



Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Bell, SFE;Sweeney, EL;Kong, FYS;Whiley, DM;Bradshaw, CS;Tickner, JA

Title:

Response to the ASHM 2023 statement on the use of doxy-PEP in Australia: considerations and recommendations

Date:

2024-04-15

Citation:

Bell, S. F. E., Sweeney, E. L., Kong, F. Y. S., Whiley, D. M., Bradshaw, C. S. & Tickner, J. A. (2024). Response to the ASHM 2023 statement on the use of doxy-PEP in Australia: considerations and recommendations. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 220 (7), pp.356-360. <https://doi.org/10.5694/mja2.52255>.

Persistent Link:

<https://hdl.handle.net/11343/351478>

License:

[CC BY-NC-ND](#)

Response to the ASHM 2023 statement on the use of doxy-PEP in Australia: considerations and recommendations

In September 2023, the Australasian Society for HIV, Viral Hepatitis and Sexual Health Medicine (ASHM) released the *2023 Consensus statement on doxycycline prophylaxis (doxy-PEP) for the prevention of syphilis, chlamydia and gonorrhoea among gay, bisexual, and other men who have sex with men in Australia*,¹ which appears in this issue of the *MJA*.² The Statement addresses important considerations for the use of doxy-PEP to reduce acquisition, potential transmission, and negative sequelae primarily for syphilis, while noting its clinical benefit, albeit to a lesser extent, for chlamydial and gonococcal infection for gay and bisexual men who have sex with men (GBMSM). We commend ASHM on this timely and important statement, with people already requesting or using doxy-PEP, with or without clinical oversight. In response, we wish to further emphasise two important considerations for doxy-PEP implementation, notably, the potential threat of increasing antimicrobial resistance (AMR) and the urgent need to implement measures to effectively monitor doxy-PEP use and its impact.

Not all sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis strategies are equal

Chemoprophylaxis for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection, administered as pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) and/or post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP), is an effective, well tolerated and highly acceptable strategy for preventing HIV acquisition. For example, HIV PrEP use in the previous six months was reported by 77% of Sydney Gay Community Periodic Survey respondents living without HIV engaging in condomless anal intercourse with casual partners.³ Despite implementation of primary and secondary prevention strategies, rates of notifiable bacterial sexually transmitted infections (STIs) continue to rise. Doxy-PEP could be similarly effective as a syphilis prevention strategy in GBMSM. Syphilis is highly prevalent in GBMSM and has the potential to cause neurological and cardiovascular disease if untreated.⁴ Concerningly, modelling predicts that more than two-thirds of early syphilis infections among GBMSM in Australia remain undiagnosed and consequently untreated.⁵ It is, however, important to highlight key differences between HIV PrEP and doxy-PEP, especially for the end user. The complexities of comparing these two interventions were discussed at the doxy-PEP consensus statement launch. HIV PrEP is highly effective and, although the development of AMR in HIV needs to be monitored, the benefits of drastically reduced HIV acquisition greatly outweigh the low risk of resistance. Furthermore, the collateral impacts of HIV PrEP (using tenofovir–emtricitabine-based treatment) versus a broad-spectrum antibiotic such as doxycycline differ considerably. Even though

the impact of HIV PrEP on bystander viruses is not fully understood, no significant negative impacts on HIV prevention or other concerns in the sexual health field have been noted in the literature in the period that HIV PrEP has been used. Conversely, doxycycline has broad off-targeted activity against many bacteria, including commensals, with substantial potential to generate AMR not only in bacteria that cause STIs but in other bacterial species (pathogenic or commensal). This risk needs to be carefully weighed against the benefits of reduced syphilis incidence for GBMSM.

Antimicrobial stewardship, resistance and microbiota impacts

Globally, consensus is lacking on the use of doxy-PEP due to concerns around increased antimicrobial consumption and the development of AMR not just among bacteria that cause STIs but other pathogens ([Supporting Information 1](#) and [Supporting Information 2](#)).^{1,6–16} Although statements supporting the use of doxy-PEP acknowledge the risk of AMR, the true impact on AMR is yet to be adequately assessed, and studies thus far indicate significant potential for increased AMR in a number of important human pathogens.¹⁷ Despite limited data, doxy-PEP trials demonstrate increases in tetracycline resistance among commensals including *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Neisseria* spp.^{18,19} Evidence of AMR development has also been reported in people taking continuous prophylactic low dose doxycycline for malaria and acne¹⁷ (a different dose regimen to doxy-PEP) and co-resistance observed to doxycycline, ceftriaxone and ciprofloxacin in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in a doxy-PEP animal model.²⁰ Such outcomes are not unexpected, as it is well recognised that increased use of antibiotics often leads to increased AMR. Given the current state of evidence, it is important to ask if more caution be given to supporting and implementing doxy-PEP.

The ASHM Statement recommends considering doxy-PEP primarily for the prevention of syphilis in GBMSM, due to the morbidity attributed to syphilis being greater than chlamydia or gonorrhoea. Tetracycline resistance is rarely documented in *Chlamydia trachomatis* or *Treponema pallidum*;²¹ however, *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* can develop and maintain resistance to tetracyclines as well as the other antimicrobials, with mutations conferring co-resistance.²² The Statement acknowledges doxy-PEP is unlikely to be an effective gonorrhoea control strategy, given high gonococcal tetracycline resistance (45%) in Australia,²³ with higher rates likely among GBMSM.²⁴ However, STI co-infection frequently occurs. For instance, multivariate analysis estimated that GBMSM with concurrent gonococcal or chlamydial infection had a 60% higher risk of syphilis compared with

Sara F E Bell^{1†}

Emma L Sweeney^{1†}

Fabian Y S Kong²

David M Whiley^{1,3}

Catriona S Bradshaw⁴

Jacob A Tickner¹

¹ UQ Centre for Clinical Research, University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD.

² University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC.

³ Pathology Queensland, Brisbane, QLD.

⁴ Melbourne Sexual Health Centre, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC.

j.tickner@uq.edu.au

[†]Equal first authors.

doi: 10.5694/mja2.52255

GBMSM without gonococcal or chlamydial infection.²⁵ Given the likelihood that gonococcal infections are frequently exposed to doxy-PEP, this potentially undermines gonococcal antimicrobial stewardship efforts by accelerating the spread of gonococcal AMR²⁶ and potentially selecting for multidrug-resistant gonococcal strains.²⁷ By averting gonorrhoea infection, the doxy-PEP study reduced ceftriaxone use by half in the doxy-PEP arm against a background of 20% *N. gonorrhoeae* tetracycline resistance at the time of the trial.²⁸ However, when rates of *N. gonorrhoeae* tetracycline resistance are higher, as was the case with the ANRS IPERGAY trial,²¹ gonorrhoea incidence was not significantly different between the doxy-PEP and control arms. In the Australian context, with high rates of *N. gonorrhoeae* tetracycline resistance, doxy-PEP is unlikely to prolong the life of empiric treatments²⁶ and will also be at the cost of higher doxycycline consumption and higher rates of *N. gonorrhoeae* doxycycline and/or multidrug resistance.²⁹ In addition, the impact of doxy-PEP for the prevention of *Mycoplasma genitalium* infection has been largely overlooked. Despite the limited efficacy of doxycycline monotherapy for *M. genitalium*, with about one-third of infections being cured, doxycycline is an important part of the current treatment regimen of resistance-guided therapy and any reduction in efficacy of doxycycline for *M. genitalium* would have a substantial impact on the cure of an STI with already limited treatment options.³⁰ Although increases in doxycycline resistance have not been reported among small studies of *M. genitalium*, the molecular mechanisms of doxycycline resistance in this organism remain poorly understood,³¹ precluding routine surveillance of doxycycline resistance in *M. genitalium*.

The effects of antimicrobials on the microbiome remain complex and have been associated with an increased risk of adverse outcomes.³² A recent systematic review of AMR selection on human microbiota³³ with use of oral tetracyclines reported increases in tetracycline-resistant *Streptococcus* strains in subgingival flora, *Escherichia coli* in the gastrointestinal tract, and a 5.8-fold increase in tetracycline-resistant respiratory tract pathogens. Another randomised controlled trial found that doxycycline taken for ten days resulted in reduced gut bacterial diversity, including a 100-fold reduction in bifidobacteria, as well as increased prevalence of tetracycline resistance in gut commensals.³⁴ Doxy-PEP is also recommended for people living with HIV and the effects on the microbiome may be more complex in this group, who show an altered microbiome compared with those not living with HIV.³⁵ The addition of microbiota surveillance (eg, oral and rectal swabs to study orogastrointestinal microbiota) to other surveillance approaches is essential to quantify the effects on the human microbiota. Importantly, educating users of doxy-PEP about potential adverse effects on the microbiota as well as the development and spread of AMR should be an integral part of clinical consultations to enable genuine shared decision-making processes and should be included in comprehensive counselling and antimicrobial stewardship programs more broadly. The **Box** details suggestions for provider–client discussion points and

information to address in doxy-PEP counselling as identified in existing documents.

Opportunities to enhance STI, AMR and antimicrobial use surveillance

The Statement listed nine recommendations for research, guidelines and policy.^{1,2} We support the rapid ownership, development and adequate funding of these recommendations. In addition, we suggest systems be designed in line with the vision of One Health surveillance by undertaking standardised data collection methods or ensuring interoperable systems with not only other human health surveillance systems but also animal and ecosystem health surveillance systems.³⁶ This comprehensive approach would improve our understanding of the drivers of resistance; for example, the relative contribution of human and animal antimicrobial consumption on AMR.

The recommendation “pathology and public health bodies should be appropriately funded to monitor AMR” is critical, and timely action would ensure an agreed national minimum dataset to monitor the potential impacts of doxy-PEP on AMR and antimicrobial use and the roles and responsibilities being allocated to named agencies. Surveillance in Australia requires significant enhancements to monitor the impact of doxy-PEP use. The Australian model of sexual health care delivery, straddling state and Commonwealth providers and multiple unlinked databases, poses significant challenges for accurate surveillance.

Another recommendation highlights the need for development and deployment of molecular testing to monitor AMR. Identifying barriers and implementing solutions to support broad implementation of molecular AMR testing could supplement AMR surveillance and increase access to resistance-guided therapy.³⁷ However, existing commercial molecular antimicrobial susceptibility/resistance assays are predominantly designed to rule in and/or rule out use of a single antimicrobial, making them suitable for resistance-guided therapy but of lesser utility for AMR surveillance. Furthermore, given limited demand, commercial tests encompassing both resistance-guided therapy and AMR surveillance are yet to be developed. Government support would likely enable industry to accelerate the development of AMR tools, providing a timely solution for pathology laboratories to enhance AMR surveillance and to support clinical implementation of resistance-guided therapy, including in the context of doxy-PEP use. Given the prohibitive cost of molecular AMR testing comparative to molecular pathogen identification, pathology cost subsidies by relevant federal or jurisdiction governments would support the uptake of molecular AMR testing. Such an outcome would be particularly beneficial for regional and remote areas under-represented in culture-based AMR surveillance.

The use of doxy-PEP now seems unavoidable. However, implementation of doxy-PEP without adequate access to STI testing, including AMR testing and adequate antimicrobial use surveillance systems, warrants

Counselling topics for clinicians to discuss with clients considering doxycycline post-exposure prophylaxis (doxy-PEP) for sexually transmitted infections (STIs)

Counselling discussion topic	Reference
Doxy-PEP not recommended	7
No evidence for use of alternate antibiotics	1,7,16
No evidence for STIs other than chlamydia or syphilis	7
Doxycycline not safe in pregnancy, contraception required/avoid pregnancy	7,8,10,13
Efficacy	8,11,13
Potential benefits	11,16
Side effects (photosensitivity, pill esophagitis, other rare side effects)	8,10,11,13,14,16
Drug interactions	8,10,11,13,14
Dosing regimens	11
Strategies to minimise use of doxy-PEP	1
Consider episodic treatment for higher risk periods	1,11
Unknown effect on gut microbiome, commensal organisms and other STIs	8,11-13,15
Unknown long term effect on individual and population STI AMR	1,8,11-15
Doxycycline formulations and availability	14
Immediate release doxycycline less expensive	8,13
Take with fluid, food increases tolerability	11
Ongoing monitoring	11
Preventive sexual health education, screening, vaccination, HIV PEP and/or HIV PrEP, expedited partner therapy, HIV treatment for PLWH	10-14
Alternative STI prevention, diagnosis, treatment options	11
Counselling discussion not addressed	9

AMR = antimicrobial resistance; HIV = human immunodeficiency virus; PEP = post-exposure prophylaxis; PLWH = people living with HIV; PrEP = pre-exposure prophylaxis. ◆

increased vigilance. Ultimately, economic and political prioritisation is the cornerstone to realising meaningful antimicrobial stewardship outcomes. Particular attention must be given to the national design and implementation of enhanced surveillance of AMR and antimicrobial use in STIs as well as commensal organisms and non STI pathogens that are associated with considerably higher mortality and morbidity than STIs. Recommendations for doxy-PEP should be comprehensive, citing all available evidence including inconclusive findings. We support community-developed resources outlining potential benefits and risks to individual and public health in the short and long term for GBMSM considering doxy-PEP use. Until such time, we encourage clinical consultations to engage in two-way dialogue around sexual risk and antibiotic use, given the threats discussed herein.

Acknowledgements: This work is supported by an Australian Research Council Research Hub to Combat Antimicrobial Resistance grant (IH190100021). This funding supports a national industry and academic collaboration focused on developing a pre-commercialisation environment to address both social and laboratory-based preclinical challenges to provide a highly integrated diagnostic, pharmaceutical and end user solution to the problem of antimicrobial resistance (<https://amrhub.org.au>). The funding source (Australian Research Council) had no direct involvement in the planning, writing or publication of this work. Sara Bell is supported by Australian Government Research Training Program (RTP) scholarships.

Open access: Open access publishing facilitated by The University of Queensland, as part of the Wiley - The University of Queensland agreement via the Council of Australian University Librarians.

Competing interests: Emma Sweeney and David Whiley report research funding from SpeedX, who have a vested interest in molecular assays for resistance guided therapy. SpeedX played no role in this work.

Provenance: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed. ■
[Correction added on 26 March 2024, after first online publication: Provenance section has been added]

© 2024 The Authors. *Medical Journal of Australia* published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of AMPCo Pty Ltd.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

- 1 Australasian Society for HIV Medicine. 2023 Consensus statement on doxycycline prophylaxis (doxy-PEP) for the prevention of syphilis, chlamydia and gonorrhoea among gay, bisexual, and other men who have sex with men in Australia. Sydney: ASHM, 2023. <https://ashm.org.au/about/news/doxy-pep-statement/> (viewed Sept 2023).
- 2 Cornelisse VJ, Riley B, Medland NA. Australian consensus statement on doxycycline post-exposure prophylaxis (doxy-PEP) for the prevention of syphilis, chlamydia and gonorrhoea among gay, bisexual and other men who have sex with men. *Med J Aust* 2024; <https://doi.org/10.5694/mja2.52258>.
- 3 Broady T, Chan C, MacGibbon J, et al. Gay Community Periodic Survey: Sydney 2023. Sydney: Centre for Social Research in Health, University of New South Wales; 2023. <https://doi.org/10.26190/g48d-zh77> (viewed Feb 2024).
- 4 Australian Government Department of Health and Aged Care. National Syphilis Surveillance Quarterly Report Quarter 2: 1 April

- 30 June 2023. Canberra: Commonwealth of Australia, 2023. <https://www.health.gov.au/resources/publications/national-syphilis-surveillance-quarterly-report-april-to-june-2023?language=en> (viewed Oct 2023).
- 5 King J, McManus H, Kwon A, et al. HIV, viral hepatitis and sexually transmissible infections in Australia: Annual surveillance report 2022. Sydney: Kirby Institute, University of New South Wales; 2022. <https://www.kirby.unsw.edu.au/research/reports/asr2022> (viewed Oct 2023).
 - 6 Alberta Government Ministry of Health. Alberta guidelines for post-exposure management and prophylaxis: HIV, hepatitis B, hepatitis C and sexually transmitted infections. Edmonton (AB): Alberta Government, 2019. <https://open.alberta.ca/publications/9781460143360> (viewed Feb 2024).
 - 7 Kohli M, Medland N, Fifer H, Saunders J. BASHH updated position statement on doxycycline as prophylaxis for sexually transmitted infections. *Sex Transm Infect* 2022; 98: 235-236.
 - 8 San Francisco Department of Public Health. Health update: doxycycline post-exposure prophylaxis reduces incidence of sexually transmitted infections. San Francisco (CA): San Francisco Department of Public Health, 2022. <https://www.sfdcp.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/10/Health-Update-Doxycycline-Post-Exposure-Prophylaxis-Reduces-Incidence-of-Sexually-Transmitted-Infections-SFDPH-FINAL-10.20.2022.pdf> (viewed Sept 2023).
 - 9 Gandhi RT, Bedimo R, Hoy JF, et al. Antiretroviral drugs for treatment and prevention of HIV infection in adults: 2022 recommendations of the International Antiviral Society-USA Panel. *JAMA* 2023; 329: 63-84.
 - 10 California Department of Public Health. Doxycycline post-exposure prophylaxis (doxy-PEP) for the prevention of bacterial sexually transmitted infections (STIs). Richmond (CA): California Department of Public Health, 2023. <https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/CDPH-Doxy-PEP-Recommendations-for-Prevention-of-STIs.pdf> (viewed Oct 2023).
 - 11 Seattle and King County Public Health. Doxycycline post-exposure prophylaxis (Doxy-PEP) to prevent bacterial STIs in men who have sex with men (MSM) and transgender persons who have sex with men. Seattle (WA): Seattle and King County Public Health, 2023. <https://kingcounty.gov/en/-/media/depts/health/communicable-diseases/documents/hivstd/DoxyPEP-Guidelines.ashx> (viewed Oct 2023).
 - 12 New Mexico Department of Health. New Mexico Health Alert Network (HAN): doxycycline post-exposure prophylaxis (doxy-PEP) for the prevention of bacterial sexually transmitted infections. Santa Fe (NM): New Mexico Department of Health, 2023. <https://www.nmhealth.org/publication/view/general/8411/> (viewed Oct 2023).
 - 13 Bagdasarian N. RE: Recommendations for Doxy PEP in Adults 2023. Lansing (MI): Michigan Department of Health and Human Services. <https://www.michigan.gov/mdhhs/-/media/Project/Websites/mdhhs/Keeping-Michigan-Healthy/HIVSTI/STI/Recommendations-for-Doxy-PEP-in-Adults-08042023.pdf?rev=9619cf8a52b84f9f8a3ca5061504f272&hash=C3D382EA1691E8C5DDAE21870AC745EB> (viewed Feb 2024).
 - 14 Fine SM, Vail RM, McGowan JP, et al. Doxycycline post-exposure prophylaxis to prevent bacterial sexually transmitted infections. New York (NY): New York State Department of Health, AIDS Institute; 2023. <https://www.hivguidelines.org/guideline/sti-doxy-pep/#:~:text=This%20guideline%20on%20the%20use,Clinical%20Guidelines%20Program%20to%20support> (viewed Oct 2023).
 - 15 European AIDS Clinical Society. Guidelines, version 12.0. Brussels: EACS, 2023. <https://www.eacsociety.org/media/guidelines-12.0.pdf> (viewed Feb 2024).
 - 16 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Guidelines for the use of doxycycline post-exposure prophylaxis for bacterial sexually transmitted infection (STI) prevention; request for comment and informational presentation. Atlanta (GA): National Archives Federal Register, Department of Health and Human Services; 2023; pp. 67754-67755. <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2023/10/02/2023-21725/guidelines-for-the-use-of-doxycycline-post-exposure-prophylaxis-for-bacterial-sexually-transmitted> (viewed Oct 2023).
 - 17 Kong FYS, Kenyon C, Unemo M. Important considerations regarding the widespread use of doxycycline chemoprophylaxis against sexually transmitted infections. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2023; 78: 1561-1568.
 - 18 Luetkemeyer AF, Donnell D, Dombrowski JC, et al. Postexposure doxycycline to prevent bacterial sexually transmitted infections. *N Engl J Med* 2023; 388: 1296-1306.
 - 19 Luetkemeyer AF, Donnell D, Dombrowski JC, et al. DoxyPEP and antimicrobial resistance in *N. gonorrhoeae*, commensal *Neisseria* and *S. aureus*. Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI), Seattle (USA); 19-22 February 2023. <https://www.croiconference.org/abstract/doxy-antimicrobial-resistance-in-n-gonorrhoeae-commensal-neisseria-s-aureus/> (viewed Oct 2023).
 - 20 Kenyon C, Gestels Z, Vanbaelen T, et al. Doxycycline PEP can induce doxycycline resistance in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in a *Galleria mellonella* model of PEP. *Front Microbiol* 2023; 14: 1208014.
 - 21 Molina JM, Charreau I, Chidiac C, et al. Post-exposure prophylaxis with doxycycline to prevent sexually transmitted infections in men who have sex with men: an open-label randomised substudy of the ANRS IPERGAY trial. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2018; 18: 30817.
 - 22 Yee WX, Elsener T, Cehovin A, et al. Evolution and exchange of plasmids in pathogenic *Neisseria*. *mSphere* 2023; 8: e0044123.
 - 23 Lahra MM, Van Hal S, Hogan TR. Australian Gonococcal Surveillance Programme Annual Report, 2022. *Commun Dis Intell* (2018) 2023; 47.
 - 24 Mortimer TD, Grad YH. A genomic perspective on the near-term impact of doxycycline post-exposure prophylaxis on *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* antimicrobial resistance. *Clin Infect Dis* 2023; 77: 788-791.
 - 25 Aung ET, Fairley CK, Ong JJ, et al. Incidence and risk factors for early syphilis among men who have sex with men in Australia, 2013-2019: a retrospective cohort study. *Open Forum Infect Dis* 2023; 10: ofad017.
 - 26 Reichert E, Grad YH. Resistance and prevalence implications of doxycycline post-exposure prophylaxis for gonorrhoea prevention in men who have sex with men: a modeling study [preprint]. *medRxiv* 23289033; 24 Apr 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.24.23289033> (viewed Oct 2023).
 - 27 Whitley DM, Tickner JA, Kundu RL, et al. Selection of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* ceftriaxone resistance using doxycycline post-exposure prophylaxis. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2023; 23: e268-e269.
 - 28 Kurtzman L. Doxycycline for STI prevention: highly effective, minimal drug resistance. San Francisco (CA): University of California San Francisco, 2023. <https://www.ucsf.edu/news/2023/02/424861/doxycycline-sti-prevention-highly-effective-minimal-drug-resistance> (viewed Oct 2023).
 - 29 Vanbaelen T, Tsoumanis A, Kenyon C. Total antimicrobial consumption in doxyPEP cohorts depends on the intensity of screening for bacterial sexually transmitted infections. *Clin Infect Dis* 2023; <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciad553> [Epub ahead of print].
 - 30 Wikstrom A, Jensen JS. *Mycoplasma genitalium*: a common cause of persistent urethritis among men treated with doxycycline. *Sex Transm Infect* 2006; 82: 276-279.
 - 31 Chua TP, Danielewski J, Bodiabadu K, et al. Impact of 16S rRNA single nucleotide polymorphisms on *Mycoplasma genitalium* organism load with doxycycline treatment. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2022; 66: e0024322.
 - 32 Vijay A, Valdes AM. Role of the gut microbiome in chronic diseases: a narrative review. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2022; 76: 489-501.
 - 33 Truong R, Tang V, Grennan T, Tan DHS. A systematic review of the impacts of oral tetracycline class antibiotics on antimicrobial resistance in normal human flora. *JAC Antimicrob Resist* 2022; 4: dlac009.
 - 34 Saarela M, Maukonen J, von Wright A, et al. Tetracycline susceptibility of the ingested *Lactobacillus acidophilus* LaCh-5 and *Bifidobacterium animalis* subsp. lactis Bb-12 strains during antibiotic/probiotic intervention. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2007; 29: 271-280.
 - 35 Tuddenham SA, Koay WLA, Zhao N, et al. The impact of human immunodeficiency virus infection on gut microbiota α -diversity: an individual-level meta-analysis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020; 70: 615-627.

36 One Health High-Level Expert Panel; Hayman DTS, Adisasmito WB, Almuhairi S, et al. Developing One Health surveillance systems. *One Health* 2023; 17: 100617.

37 Klausner JD, Bristow CC, Soge OO, et al. Resistance-guided treatment of gonorrhea: a prospective clinical study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2021; 73: 298-303. ■

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information is included with the online version of this article.