mood disorder: endophenotype for genetic studies? *J Affect Disord*. 2005;85:135–145.
115. Evans L, Akiskal HS, Keck PE Jr, et al. Familiarity of temperament in bipolar disorder: support for a genetic spectrum. *J Affect Disord*. 2005;85:153–168.
116. Gonda X, Rihmer Z, Zsombok T, et al. The 5HTTLPR polymorphism of the serotonin transporter gene is associated with affective temperaments as measured by TEMPS-A. *J Affect Disord*. 2006;91:125–131.
117. Kang JI, Namkoong K, Kim SJ. The association of 5-HTTLPR and DRD4 VNTR polymorphisms with affective temperamental traits in healthy volunteers. *J Affect Disord*. 2008; 109:157–163.
118. Vázquez GH, Kahn C, Schiavo CE, et al. Bipolar disorders and affective temperaments: national family study testing the "endophenotype" and "subaffective" theses using the TEMPS-A Buenos Aires. *J Affect Disord*. 2008;108:25–32.

119. Rihmer Z, Akiskal KK, Rihmer A, et al. Current research on affective temperaments. *Curr Opin Psychiatry*. 2010;23:12–18.
120. Vázquez G, Gonda X. Affective temperaments and mood disorders: a critical review of current knowledge. *Curr Psychiatry Rev*. 2013; 9:21–32.
121. Lolich M, Romero E, Vázquez G. Affective temperaments and age at onset in bipolar type II patients. *Revista Chilena de Psicología*. 2016; 25:1–17.
122. Carvalho AF, Quevedo J, McIntyre RS, et al. Treatment implications of predominant polarity and the polarity index: comprehensive review. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2014;18:79–90.
123. Russo M, Mahon K, Shanahan M, et al. Affective temperaments and neurocognitive functioning in bipolar disorder. *J Affect Disord*. 2014;169:51–56.
124. Romero E, Holtzman J, Tannenhaus L, Monchablon R, Rago C, Lolich M, Vázquez G. Neuropsychological performance and affective temperaments in euthymic patients with bipolar disorder type II. *Psychiatry Res*. 2016; 238:172–180.
125. Baldessarini RJ, Vázquez GH, Tondo L. Affective temperaments and suicidal ideation and behavior in mood and anxiety disorder patients. *J Affect Disord*. 2016;198:78–82.
126. Pompili M, Baldessarini RJ, Innammorati M, et al. Affective temperaments in psychotic and major affective disorders. *J Affect Disord*. 2018;225:195–200.
127. Solmi M, Zaninotto L, Toffanin T, et al. Comparative meta-analysis of TEMPS scores across mood disorder patients, their first-degree relatives, healthy controls, and other psychiatric disorders. *J Affect Disord*. 2016;196:3246.
128. Gassab L, Mechri A, Bacha M, et al. [Affective temperaments in the bipolar and unipolar disorders: distinctive profiles and relationship with clinical features (French)]. *L'Encephale*. 2008;34:477–482.
129. Akiskal HS, Djenderedjian AM, Rosenthal RH, et al. Cyclothymic disorder: validating criteria for inclusion in the bipolar affective group. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1977;134:1227–1233.
130. Mechri A, Kerkeni N, Touati I, et al. Association between cyclothymic temperament and clinical predictors of bipolarity in recurrent depressive patients. *J Affect Disord*. 2011;132:285–258.
131. Oedegaard KJ, Syrstad VE, Morken G, et al. A study of age at onset and affective temperaments in a Norwegian sample of patients with mood disorders. *J Affect Disord*. 2009;118:229–233.
132. Henry C, Lacoste J, Bellivier F, et al. Temperament in bipolar illness: impact on prognosis. *J Affect Disord*. 1999; 56:103–108.
133. Akiskal HS, Downs J, Jordan P, et al. Affective disorders in referred children and younger siblings of manic-depressives: mode of onset and prospective course. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1985;42:996–1003.
134. Perugi G, Toni C, Maremmani I, et al. The influence of affective temperaments and psychopathological traits on the definition of bipolar disorder subtypes: study of a bipolar I Italian national sample. *J Affect Disord*. 2012;136:e41–e49.

135. Vázquez G, Gonda X, Lolic M, Tondo L, Baldessarini R. Suicidal risk and affective temperaments evaluated with TEMPS-A: systematic review. *Harv Rev Psychiatry*. 2017;26:8–18.
136. Henry C, Sorbara F, Lacoste J, et al. Antidepressant-induced mania in bipolar patients: identification of risk factors. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2001;62:249–255.
137. Akiskal HS, Hantouche EG, Allilaire JF. Bipolar II with and without cyclothymic temperament: "dark" and "sunny" expressions of soft bipolarity. *J Affect Disord*. 2003;73:49–57.
138. Hafeman DM, Merranko J, Goldstein TR, et al. Assessment of a Person-Level Risk Calculator to Predict New-Onset Bipolar Spectrum Disorder in Youth at Familial Risk. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2017;74:841-847.

Author Manuscript

Table 1. Homotypic risk factors for BD.

Risk factors	Study	BD risk	Design	Diagnosis	Age (y)	Follow up (y)	Assessment	Notes
Mood lability	Angst et al., 2003 ²⁷	OR=14.3 (4.94–41.6), p<0.001; OR=20.6 (7.0–60.9), p<0.001 for BD-II	C	none	(18.5)	15	Clinical	
	Tohen et al., 2012 ²⁸	$\chi^2=4.85$, p=0.03 for BD-I/NOS	I	MDDP	18–75 (36.3)	0.5–9 (3.9)	SCID	
	Salvatore et al., 2013 ²⁹	RR=1.45, p=0.05 for BD-I/NOS	I	MDDP	10–82 (34.6)	4	SCID	
Subsyndromal depression	Regeer et al., 2006 ³⁰	PP=1.0 (0.7–1.3), LR=3.3 (2.1–5.3)	C	none	18–64 (41.2)	3	CIDI	
Subsyndromal hypomania in MDD	Tohen et al., 2012 ²⁸	$\chi^2=4.76$, p=0.03	I	MDDP	18–75 (36.3)	0.5–9 (3.9)	SCID	
	Fiedorowicz et al., 2011 ³⁵	HR=1.34 (1.17–1.54), p<0.001; HR=1.28 (1.09–1.52), p=0.003 for BD-I; HR=1.23 (1.02–1.17), p=0.03 for BD-II	I	MDD	>17	1–31 (17.5)	SADS	Combined elevated mood+decreased need for sleep+increased energy+increased goal directed activity+grandiosity predicted BD

Risk factors	Study	BD risk	Design	Diagnosis	Age (y)	Follow up (y)	Assessment	Notes
Author Manuscript	Zimmerman et al., 2009 ³⁴	OR=4.25 (1.13–15.9), p=0.03; OR=8.32 (1.49–46.4), p=0.02 for BD-I	C	MDD	14–24	7.3–10.6 (8.3)	CIDI	Mood disturbances or change in functioning observable by others significantly predicted BD
	Salvatore et al., 2013 ²⁹	RR=5.43, p<0.001 for mixed state at intake; RR=10.9, p=0.002 for antecedent hypomanic symptoms; RR=2.08, p=0.01 for hypomanic symptoms at onset	I	MDDP	10–82 (34.6)	4	SCID	
	Nadkarni et al, 2010 ³³	Fisher's exact test=8.50, p=0.01	O	MDD or Dysthymia	8–11 (9.9)	1.5	ChIPS-C	Transient hypomanic symptoms increased risk of BD by 3.8x
Subsyndromal hypomania	Regeer et al, 2006 ³⁰	PP=7.1 (6.4–7.9), LR=25.4 (10.6–60.6)	C	none	18–64 (41.2)	3	CIDI	
	Kaymaz et al, 2007 ³¹	PP=3.0 (2.5–3.4); PP=9.5 (4.7–14.4) for subjects with subsyndromal psychosis	C	none	18–64 (41.2)	2	CIDI	

Risk factors	Study	BD risk	Design	Diagnosis	Age (y)	Follow up (y)	Assessment	Notes
	Homish et al, 2013 ³²	OR=2.8 (2.4–3.2), p<0.001 (elation or irritability); OR=4.6 (3.7–5.8), p<0.001 (elation and irritability)	C	none	>18	3	AUDADIS-IV	
Cyclothymia in MDD	Kochman et al, 2005 ³⁷	63.8% with cyclothymic temperament converted to BD vs, 15.3% without (p<0.0001)	I	MDD	7–17 (12.7)	2–4 (2.2)	SADS	
Psychotic symptoms in MDD	Strober et al, 1993 ³⁶	Psychotic symptoms predicted conversion (p=0.02)	I	MDD	13–17 (15.3)	2	SADS	
	Kochman et al, 2005 ³⁷	57.4% with cyclothymic temperament + psychotic symptoms converted to BD vs.6.1% without psychosis (p<0.001)	I	MDD	7–17 (12.7)	2–4 (2.2)	SADS	
	Fiedorowicz et al, 2011 ³⁵	HR=3.54 (1.85–6.77), p<0.001 for BD-I	I	MDD	>17	1–31 (17.5)	SADS	
	Bromet et al, 2011 ³⁸	AOR 0.45 (0.28–0.71), p<0.001	I	MDD	15–60	10	SCID	Decreased psychotic symptoms predicted BD

Abbreviations: AOR, adjusted odds ratio; AUDADIS, Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disability Interview Schedule; BD, bipolar disorder; C, community; ChiPS-C, Children's Interview for Psychiatric Syndromes-Child; CIDI, Composite International Diagnostic Interview; HR, hazard ratio; I=inpatients; LR=likelihood ratio; MDD=major depressive disorder; MDDP=major depressive disorder with psychosis; O=outpatients; PP=post test probability; RR=risk ratio; SCID=Structured Clinical Interview for DSM.

Author Manuscript

Table 2. Heterotypic risk factors for BD.

Risk factors	Study	BD risk	Design	Diagnosis	Age (y)	Follow up (y)	Assessment	Notes
Anxiety disorders	Johnson et al., 2000 ⁵²	OR=4.69 (1.78–12.38), p<0.01	C	various	14–22	9	DISC	Intake diagnoses: anxiety, depressive, disruptive, personality, & substance disorders
GAD	Homish et al., 2013 ³²	OR=2.10 (1.80–2.40), p<0.001	C	none	>18	3	AUDADIS-IV	
PTSD	Grant et al., 2009 ⁵⁵	OR=2.40 (1.33–4.31), p<0.01 for BD-I	C	none	>18	3	AUDADIS-IV	
	Chou et al., 2011 ⁵⁶	OR=3.35 (1.03–10.8), p<0.01 for BD-I	C	none	>60	3	AUDADIS-IV	PTSD also predicted MDD, panic disorder, specific phobia & GAD
SA	Brückl et al., 2007 ⁵³	HR=6.20 (1.70–21.6) for BD-I; HR=8.5 (1.6–43.5) for BD-II	C	none	14–24	4	CIDI	retrospectively reported; also predicted PDAG, specific phobia, GAD, OCD, pain disorder & alcohol dependence.

Risk factors	Study	BD risk	Design	Diagnosis	Age (y)	Follow up (y)	Assessment	Notes
Subthreshold SA		HR=8.10 (2.3–27) for BD-II	C	none	14–24	4	CIDI	retrospectively reported; also predicted PDAG, pain disorder and alcohol dependence
SA + subthreshold SA		OR=9.90 (1.10–84.0) for BD-II	C	none	14–24	4	CIDI	prospectively reported; also predicted panic, alcohol dependence, pain disorder.
Panic attacks	Kinley et al., 2011 ⁵⁴	AOR=2.39 (1.61–3.54), p<0.001 for BD	C	none	>18	3	AUDADIS-IV	also predicted GAD, PD, social phobia, MDD, dysthymia, anxiety disorders & mood disorders
ODD, CD or ADHD	Tijssen et al., 2010 ⁴⁷	HR=5.29 (2.01–13.9), p<0.001	C	none	14-24 (18.3)	7.3–10.6 (8.3)	CIDI	
CD and/or ODD	Kim-Cohen et al., 2003 ⁴⁹	AOR=2.50 (1.10–5.40) for BD-I	BC	none	11-26	23	DISC	also predicted anxiety disorders, depression and substance abuse

Risk factors	Study	BD risk	Design	Diagnosis	Age (y)	Follow up (y)	Assessment	Notes
CD	Morcillo et al., 2012 ⁶¹	men: AOR=2.04 (1.62–2.57), p<0.05; women: AOR=1.72 (1.23–2.41), p<0.05	C	none	>18	3	AUDADIS-IV	also predicted Axis I & II disorders in both sexes
Subthreshold CD	Shankman et al., 2009 ⁶⁰	HR=3.90 (1.60–9.40), p<0.05	C	none	14–20	15	SADS	also predicted alcohol & substance abuse & CD
Impulsivity	Chamorro et al., 2012 ⁶⁵	AOR=3.19 (2.81–3.61), p<0.05	C	none	>18	3	AUDADIS-IV	also predicted other Axis I & II disorders
ADHD	Grant et al., 2009 ⁵⁵	OR=2.60 (1.14–6.10), p<0.01 for BD-I	C	none	>18	3	AUDADIS-IV	

Abbreviations: AOR, adjusted odds ratio; AUDADIS, Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disability Interview Schedule; BC, birth cohort; BD, bipolar disorder; C, community; GAD, generalized anxiety disorder; CIDI, Composite International Diagnostic Interview; HR, hazard ratio; OCD, obsessive compulsive disorder; PDAG, panic disorder with agoraphobia; RR, risk ratio.

Table 3. Exposure-related risk factors for BD.

Risk factors	Study	BD risk	Design	Follow up (y)	Cases (n)	Controls (n)	Assessment	Notes
Maternal influenza	Parboosing, 2013 ⁷⁰	AOR=4.21 (1.60–11.0), p=0.004 (all trimesters); AOR 5.68 (1.07–30.1), p=0.04 for trimester-3	NCC	to 29	BD patients identified through medical databases (92)	Non-BD matched controls identified through medical databases (722)	SCID	
	Canetta, 2014 ⁷¹	AOR=4.87, 1.18–20.1, p=0.03 for BD-I with psychosis	NCC	to 29	BD patients identified through medical databases (85)	Non-BD matched controls identified through medical databases (168)	SCID	
Maternal smoking	Talati, 2013 ⁶⁶	AOR=2.014 (1.48–2.53), p=0.01	NCC	to 29	BD patients identified through medical databases (79)	Non-BD matched controls identified through medical databases (654)	SCID	
Maternal war stress	Kleinhaus, 2013 ⁷⁷	RR=2.44 (1.00–5.99), p=0.054 for 1st trimester	CS	to 41	Exposed born during the Six Days War (4298)	Unexposed born before or after the Six Day War (85781)	Clinical	also predicts MDD and mood disorders NOS

Risk factors	Study	BD risk	Design	Follow up (y)	Cases (n)	Controls (n)	Assessment	Notes
Gestational age	Øgendahl, 2006 ⁶⁷	Females only: OR=2.91 (1.10–7.73 p=0.05) for <37 weeks; OR=3.70 (1.35–10.2, p=0.05) for weight <2.5 kg & age <37 weeks	NCC	to 11	BD patients identified through medical databases (196)	Non-BD matched controls identified through medical databases (4900)	Clinical	
Gestational age	Nosarti, 2012 ⁶⁸	AHR=7.40 (2.70–20.6) p<0.05 for <32 weeks; AHR=2.70 (1.60–4.50), p<0.05 for 32–36 weeks	CS	to 19	Born < 32 or 32–36 weeks gestation (52989)	Born > 37 weeks gestation (1243543)	Clinical	also predicts non affective psychosis, depression, eating disorders
Birth type	Chudal, 2014 ⁶⁹	AOR=2.51 (1.32–4.78), p<0.01 for planned cesarean	NCC	to 21	BD patients identified in medical databases (724)	Non-BD matched controls from medical databases (1419)	Clinical	
Any substance abuse	Schepis, 2011 ⁸⁶	AOR=1.33 (1.18–1.51, p<0.001)	C	3	Exposed to any substance abuse (2639)	Not exposed to any substance abuse (12,690)	AUDAD IS-IV	Subjects with lifetime alcohol, substance, MDD, anxiety disorders; also predicts alcohol, SUD, depressive & anxiety disorders

Risk factors	Study	BD risk	Design	Follow up (y)	Cases (n)	Controls (n)	Assessment	Notes
Cocaine	Anthony, 1991 ⁸¹	OR=11.8, p=0.031 for DSM manic episode; OR=5.5, p=0.006 for mania syndrome	NCC	1	BD (66)	Non-BD (268)	DIS	also predicts panic, depression, psychotic symptoms
Cannabis	van Laar, 2007 ⁸²	AOR 4.98 (1.80-13.81), p<0.01; AOR 8.93 (2.77-28.82), p<0.001 for 1-4 times/week use	C	3	Exposed to cannabis (484)	Not exposed to cannabis (4,197)	CIDI	
	Gilman, 2012 ⁷⁹	OR=2.12 (1.10-4.08), p<0.05	C	3	Exposed to cannabis (336)	Not exposed to cannabis (2,249)	AUDAD IS-IV	Subjects with past-year MDD
	Feingold, 2015 ⁸³	AOR=2.47 (1.03-5.92), p<0.05 for weekly to near-daily use	C	3	Exposed to cannabis (1029)	Not exposed to cannabis (31,577)	AUDAD IS-IV	
Opioids	Schepis, 2011 ⁸⁶	AOR=2.61 (2.03-3.36), p<0.001 for any drug; AOR=2.81 (2.47-3.21), p<0.001 for any past-year drug	C	3	Exposed to opioids (337)	Not exposed to opioids (16,830)	AUDAD IS-IV	also predicts SUD, depressive and anxiety disorders

Risk factors	Study	BD risk	Design	Follow up (y)	Cases (n)	Controls (n)	Assessment	Notes
	Schepis, 2011 ⁸⁶	AOR=1.33 (1.18–1.51, p<0.001) for any drug; AOR=1.50 (1.15–1.94 p<0.002) for tranquilizers	C	3	Exposed to opioids (2639)	Not exposed to opioids (12,690)	AUDAD IS-IV	Subjects with lifetime alcohol, substance, MDD, anxiety disorders; also predicts alcohol, SUD, depressive and anxiety disorders
	Martins, 2012 ⁸⁵	AOR=2.00 (1.10–3.70), p<0.05	C	3	Exposed to opioids (1499)	Not exposed to opioids (33154)	AUDAD IS-IV	also predicts MDD, any anxiety disorders and GAD
	Schepis, 2013 ⁸⁴	AOR=2.12 (1.52–2.96), p<0.001 for weekly/daily user	C	3	Exposed to opioids (461)	Not exposed to opioids (17,011)	AUDAD IS-IV	Subjects with lifetime alcohol, substance, MDD, anxiety disorders; also predicts depressive and anxiety disorders
Tranquilizers	Schepis, 2011 ⁸⁶	AOR=1.50 (1.15–1.94, p<0.002)	C	3	Exposed to tranquilizers (2639)	Not exposed to tranquilizers (12,690)	AUDAD IS-IV	Subjects with lifetime alcohol, substance, MDD, anxiety disorders

Risk factors	Study	BD risk	Design	Follow up (y)	Cases (n)	Controls (n)	Assessment	Notes
Head injury	Mortensen, 2003 ⁷⁵	IRR 1.55 (1.36–1.77), p=0.01	NCC	to 15	BD inpatients identified in medical databases (10242)	Non-BD matched controls identified in medical databases (102420)	Clinical	
	Orlovska, 2014 ⁷⁶	IRR=1.28 (1.10–1.48), p<0.05	CS	to 23	Exposed to head injury (113,906)	Not exposed to head injury (1,324,433)	Clinical	also predicts schizophrenia, MDD and organic mental disorder
Parental loss	Mortensen, 2003 ⁷⁸	RR=4.05 (1.68–9.77), p<0.05 for mother-loss <age 5	NCC	to 28	BD inpatients in medical databases	Non-BD matched controls identified through medical databases	Clinical	
		RR=1.93 (1.03–3.59), p<0.05 for mother-loss at age 5–10						
		RR=1.63 (1.09–2.45), p<0.05 for mother-loss at age 10–15						

Risk factors	Study	BD risk	Design	Follow up (y)	Cases (n)	Controls (n)	Assessment	Notes
		RR=2.42 (1.20–4.86), p<0.05 for father-loss before age 5						
Childhood adversities	Gilman 2012 ⁷⁹	OR=1.27 (1.13–1.42 p<0.05) for physical abuse	C	3	Not reported	Not reported	AUDAD IS-IV	Subjects with lifetime MDD
		OR=1.05 (1.00–1.11 p<0.05) for sexual abuse			Not reported	Not reported		
Past-year stressors	Gilman 2012 ⁷⁹	OR=1.79 (1.19-2.68 p<0.05): social support group problems	C	3	Exposed (3879)	Not exposed (2,335)	AUDAD IS-IV	Subjects with lifetime MDD
		OR=1.45 (1.03–2.06 p<0.05): economic prob- lems			Exposed (1885)	Not exposed (4,329)		

Abbreviations: AHR: adjusted hazard ratio; AOR=adjusted Odds Ratio; AUDADIS = Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disability Interview Schedule; BD= bipolar disorder; C=community; CIDI = Composite International Diagnostic Interview; CS=cohort study; HR = hazard ratio; IRR = incident rate ratio; MDD = major depressive disorder; NCC=nested case-control; NOS = not otherwise specified; OR = odds ratio; RR = risk ratio; SUD = substance use disorder.

Table 4. Summary of studies assessing symptomatic predictors or prodromes of mania.

Reports	N	Population	Assessments	Pre-mania features
Uncontrolled without structured assessments				
Hirschfield et al. 2003 ⁸⁹	600	Adults with BD	Self-report questionnaire	DSM-IV mania, depressive symptoms, sleep disturbances
Berk et al. 2007 ⁹⁰	218	Adults with BD-I or schizoaffective disorder	Questionnaire	Symptoms of mania and depression; mood swings
Axelsson et al. 2011 ⁴⁴	152	Children & adolescents with BD-I	KSADS, LIFE, MRS	Subthreshold manic symptoms, family mood history
Uncontrolled with structured assessments				
Ozgurdal et al. 2009 ⁹¹	20	Adults with BD-I	Semi-structured eval. for mood swings	Mood swings, temperaments
Correll et al. 2007 ¹³ , 2014 ⁹³	52	Children & adolescents with first episode mania ± psychosis	Bipolar Prodrome Symptom Scale (BPSS), retrospective	Manic symptoms, depressive symptoms, anxiety, psychotic experiences
Conus et al. 2010 ⁹²	22	Youth with first episode mania + psychosis	Initial Mania Prodrome Questionnaire	Manic & depressive symptoms, mood swings
Controlled				
Rucklidge et al. 2008 ⁹⁴	25	Adolescents with BD & healthy controls	KSADS-PL applied retrospectively	Elevated, depressed or irritable mood >6 hrs/day, for >2 days

Luckenbaugh et al. 2009 ⁹⁵	27	Juveniles with BD & healthy controls	KSADS interviews of parents & children	Elevated mood, sleep disturbances
Papachristou et al. 2013 ⁹⁶	56	Adolescents with BD-I in a prospective community study	Child Behavior Checklist-	DSM-IV mania symptoms in a “Mania Scale”
Bechdolf et al. 2010 ⁹⁷	35*	Juveniles meeting BD-at-risk criteria & followed 1 year	Clinical assessment of at-risk criteria	BD-at risk criteria, subthreshold mania, depression with cyclothymic features (11.4% developed BD)
Meta-analysis of prodromal symptoms				
Van Meter et al. 2016 ³	1084	Varied participants aged 10.6–43.9 yrs	Varied structured & unstructured assessments	Excess energy, talkativeness, racing thoughts, decreased need for sleep, elated or irritable mood identified in a majority before initial mania

Abbreviations: BD, Bipolar Disorder; KSADS, Schedule for Assessment of Schizophrenia and Affective Disorders for School age Children; LIFE, Longitudinal Interval Follow-up Evaluation; MRS, Mania Rating Scale; DSM, APA Diagnostic and Statistical Manual; among 35 participants, 4 (11.4%) developed BD.

Table 5. Overview of prospective bipolar offspring studies

Reports	Cohort characteristics				Parent Characteristics					Offspring Characteristics				
	Follow-up (years)	Follow-up	Drop-out (%)	Cohort Type	n	BD parent	BD mother (%)	Recruitment	Country	N	Mean age (range)	Interview	Offspring diagnoses Vs. Controls (C)	Early course of BD
Duffy et al. 1998 ¹⁹ ; 2014 ¹¹	≤16	Annually (mean follow-ups: 6.3)	5	Dynamic	1 1 3	41% BD-I 43% BD-II 4% +psychosis 10% I° relatives of BD probands	52	outpatient specialty clinics; lithium responders & nonresponders	Canada	2 2 9	22.6 (7-25)	K-SADS-PL; SADS-L	32% MDD (3% C) 30% SUD (16% C) 23% Anxiety (12% C) 22% BD-I, -II, -NOS, SCZ (0% C) 20% Adjustment (41% C) 11%, Neurodev (6% C), 9% Minor mood (1.5% C) 2.5% DBD	85% index episode depressive Mean onset 16 ± 4 yrs Latency 5 yrs to hypo/mania Median hypomania/mania age 18 yrs 0% pre-pubertal hypo/mania antecedent anxiety predicted 2.5 fold risk mood disorder

Reports	Cohort characteristics				Parent Characteristics					Offspring Characteristics				
Wals et al. 2001 ²⁰ ; Mesman et al. 2013 ²¹	12	Baseline, 1, 5, 12 yrs (4.0)	23	Fixed	8 6	74% BD-I 26% BD-II	60	82%: patient associations; 28%: outpatient clinic	Netherlands	1 4 0 1 0 8	28 (22–33)	K-SADS-PL; SCID	28% minor mood 25% anxiety 23% SUD 17% MDD 13% BD-I, -II, Cyclo, psychotic 7% DBD 5% ADHD	88% index episode depressive Mean onset 15 ± 4.6 yrs Latency 5 yrs to hypo/mania Median onset hypomania 17 yrs Median onset mania 20 yrs 0 pre-pubertal hypo/manic onset
Egeland et al. 2003 ¹⁰⁰ , 2012 ⁵⁸	16	Annually (16)	0	Dynamic & Fixed	1 5	100% BD-I	43	Genetic linkage research; Amish community	USA	1 1 5	75% <14	CARE interview	39% risk rating (16% C) Episodes of Internalizing symptoms (childhood), shifted to externalizing (adolescence) 9% BD	Median onset 15 yrs hypo/mania 0 pre-pubertal hypo/mania onset All preceded anxiety/depression 0 evidence of SMD in childhood (0 disruptive, ADHD, irritability)

Reports	Cohort characteristics				Parent Characteristics					Offspring Characteristics				
Birmaher et al. 2009 ²² Axelson et al. 2015 ²³	7	Mean 2.5 yrs	9	Fixed	2	72% BD-I	81	53%: advertisement, 31%: adult BD studies; 16%: outpatient clinics	USA	3	18.1	K-SADS-PL; SCID	Anxiety 30%–40% (18%–22% C) ODD/CD 35% (19% C), DBD 27% (15% C), MDD 19% (14% C) SUD 19% (10% C) BD-NOS 11% (1.2% C) Dep NOS 10% (7% C) BD 8.4% (0.8% C),	Mean onset 13 yrs hypo/mania Mean onset MDD 14 yrs 33% index hypo/mania ≤10yrs 53% onset hypo/mania ≤12 yrs
Numberger et al. 2011 ²⁴	2–3	Baseline, 1, 2-yrs (3)	—	Fixed	3	BAD (NS)	—	Oupatient research studies	USA	5	19.6	K-SADS (65% telephone)	18% SUD (9% C) 16% MDD (4% C) 11% DBD (8% C) 8.5% BD-I, -II, -NOS (0% C) 8% ADHD (5% C)	Youngest [hypo]mania 10 yrs Median onset MDD 12 yrs Median onset Mania 12.5 yrs Median onset Hypomania 16 yrs

Reports	Cohort characteristics				Parent Characteristics					Offspring Characteristics				
Vandeleur et al. 2012 ²⁵ Preisig et al. 2016 ²⁶	10.6	Every 3 yrs (3–4)	—	Dynamic	8	66% BDI 15% BD II 19% BD+psychosis	58	Inpatient & outpatient facilities	Switzerland	1	21.1	K-SADS-E	43.5% ANX (38.4% C) 36.6% MDD (37.5% C) 27.6% SUD (18.8% C) 17.2% BPS (4.5% C) 16.6% ADHD (13.4% C) 14.5% ODD (13.4% C) 12.4% BD I/II (3.6% C)	No information on early course of BD; Offspring of early-onset-BD parents had higher risk of BD (HR= 7.9 [1.8–34.6]) & of substance abuse (HR=5.0 [1.1–21.9] vs. later-onset or controls.

Abbreviations: ADHD, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder; ANX, anxiety, disorders; BD, bipolar disorder; BAD, DSM-III bipolar affective disorder; BPS, bipolar spectrum disorders, including BD-I, -II, -NOS (not otherwise specified), cyclothymia, and bipolar type schizoaffective disorder; BD-I, bipolar-I disorder; BD-II, bipolar II disorder; C, controls; Cyclo, cyclothymia; Dys, dysthymic disorder; DBD, disruptive behavioral disorder: including oppositional defiant disorder(ODD) & conduct disorders (CD); MDD, major depressive disorder; SzAff, schizophrenia/affective; SUD, substance use disorder;

F, fixed population recruited within a fixed enrollment period; mixed design was fixed, but new siblings or new families when parents with newly emerging BD could be added; Dynamic, recruiting new families, no fixed enrollment period;

Age, at baseline; 357/391 offspring (91.3%) were followed prospectively; those lost to follow-up were included for analysis.

Note that the overall risk of any BD-like illness among probands averaged 195/1039 (18.8% [16.4–21.3]), compared to only (1.26% [0.51–2.58] (14.9x-less) in controls.

¹Baseline 140; at 12 year follow-up 108; ²114 of 141 offspring had a parent as proband.

Abbreviations: ADHD = attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder; ANX = anxiety, disorders; BD = bipolar disorder; DBD = disruptive behavior disorder; MDD = major depressive disorder; ODD = oppositional-defiant disorder; SUD = substance use

Table 6. Affective temperaments in bipolar disorder types and versus healthy controls or major depressive disorder patients.

Temperament Type	Sex	BD vs Healthy Controls	BD vs MDD	Type I vs II BD	Clinical characteristics associated with temperament	Suicidal Risk (proportion of reports)
Cyclothymic	F = M	BD > HC SMD=2.20 [1.61–2.84]	BD > MDD SMD=0.54 [0.38–0.71]	BD-I = BD-II (p=0.29)	More atypical features; younger onset; more recurrences/year; twice more likely BD vs MDD	Higher scores if suicidal (17/23)
Anxious	F > M	BD > HC SMD=1.38 [0.66–2.09]	BD = MDD (p=0.54)	BD-I = BD-II (p=0.72)	more depressive episodes with mixed features; more medical & psychiatric comorbidity	Higher scores if suicidal (11/22)
Irritable	F = M	BD > HC SMD=1.29 [0.86–1.72]	BD > MDD SMD=0.41 [0.22–0.60]	BD-I = BD-II (p=0.84)	More psychosis with first-episodes; more mixed or psychotic features; more manic switch-risk	Higher scores if suicidal (6/23)
Depressive	F > M	BD > HC SMD=1.19 [0.55–1.82]	BD = MDD (p=0.29)	BD-II > BD-I SMD = 0.25 [0.41–0.009]	More predominant depression; more depressive episodes; more depressive first episodes	Higher scores if suicidal (15/23)
Hyperthymic	F < M	BD < HC SMD=-0.44 [-0.74 to 0.15]	BD > MDD SMD=0.39 [0.18–0.60]	BD-I = BD-II (p=0.12)	More predominant mania more manic episodes; more manic or psychotic episodes; greater manic switch; more recurrences/year	Lower scores if suicidal (9/11)

Abbreviations: F, female; M, male; BD, Bipolar Disorder; HC, Healthy Controls; MDD, Major Depressive Disorder; SMD (with 95% CI), standardized mean difference.

Data are based on TEMPS-A scores, from Rihmer et al 2010¹¹⁹, Vázquez & Gonda 2013¹²⁰, Solmi et al 2016¹²⁷. [*] Suicidal ideation or attempts as the proportion of reports noting higher or lower TEMPS-A score among suicidal subjects, from Vázquez et al. 2017¹³⁵.

Author Manuscript