



Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Geng, X;Horst, WJ;Golz, JF;Lee, JE;Ding, Z;Yang, ZB

Title:

LEUNIG_HOMOLOG transcriptional co-repressor mediates aluminium sensitivity through PECTIN METHYLESTERASE46-modulated root cell wall pectin methylesterification in Arabidopsis

Date:

2017-05-01

Citation:

Geng, X., Horst, W. J., Golz, J. F., Lee, J. E., Ding, Z. & Yang, Z. B. (2017). LEUNIG_HOMOLOG transcriptional co-repressor mediates aluminium sensitivity through PECTIN METHYLESTERASE46-modulated root cell wall pectin methylesterification in Arabidopsis. *Plant Journal*, 90 (3), pp.491-504. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13506>.

Persistent Link:

<https://hdl.handle.net/11343/292706>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

Received Date : 08-Sep-2016
Revised Date : 22-Jan-2017
Accepted Date : 15-Jan-2017
Article type : Original Article

***LEUNIG_HOMOLOG* Transcriptional Co-repressor Mediates Aluminum Sensitivity through *PECTIN METHYLESTERASE46*-Modulated Root Cell Wall Pectin Methylesterification in Arabidopsis**

Xiaoyu Geng¹, Walter J. Horst², John F.Golz³, Joanne E. Lee⁴, Zhaojun Ding^{1*}, Zhong-Bao Yang^{1*}

¹The Key Laboratory of Plant Cell Engineering and Germplasm Innovation, Ministry of Education, School of Life Science, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, People's Republic of China

²Institute of Plant Nutrition, Leibniz Universität Hannover, Herrenhaeuser Str. 2, 30419 Hannover, Germany

³School of BioSciences, University of Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia

⁴Umeå Plant Science Centre, Department of Plant Physiology, Umeå University, SE-901 87 Umeå, Sweden

Corresponding author: Dr. Zhong-Bao Yang (zbyang@sdu.edu.cn) or Dr. Zhaojun Ding (dingzhaojun@sdu.edu.cn)

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1111/tpj.13506](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13506)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

28 **Running Title:** LUH mediates Al sensitivity

29

30 **Key words:** *Arabidopsis thaliana*, aluminum, cell wall, LEUNIG_HOMOLOG,
31 pectin methylesterification, pectin, root growth

32 **SUMMARY**

33 A major factor determining aluminum (Al) sensitivity in higher plants is the binding
34 of Al to root cell walls. The Al binding capacity of cell walls is closely linked to the
35 extent of pectin methylesterification, as presence of methyl groups attached to the
36 pectin backbone reduces the net negative charge of this polymer and hence limits Al
37 binding. Despite recent progress in understanding the molecular basis of Al resistance
38 in a wide range of plants, it is not well understood how the methylation status of
39 pectin is mediated in response to Al stress. Here we show in *Arabidopsis* that mutants
40 lacking the gene *LEUNIG_HOMOLOG* (*LUH*), a member of the Groucho-like family
41 of transcriptional corepressor, are less sensitive to Al-mediated repression of root
42 growth. This phenotype is correlated with increased levels of methylated pectin in the
43 cell walls of *luh* roots as well as altered expression of cell wall-related genes. Among
44 the LUH-repressed genes, *PECTIN METHYLESTERASE46* (*PME46*) was identified
45 as reducing Al binding to cell walls and hence alleviating Al-induced root growth
46 inhibition by decreasing PME enzyme activity. *seuss-like2* (*slk2*) mutants responded
47 to Al in a similar way as *luh* mutants suggesting a LUH-SLK2 complex represses the
48 expression of *PME46*. The data are integrated into a model in which it is proposed
49 that *PME46* is a major inhibitor of pectin methylesterase activity within root cell
50 walls.

51

52 **INTRODUCTION**

53 A major factor limiting crop production in acidic soils is aluminum (Al) toxicity. This
54 arises when the pH of soil drops below 5 leading to increased solubility of Al and the
55 formation of the trivalent cation Al^{3+} (Panda and Baluška, 2015). Al phytotoxicity is a
56 worldwide problem, as it has been estimated that approximately 50% of the world's
57 potential arable land is acidic (von Uexküll and Mutert, 1995). High levels of Al

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

58 inhibit root growth (Horst et al., 1992; Delhaize and Ryan, 1995) leading to a
59 damaged root system that limits nutrient and water uptake from soil, and thus impede
60 plant growth (Kochian et al., 2004).

61 Plants have developed a wide variety of adaptive strategies to cope with Al
62 toxicity in acid soils. There has been much recent progress towards understanding the
63 physiological and molecular basis of Al resistance and tolerance in plants (Dehaize et
64 al., 2012; Kochian et al., 2015), particularly in the model plant *Arabidopsis*. For
65 instance, in response to Al stress, increased formation of the ALMT (Al-activated
66 Malate Transporter) and MATE (Multidrug and Toxic Compound Extrusion)
67 transporters enhances root exudation of malate and citrate, which chelates Al^{3+} and
68 thus prevents its uptake by roots (Hoekenga et al., 2006; Kobayashi et al., 2007; Liu et
69 al., 2009). Al stress is also associated with increased activity of the ABC transporter
70 *ALS3* (Al-sensitive3), which is thought to redistribute toxic Al from Al-sensitive to
71 non-sensitive root zones (Larsen et al., 2005), and *ALS1*, which may be responsible
72 for the transport of Al into vacuoles where it is sequestered (Larsen et al., 2007).
73 Central to many of these responses is the transcription factor *STOP1* (*Sensitive to*
74 *Proton Rhizotoxicity 1*), which promotes expression of *ALMT1*, *MATE* and *ALS3*
75 following Al exposure and thus plays a critical role in coordinating the transcriptional
76 response to Al stress (Liu et al., 2009; Sawaki et al., 2009).

77 While the organic acid anions released from roots can limit Al entering into the
78 root symplast, potential Al binding sites in the cell walls also compete with the
79 organic acid anions for Al binding (Eticha et al., 2005). Composed of microfibrils
80 embedded in a matrix of pectins, hemicelluloses and structural proteins, the primary
81 cell wall either binds to Al^{3+} electrostatically, via the negatively charged carboxyl
82 groups of wall pectins (mostly homogalacturonan; Mohnen, 2008), or via adsorption
83 to uncharged hemicellulose polymers (Vose and Randall, 1962; Chang et al., 1999;
84 Zhu et al., 2012). As a consequence of Al binding to the cell walls, loosening and
85 anisotropic cell expansion in the elongation zone of the root is impeded (Jones et al.,
86 2006; Kopittke et al., 2008; Rangel et al., 2009; Kopittke et al., 2014). The effect on
87 root growth is rapid as demonstrated by a recent study showing that $75 \mu M Al^{3+}$

88 reduces root elongation in soybean within 5 min due to Al binding to the rhizodermal
89 and outer cortical cell walls (Kopittke et al., 2015). Short-term Al accumulation in
90 roots is closely correlated to the pectin content in apical root sections, suggesting that
91 Al bound to the pectic matrix determines Al sensitivity (Horst et al., 1999; Eticha et
92 al., 2005; Yang et al., 2008; Rangel et al., 2009). It has been shown that Al sensitivity
93 mainly depends on the degree of methylation of pectin, which is determined by pectin
94 methylesterase (PME) activity (Micheli, 2001), as alterations to the methylation status
95 of homogalacturonan (HG) affects the charge properties of the cell wall and hence its
96 capacity to bind to Al (Eticha et al., 2005). For instance, higher Al accumulation in an
97 Al-sensitive compared to an Al-resistant cultivar of maize was related to a lower
98 degree of pectin methylation, while difference in pectin content were not apparent
99 between these cultivars (Eticha et al., 2005). Furthermore, short-term PME treatment
100 of intact maize roots, which decreases the extent of pectin methylation, enhanced both
101 Al accumulation in root cells and Al-induced inhibition of root elongation (Horst et al.,
102 2007). In potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.), Al accumulation and Al injury quantified by
103 callose production in the root tips and inhibition of root growth were associated with
104 *PME* expression of transgenic plants (Schmohl et al., 2000, Horst et al., 2010).
105 Similarly, cell wall PME activity and content of demethylated pectin in root tips were
106 higher in Al-sensitive cultivars of rice (*Oryza sativa*) (Yang et al., 2008) and pea
107 (*Pisum sativum*) (Li et al., 2016). Finally, transcriptional analysis of Al resistance in
108 maize revealed that Al exposure caused significantly higher expression of a *PME* gene
109 (MZ00000091) in Al-sensitive genotypes (Maron et al., 2008).

110 *LEUNIG_HOMOLOG* (*LUH*) and closely related *LEUNIG* (*LUG*) encode
111 proteins belonging to the Groucho/TUP1 family of transcriptional corepressors (Liu
112 and Karmarkar, 2008; Lee and Golz, 2012). Both are expressed broadly, and in many
113 instances display functional redundancy. This is apparent during flower development,
114 as well as during embryogenesis (Sitaraman et al., 2008). In other processes, such as
115 enhanced resistance to abiotic stresses, *LUH* functions independently of *LUG*
116 (Shrestha et al., 2014). Recent work has shown that *LUH* also modulates the pectin
117 structure of both the Arabidopsis seed mucilage and primary cell walls of mucilage

118 secreting cells of the testa (Western et al., 2001; Huang et al., 2011; Walker et al.,
119 2011; Saez-Aguayo et al., 2013). Mucilage, which is released from the testa following
120 contact with water, is primarily composed of the pectin rhamnogalacturonan I (RG-I)
121 and to a much lesser extent HGs, hemicelluloses, and cellulose (Western et al., 2001).
122 In contrast to mucilage, HG is typically the most abundant pectin polymer found in
123 the primary cell walls of most higher plants, with RG-I constituting only a minor
124 component of the pectin polymers (Cosgrove, 2005). *luh* mutant seeds exhibit a
125 mucilage extrusion defect that arises from a failure of the mucilage to swell following
126 contact of the seeds with water. This defect is correlated with increased presence of
127 galactose residues attached to the RG-I backbone and reduced expression of the
128 β -galactosidase *MUCILAGE MODIFIED 2* (*MUM2*) in the seed coat (Walker et al.,
129 2011; Huang et al., 2011). In addition to alternative RG-I structure, elevated levels of
130 methyl esterified HG are detected in both *luh* mucilage and the primary cell wall of
131 mucilage secretion cells (Western et al., 2001; Walker et al., 2011). While reduced
132 pectin methylesterase activity has been reported for *luh* mutant seeds, it does not arise
133 from elevated activity of the main pectin methylesterase inhibitor PME16 present in
134 Arabidopsis seeds (Saez-Aguayo et al., 2013).

135 Given the link between LUH and cell wall architecture in Arabidopsis, we
136 investigated whether LUH plays a role in modulation of Al sensitivity in Arabidopsis
137 roots. The results presented here suggest that LUH increases the capacity of cell walls
138 to bind Al in response to Al stress. This function involves the repression of *PME46*
139 (*PECTIN METHYLESTERASE 46*), a gene that apparently modulates the activity of
140 pectin methylesterase within the cell wall.

141

142 **RESULTS**

143 ***luh* mutants display enhanced resistance to Al stress**

144 T-DNA insertion mutant lines *luh-3* (SALK_107245C) and *luh-4* (SALK_097509)
145 with insertions at 4387 bp and 1453 bp from the start-ATG (Figure1a), respectively,
146 were obtained from the Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Centre (NASC). Consistent
147 with both conditioning strong mutant alleles, *LUH* expression was undetectable by

148 RT-PCR analysis (Figure 1b). To assess the response of these mutants to Al stress, we
149 compared the extent of root growth inhibition of wild type and *luh* mutants on
150 phytoigel-solidified medium or in hydroponics following exposure to different
151 concentrations of Al. While increasing Al concentrations gradually inhibited root
152 growth of both wild type seedlings and *luh-3* and *luh-4* mutants, *luh-3* and *luh-4*
153 showed significantly less inhibition (Figure 1c-e). This indicates that *LUH* is a
154 negative regulator of Al resistance. No differences in root growth were observed when
155 wild type and *luh* mutants plants were exposed to different pH solutions or metal ions
156 other than Al³⁺ (Figure 1f, g), suggesting a specific role for *LUH* in modulating
157 responses to Al.

158 To know whether Al affects the expression of *LUH*, we first treated
159 hydroponically grown *pLUH:GUS* transgenic lines (Stahle et al., 2009) with Al.
160 Short-term Al exposure for 6 and 24 h, was associated with reduced GUS activity in
161 the root tips of these lines (Figure S1a). This observation was subsequently confirmed
162 by qRT-PCR analysis which showed that *LUH* expression was reduced by ~30%
163 (Figure S1b). While statistically significant, this reduction is clearly not sufficient to
164 alleviate the sensitivity of wild type roots to Al stress.

165

166 **LUH promotes Al accumulation in the cell wall of roots**

167 Hematoxylin staining of Al distribution in root tips of *luh-3* and *luh-4* mutants under
168 Al stress revealed reduced Al accumulation in comparison to wild type roots (Figure
169 2a). Consistent with this observation, quantitative analysis of Al in 5-mm root tips and
170 whole intact roots showed that *luh* mutants had significantly lower Al contents than
171 wild type roots (Figure 2b, c). Subsequent fractional analysis of cellular Al
172 distribution revealed that the reduced Al content in *luh* roots mainly resulted from
173 significantly less Al accumulation in the cell wall rather than the symplast (Figure 2d).
174 Furthermore, *in vitro* analysis of Al binding to ethanol-isolated cell walls showed
175 lower binding capacity in *luh* root cell walls compared to wild-type (Figure 2e). Taken
176 together, these results demonstrate that *LUH* promotes the binding capacity of root
177 cell walls to Al.

178

179 ***LUH*-suppressed pectin methylation increases Al binding to cell wall**

180 *LUH* has been reported to regulate the methylation of homogalacturonan (HG) in both
181 the mucilage and primary cell walls of the mucilage secreting cells of the seed coat
182 (Western et al., 2001; Walker et al., 2011). In these and other studies, differences in
183 methylation of HG polymers have been visualized using the monoclonal antibodies
184 JIM5 (staining sparsely methylated pectins) and JIM7 (staining highly methylated)
185 (Eticha et al., 2005; Walker et al., 2011). Application of these antibodies to roots
186 revealed reduced JIM5 and elevated JIM7 fluorescence signals in the *luh* mutants
187 compared to the WT. This is consistent with increased methyl esterification of HG
188 residues in these lines (Figure 3a-c). Following Al treatment, the JIM5 fluorescence
189 signal was significantly enhanced (Figure 3a, left panels; Figure 3b) whereas the JIM7
190 signal was reduced (Figure 3a, right panels; Figure 3c) in root tips of both wild type
191 seedlings and *luh* mutants. This shows that Al treatment reduces pectin methylation
192 independent of *LUH* expression.

193 Aluminum exposure did not significantly alter the pectin content of roots of wild
194 type and *luh* mutants (Figure 3d). However, the degree of pectin methylation was
195 significantly reduced in the wild type but not the *luh* mutants (Figure 3e), leading to
196 an increased amount of unmethylated pectin in the wild type but not the *luh* mutants
197 (Figure 3f). Compared to the wild type, both *luh* mutant roots had slightly lower
198 pectin contents, but an increased degree of methylation (Fig. 3d) and thus lower
199 content of unmethylated pectin (Figure 3f). Al slight decreased the content of
200 methylated pectin in roots of *luh* mutants (Figure 3e, f); but the differences were not
201 as great as that seen by immunofluorescence (Figure 3a-c). This difference likely
202 reflect more severe Al stress in the root tips which were used for the
203 immuno-microscopy compared to the whole roots used for the analysis of the pectin
204 content. Overall these results clearly show that *luh* mutants have lower levels of
205 unmethylated pectin in their cell walls (Figure 3f) leading to reduced Al binding in the
206 root cell walls and thus less Al injury.

207

208 **Transcriptome profiling of *LUH*-mediated Al resistance**

209 To investigate the role of *LUH* in Al-induced inhibition of root growth and Al binding
210 to cell walls, we examined the transcriptional profile of wild type and *luh-4* mutant
211 roots in response to the presence or absence of Al using RNA-seq (Data S1). This
212 analysis revealed that 652 genes in wild type (316 elevated, 336 reduced) and 544
213 genes in *luh-4* mutant roots (239 elevated and 305 reduced) displayed a two-fold or
214 greater difference in expression following exposure to Al. Subsequent comparisons
215 identified 141 genes (103 genes elevated, 38 genes reduced) that were differentially
216 expressed in untreated *luh* mutants roots compared to wild-type, whereas 110 genes
217 (77 genes elevated, 33 genes reduced) were differentially expressed in Al-treated
218 *luh-4* roots compared to Al-treated wild type roots (Figure S2). According to a GO
219 analysis the *LUH*-dependent 110 differentially expressed genes in response to Al
220 stress could be classified into a diverse range of categories (Figure 4a, b; Data S2): 37
221 (33.6%) genes associated with cell wall organization/biogenesis (30, up; 7, down), 19
222 (17.3%) genes in unknown/unclassified (13, up; 6, down), 17 (15.5%) genes in
223 stress/defense (8, up; 9, down), 10 (9.1%) genes in metabolic process (6, up; 4, down),
224 8 (7.3%) genes in transport (5, up; 3, down), 7 (6.4%) genes in cellular protein
225 modification (5, up; 2, down), 6 (5.5%) genes in signal transduction (5, up; 1, down).
226 Thus cell wall modification appears to be a major response to Al exposure in the *luh*
227 mutant lines.

228 The transcription of twelve of differentially transcribed genes with putative
229 function in cell wall organization were randomly selected and characterized using
230 qRT-PCR. This analysis confirmed the results obtained from RNA-seq experiments
231 (Figure 4c). Further, statistically analysis revealed a highly significant correlation
232 between the RNA-seq and qRT-PCR data (Figure S2b). As PMEs play key roles in the
233 regulation of cell wall pectin methylation (Micheli, 2001), it is possible that altered
234 expression of these genes might account for enhanced resistance against Al stress in
235 *luh* mutant roots. Mining the RNA-seq data for PME associated genes revealed
236 reduced expression *PME17* (AT2G45220) and one member of the PME1 family
237 (AT3G17130) in *luh-4* mutant roots, but increased expression of *PME46* (AT5G04960)

238 in the absence of Al. Furthermore, exposure to Al stress reduced expression of *PME17*,
239 *PME54* (AT5G20860), *PME46* and two members of the PME1 family *AT3G17130*
240 and *AT4G25250* in both wild type and mutant. The repression by Al of *PME54* and
241 *AT3G17130* was greater in the *luh-4* mutant compared to the wild type, but lower for
242 *PME46* and *AT4G25250* (Figure S3). Reduced expression of *PME* and *PMEI* genes
243 following Al exposure is surprising given that PME activity is predicted to increase in
244 response to Al stress. Similarly, increased expression of *PME46* in the *luh-4* mutant is
245 unexpected as PME activity in this mutant line is predicted to be lower than in the
246 wild type. qRT-PCR analysis subsequently confirmed that *PME46* expression was
247 higher in *luh* mutant roots in comparison to the wild type (Figure 4c). This is
248 consistent with a negative regulation of *PME46* by *LUH*. Since *ALMT1*, *MATE*,
249 *STOP1*, *ALSI* and *ALS3* genes are associated with Al resistance in Arabidopsis (see
250 Introduction), we analysed their expression. Our analysis failed to identify differences
251 in the expression of these genes in *luh* mutant roots compared to wild type under Al
252 stress (Figure S4).

253

254 ***PME46* mediates Al binding to cell wall and thus Al sensitivity**

255 To investigate whether *PME46* might be associated with the different responses of
256 wild type and *luh* mutant roots to Al, two T-DNA insert mutants *pme46-1*
257 (SAIL_612_D02) and *pme46-2* (SALK_136669) were obtained from NASC (Figure
258 S5a). qRT-PCR analysis confirmed that both mutants had reduced *PME46* expression
259 compared to wild-type (Figure S5b). Al stress reduced root growth in both wild type
260 and *pme46-1* and *pme46-2* mutants. However, the degree of inhibition was greater in
261 the mutants compared to wild type roots (Figure 5a, b). Hematoxylin staining of Al
262 distribution in root tips revealed a greater Al accumulation in the *pme46* mutant lines
263 compared to the wild type (Figure 5c), which was further confirmed when analyzing
264 the Al content in whole roots (Figure 5d). Fractional analysis of cellular Al
265 distribution showed that the elevated Al content in roots of the *pme46* mutants is
266 mainly associated with the cell wall rather than the symplast (Figure 5e). As increased
267 Al binding to cell walls is associated with reduced pectin methylation, we determined

268 the levels of PME activity. The analysis revealed that the PME enzyme activity was
269 elevated in the roots of the *pme46* mutants compared to the wild type (Figure 5f),
270 suggesting that *PME46* represses PME enzyme activity. Based on these observations,
271 we propose that elevated levels of *PME46* expression in *luh* mutant roots account for
272 the reduced PME activity observed in this line.

273

274 ***SLK2* functions similar with *LUH* to promote Al binding to the root cell wall**

275 SEUSS (*SEU*) and SEUSS-LIKE2 (*SLK2*) can physically interact with *LUH* forming
276 *SEU/SLK2-LUH* co-repressor complexes in yeast assays (Sitaraman et al., 2008;
277 Stahle et al., 2009; Shrestha et al., 2014) and *in planta* (Lee et al., 2014). While *seu*
278 mutant did not differ from the wild type in Al sensitivity, both *slk2-1* and *slk2-2*
279 mutant roots displayed higher levels of Al resistance, with *slk2-1* being noticeable
280 more resistant than *slk2-2* (Figure 6a). Despite increased Al resistance seen in *slk2-2*
281 mutants, hematoxylin staining in root tips and Al content in whole intact roots was
282 only reduced in *slk2-1* compared to wild-type (Figure 6b, c), and this reduction mainly
283 resulted from a lowered Al accumulation in cell walls (Figure 6d). The observed
284 differences between *slk2-1* and *slk2-2* mutants likely reflect the fact that *slk2-1* is a
285 near null mutant allele, whereas *slk2-2* was not an RNA null allele and hence
286 predicted to be hypomorphic (Bao et al., 2010). Similar to *LUH*, *SLK2* promoter
287 activity was detected in root tips and reduced following exposure to Al stress (Figure
288 S6). In addition, expression of *PME46* was elevated in *slk2-1* mutant roots (Figure 6e)
289 which were less inhibited in root growth by Al (Figure 6a).

290

291 **DISCUSSION**

292 Although substantial physiological evidence suggests that pectin plays a crucial role
293 in determining the capacity of the root cell walls to bind Al and hence mediate Al
294 resistance (Horst et al., 2010), the molecular pathways involved in this response are
295 poorly understood. The work reported here identifies a link between the cell wall
296 pectin defect of Arabidopsis *luh* mutant roots and resistance to Al-induced root growth
297 inhibition (Figure 1). While the pectin defects in the seed coat mucilage of *luh*

298 mutants mainly involve changes to RG-I structure, brought about by reduced MUM2
299 activity, there is also evidence of increased HG esterification in the cell wall of the
300 mucilage secreting cells of the *luh* testa (Western et al., 2001, Walker et al., 2009;
301 Huang et al., 2009). Given the known link between HG esterification and Al
302 sensitivity, it is likely that the increased Al resistance observed in *luh* roots is due to
303 elevated esterification of HG in the walls of these cells. This is because elevated
304 methylation of HG reduces its overall charge, and hence limits the number of
305 potential binding sites for Al in cell walls (Eticha et al., 2005). It is less likely that
306 changes to RG-I structure account for the altered Al binding properties of *luh* roots.
307 This is principally due to RG-I being a minor component of plant cell wall pectins, no
308 established link between RG-I and Al binding and the fact that *mum2* mutant roots
309 display the same sensitivity to Al as wild type roots (Figure S7).

310 Like LUG, LUH lacks a DNA binding domain and is therefore recruited to the
311 regulatory sequences of target genes either through direct association with
312 transcription factors, or indirectly, via the coregulator proteins SEUSS (SEU) and
313 SEUSS-LIKE (SLK) (Sridhar et al., 2004; Gregis et al., 2006; Sridhar et al., 2006;
314 Stahle et al., 2009; Grigorova et al., 2011; Shrestha et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2015).
315 While mutations in the SEU/SLK coregulators phenocopy *lug* and *luh* mutants to
316 some extent, there is often variation between mutants (Bao et al., 2010; Shrestha et al.,
317 2014). Indeed this was reflected in our study, where *slk2* but not *seu* mutants,
318 displayed increased resistance to Al-induced root-growth inhibition (Figure 6a). While
319 this difference might reflect functional divergence between the SEU and SLK2
320 proteins, a more likely explanation is that these genes have different transcriptional
321 responses to Al stress. Regardless, our data clearly shows that LUH and SLK2 are
322 both involved in Al stress resistance, and hence consistent with the formation of a
323 LUH-SLK2 complex in roots. Loss of SLK2 is also correlated with reduced
324 expression of *PME46*, suggesting that the LUH and SLK2 share a common
325 downstream target.

326 Pectins are methylated in medial-Golgi and secreted as highly methylated form
327 into cell walls, where they are subsequently demethylated by PMEs (Micheli, 2001).

328 Several studies have demonstrated that Al exposure enhances PME enzyme activity,
329 which reduces the degree of methylation associated with pectins, and thus creating
330 more Al binding sites in the cell wall (Schmohl et al., 2000; Yang et al., 2008; Li et al.,
331 2016). For instance, maize plant roots treated with PME (Schmohl et al., 2000), or
332 rice plants overexpressing *PME* genes (Yang et al., 2013) bind more Al to cell walls
333 and display a greater Al sensitivity. *PMEs* are part of a large multigene family in land
334 plants (Markovic and Janecek, 2004; Yokoyama and Nishitani, 2004), which in
335 *Arabidopsis* consists of 66 members (Sénéchal et al., 2015). *PME46* (AT5G04960), a
336 potential regulator of PME enzyme activity, is classified as a member of type I/group
337 2 PME (proPME) subfamily that has an N-terminal pro region with extensive
338 similarity to the PME inhibitor (PMEI) domain of *PMEI* genes (Micheli, 2001;
339 Pelloux et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2013). The pro region enables retention of
340 unprocessed *PMEs* in the Golgi apparatus which limits their activity and thus forms
341 part of a post-translational regulatory mechanism (Wolf et al., 2009; Sénéchal et al.,
342 2014). Similarly, a study in *Nicotiana tabacum* has shown that the pro region of a
343 pollen-specific PME (NtPPME1) acts as an intracellular inhibitor of PME activity to
344 prevent premature demethylation of pectins (Bosch et al., 2005). While it is possible
345 that the pro domain of *PME46* might inhibit the activity of other *PMEs*. Indeed, a
346 recent study has shown that *PMEI7* represses *PME3* activity through interaction with
347 a PME ligand-binding cleft structure (Sénéchal et al., 2015). An alternative possibility
348 is that elevated *PME46* activity triggers transcriptional repression of other *PMEs*, thus
349 the precise mechanism remains to be elucidated, but is supported by the following
350 observations: (1) *pme46* mutants have increased PME activity compared to the wild
351 type, (2) *pme46* mutants accumulate higher levels of Al in the cell wall of roots, (3)
352 *pme46* mutants are more sensitive to Al stress (Figure 5a-f), and (4) *PME46* shows
353 similar transcriptional response as *PMEI AT4G25250* (Figure S3).

354 In conclusion, we propose that the increased resistance to Al stress in *luh* and
355 *slk2* mutants likely reflects a combination of factors. The first is associated with an
356 increase in the amount of methylated pectin located in the cell wall of mutant root
357 prior to Al stress. This change presumably reflects reduced PME activity, which has

358 been reported in the seed coat of *luh* mutants (Saez-Aguayo et al., 2013). While
359 increased *PMEI* expression might account for the altered PME activity observed in
360 *luh* roots, this possibility seems unlikely given that changes to *PMEI* expression were
361 not observed in our transcriptomic analysis (Data S1). A similar observation has been
362 made in the *luh* mutant seed coat, where increased PME activity is actually correlated
363 with reduced expression of the main PME found in this tissue (Saez-Aguayo et al.,
364 2013). Instead, we propose that elevated *PME46* expression is the causal factor
365 leading to reduced PME activity. And, as a consequence, cell walls of *luh* and *slk2*
366 mutants are composed of less charged HG, which bind less Al and thus protect the
367 roots from Al stress.

368 The second factor accounting for increased resistance of *luh* and *slk2* roots to Al
369 stress relates to the changes in cell wall composition that occur following Al exposure.
370 In wild type, Al stress is associated with reduced esterification of pectin (presumable
371 due to elevated PME activity) and increased capacity of the cell wall to bind Al. These
372 changes correlate with decreased *PME46* expression, which is predicted to release
373 PMEs from repression. In contrast, *PME46* expression in *luh* and *slk2* roots is not
374 reduced to levels seen in wild type roots following Al exposure, and there is only a
375 marginal decrease in pectin methylation. As a result, Al exposure does not increase the
376 capacity of *luh* roots to bind Al, which presumably accounts for Al resistant
377 phenotype exhibited by these mutants.

378 These results can be integrated into a model that describes how Al affects the
379 methylation status of pectin in the root cell walls (Figure 7). According to this model,
380 *PME46* is a major inhibitor of PME activity and is repressed by the LUH/SLK2
381 complex. A prediction arising from the model is that in *luh* and *slk2* mutants, *PME46*
382 is de-repressed, which reduces PME activity, allowing methylated pectin to
383 accumulate in the cell walls. As these pectins have a lower negative charge, they will
384 bind less Al, which accounts for the Al resistance phenotype of *luh* and *slk2* mutants.
385 Exposure of roots to Al activates a second pathway involved in *PME46* repression
386 (Figure 7). Reduced *PME46* expression allows PMEs to become more active, which
387 reduces the esterified pectin content of cell walls. The increased accumulation of

388 negatively charged pectins in the cell wall provides sites for Al binding and hence
389 increased Al sensitivity of wild type roots.

390 The study provides a framework for understanding how cell wall modification
391 pathways are activated in response to Al stress. As illustrated in Figure 7, these
392 pathways are complex with only a few of the components identified. It is not known
393 whether the LUH/SLK2 complex is directly recruited to the regulatory elements of
394 *PME46*. Also the transcription factors that are involved in this process need to be
395 identified. Similarly, the predicted Al-induced stress response pathway that mediates
396 repression of *PME46* independently of LUH is currently not defined. No doubt, future
397 studies will need to address these issues in order to provide a more complete picture
398 of how plants respond to Al stress.

399

400 **EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

401 **Plant Materials and Growth Conditions**

402 Arabidopsis mutants *luh-3* (SALK_107245C), *luh-4* (SALK_097509), *seu-4*
403 (SALK_069303, Pfluger and Zambryski, 2004), *slk2-1* (SALK_089954, Alonso et al.,
404 2003), *slk2-2* (SALK_089954, Alonso et al., 2003), *mum2-10* (CS16346), *mum2-11*
405 (SALK_110461), *pme46-1* (SAIL_612_D02), and *pme46-2* (SALK_136669),
406 transgenic lines *pLUH:GUS* (Stahle et al., 2009) were used. Mutants *luh-3*, *luh-4*,
407 *pme46-1* and *pme46-2* were obtained from the Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Centre
408 (NASC).

409 The seedlings were grown either on solidified gel medium according to Larsen et
410 al. (1996) or in hydroponics. For the soaked gel plates, the nutrient medium (pH 4.2)
411 consisted of 40 ml of 3 mM MgCl₂, 0.25 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 2 mM KCl, 1 mM
412 Ca(NO₃)₂, 2.75 mM CaCl₂, 0.18 mM KH₂PO₄, 100 μM MnSO₄, 500 μM H₃BO₃, 20
413 μM ZnSO₄, 5 μM CuSO₄, 2 μM NaMo₇O₂₄, 0.1 μM CoCl₂, 1% sucrose, and 0.3%
414 Gellan gum (Sigma, Aldrich). For Al treatments, the solidified nutrient medium was
415 soaked with 30 ml of various AlCl₃ concentration (pH 4.2) for 48 h. Afterwards, the
416 soaking solution was discarded, seeds were sown and germinated for 2 d at 4 °C and
417 further grown for 7 d in a growth chamber. For the dose-response analysis of root

418 growth in hydroponics, seeds were germinated on plastic mesh floating onto the
419 nutrient solution containing AlCl_3 (pH 4.2) and the same nutrients as described above
420 for 7 d or nutrient solution without AlCl_3 for 6 d and then were transformed to the
421 various concentration of AlCl_3 treatment. All growth analysis was performed in a
422 growth chamber with a 16/8-h light/dark cycle at 22°C.

423

424 **RNA Isolation and qRT-PCR**

425 Approximately five hundreds six-day old seedlings were treated with AlCl_3 , and
426 shock frozen in liquid nitrogen after harvest. Total RNA was isolated using the
427 RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's protocol, and
428 first-strand cDNA was synthesized from 1 μg of total RNA using the Transcript or
429 First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche) following the manufacturer's protocol.
430 qRT-PCR was performed using the CFX Connect Real-Time System (Bio-Rad) with
431 Fast Start Universal SYBR Green Master (Rox) (Roche). Samples for qRT-PCR were
432 run in three biological replicates and two technical replicates. For the normalization of
433 gene expression, the ubiquitin gene *UBQ1* (AT3G52590) was used as an internal
434 standard, and the non-treated wild type was used as a sample control. Primers were
435 designed using Primer 5 software, and the specifications of the primers of the genes
436 studied are given in Table S1.

437

438 **RNAseq Analysis**

439 Approximately five hundreds seedlings (6-day-old) of both the wild type Columbia
440 and *luh-4* mutant line were exposed to 0 or 25 μM AlCl_3 (pH 4.2) in hydroponics.
441 After 4 h, roots were sampled and RNA was isolated. RNAseq analysis was
442 performed by BGI Tech (Shenzhen, China) via Ion Proton platform. The detailed
443 technical and data analysis were performed as described by Yang et al. (2014) and
444 available on request.

445 **Generation of transgenic construct**

446 To generate *pSLK2::GUS*, the 1.8-kb *SLK2* promoter was amplified from genomic
447 DNA using primers pSLK2-F1 (5'-AACTCGAGCAAAGAGAAGTAAATACAC-3')

448 and pSLK2-R1 (5'-TTGGTACCCAAGTGAGTCTGAAATCC-3') and cloned into
449 the *XhoI/KpnI* sites of the GUS containing shuttle vector pRITA. The *pSLK2::GUS*
450 cassette was then placed in the binary vector pMLBART before being transformed
451 into the GV3101 strain of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* by electroporation. The
452 construct was introduced in Col-0 plants by floral dipping and T2 plants
453 histochemically stained for GUS activity. A representative line was subsequently
454 selected for further experimentation.

455

456 **GUS staining**

457 For histochemical analysis of GUS activity, the seedlings were immersed into the
458 staining solution consisting of 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 2 mM
459 potassium ferri- and ferrocyanide, 0.1% Triton X-100) and 2 mM X-glucuronide at
460 37°C overnight. The samples were observed and photographed with an Olympus
461 BX53 microscope equipped with an Olympus DP72 camera system (Japan).

462

463 **Isolation of cell wall material and cell wall binding capacity of Al**

464 Approximately 10 mg seeds of wild type and *luh* mutants in dry weight were
465 germinated on the plastic mesh floating onto the nutrient solution (pH 5.5) for 6 days,
466 then the whole intact roots were excised and the cell wall material were isolated
467 according to Yang et al. (2010). The isolated cell wall materials were incubated for 30
468 min in 1 ml of a solution (pH 4.2) containing 600 µM AlCl₃. Then the suspension
469 were centrifuged at 23 000 g for 10 min and the supernatant was discarded. The pellet
470 was washed with ultra-pure deionized water for twice. The residues were prepared for
471 Al determination.

472

473 **Localization of pectin by immunofluorescence**

474 Localization of pectin by indirect immunofluorescence was performed using
475 monoclonal antibodies which are specific for pectin with different degrees of
476 methylation according to Eticha et al. (2005). Roots were collected into a fixative
477 solution containing 4% paraformaldehyde in 50 mM 1,4-piperazine-diethanesulphonic

478 acid (PIPES), 5 mM MgSO₄, and 5 mM ethylene glycol bis
479 (β-amino-ethylether)-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid (EGTA), pH 6.9, for 1-2 h, and then
480 the samples were washed repeatedly with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and
481 blocked with 0.2% BSA in PBS buffer for 30 min. Then the samples were incubated
482 in diluted solution of primary antibodies (JIM5 and JIM7) for 2 h at room temperature.
483 The primary antibody was thoroughly washed off the samples with PBS three times
484 for 5 min, each. Next, they were incubated for 2 h in the 50-fold diluted solution of
485 the secondary antibody, abtirate-IgG coupled with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC).
486 The samples were washed as mentioned above, and mounted on glass slides and
487 examined under an LSM-700 laser-scanning confocal microscope (Zeiss, Germany).

488

489 **Cell wall pectin content and degree of pectin methylation**

490 Cell wall pectin content and degree of pectin methylation were performed according
491 to Eticha et al. (2005). The alcohol-insoluble cell wall materials of roots were first
492 isolated with 96% ethanol, weighed and hydrolysed by incubating samples in
493 concentrated H₂SO₄. The uronic acid content was determined colorimetrically using a
494 microplate spectrophotometer (Infinite 200 PRO, Tecan, Switzerland). Galacturonic
495 acid was used as a calibration standard, thus the root pectin content was expressed as
496 galacturonic acid equivalents (GaE).

497 The degree of pectin methylation was calculated according to the amount of
498 released methanol from cell wall material. After addition of 2 U alcohol oxidase (from
499 *Piccia pastoris*, Sigma), the complex of formaldehyde with Fluoral-P (15 mg ml⁻¹)
500 (Sigma) was measured fluorometrically.

501

502 **Root cell sap preparation and AI determination**

503 After treatment, roots were washed at least for three times with double distilled water,
504 excised, transferred into a 0.45 μm unit of centrifugal filter (PALL, USA) and then
505 centrifuged at 3,000 g for 10 min at 4°C to remove apoplastic solution. The roots were
506 then frozen at -80°C overnight. The root cell sap was obtained by thawing the samples
507 at room temperature and then centrifuging at 22,000 g for 10 min. The pellet was

508 washed with 70% (v/v) ethanol for three times and designated as the cell wall fraction.
509 For the determination of Al in roots or root fractions, the samples were digested with
510 concentrated 65% ultra-pure HNO₃, and after approximate dilution, the Al
511 concentration was determined by GFS-AAS (SHIMADZU, Japan).

512

513 **PME enzyme activity assay**

514 For the extraction of PME, roots were homogenized and suspended in the extraction
515 buffer containing 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) and 1M NaCl. Extracts were centrifuged
516 at 23, 000g for 10 min and the supernatant were collected. PME activity was
517 determined according to Anthon and Barrett (2004). Fifty microliters of PME extract
518 were added into a reaction mix containing 100 mM PBS buffer, 0.4 mg ml⁻¹ pectin
519 (Sigma), 0.1 U alcohol oxidase, and incubated at 30°C for 10 min. Then 200 µl of 0.5
520 M NaOH solution containing 5 mg ml⁻¹ Purpald was added. After incubation at 30°C
521 for 30 min, 600 µl distilled water was added to give a final volume of 1 ml. The
522 absorbance at 550 nm was measured with a spectrophotometer (Infinite 200 PRO,
523 Tecan, Switzerland).

524

525 **Statistical Analysis**

526 Statistical analysis was performed using SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute). Means were
527 compared using Student's *t* test. For the statistical analysis in root growth experiments,
528 three biological replicates for each treatment were performed. For each replicate, the
529 mean of fifteen uniform primary roots was used. Asterisks in the figures denote
530 significant differences as follows: **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01, and ****p* < 0.001.

531

532 **ACCESSION NUMBERS**

533 Sequence data from this article can be found in the Arabidopsis Genome Initiative
534 database and the GenBank/EMBL databases under the following accession numbers:
535 *LUH* (AT2G32700, NM_128829), *PME46* (AT5G04960, NM_120578), *SEU*
536 (AT1G43850, NM_103511), *SLK2* (AT5G62090, NM_125602), *ALMT1* (AT1G08430,
537 NM_100716), *MATE* (At1g51340, NM_104012), *STOP1* (AT1G34370, NM_103160),

538 *ALS3* (AT2G37330, NM_129289), *ALS1* (AT5G39040, NM_123266). RNA
539 sequencing data analyzed in this study are available in the Gene Expression Omnibus
540 database under accession number GSE85292.

541

542 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

543 We thank NASC for providing mutant seeds. Z.-B.Y. is supported by the National
544 Natural Science Foundation of China (31400227 and 31670259) and the Shandong
545 Provincial Natural Science Foundation project (ZR2014CQ021). Z.D. is supported by
546 grants from the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (2015CB942901), the
547 National Natural Science Foundation of China (Projects 31270327, 31470371 and
548 31222005), Shandong Provincial Funds for Distinguished Young Scholars
549 (2014JQ201408). The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

550

551 **SHORT LEGENDS FOR SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

552 **Figure S1.** LUH expression is responsive to AI treatment.

553 **Figure S2.** Transcriptional profiling of *LUH*-regulated genes in response to AI stress.

554 **Figure S3.** Expression of *PMEs* in wild type and *luh-4* mutant in response to AI stress
555 based on RNA-seq.

556 **Figure S4.** Expression of AI-resistant related genes in response to AI stress.

557 **Figure S5.** *PME46* gene expression in wild type seedlings and *pme46* T-DNA insert
558 mutants.

559 **Figure S6.** Expression of *SLK2* in roots exposed to AI stress.

560 **Figure S7.** No root growth difference between *mum2* mutant and wild type plants in
561 response to AI stress.

562

563 **Table S1.** List of genes and specific primer pairs used for quantitative real-time PCR
564 (qRT-PCR).

565 **Data S1.** Comparison of the differentially expressed genes in roots of wild type and
566 *luh-4* exposed to AI stress.

567 **Data S2.** Up- and Down-regulated genes in roots of AI-exposed *luh-4* mutant.

568

569 **REFERENCES**

- 570 Alonso, J.M., Stepanova, A.N., Leisse, T.J., Kim, C.J., Chen, H., Shinn, P., Stevenson,
571 D.K., Zimmerman, J., Barajas, P., Cheuk, R., Gadrinab, C., Heller, C., Jeske, A.,
572 Koesema, E., Meyers, C.C., Parker, H., Prednis, L., Ansari, Y., Choy, N., Deen,
573 H., Geralt, M., Hazari, N., Hom, E., Karnes, M., Mulholland, C., Ndubaku, R.,
574 Schmidt, I., Guzman, P., Aguilar-Henonin, L., Schmid, M., Weigel, D., Carter,
575 D.E., Marchand, T., Risseeuw, E., Brogden, D., Zeko, A., Crosby, W.L., Berry,
576 C.C. and Ecker, J.R. (2003) Genome-wide insertional mutagenesis of
577 *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Science*, 301, 653-657.
- 578 Anthon, G.E. and Barrett, D.M. (2004) Comparison of three colorimetric reagents in
579 the determination of methanol with alcohol oxidase. Application to the assay of
580 pectin methylesterase. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 52, 3749-3753.
- 581 Audic, S. and Claverie, J.M. (1997) The significance of digital gene expression
582 profiles. *Genome Res.*, 7, 986-995.
- 583 Bao, F., Azhakanandam, S. and Franks, R.G. (2010) SEUSS and SEUSS-LIKE
584 transcriptional adaptors regulate floral and embryonic development in
585 *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.*, 152, 821-836.
- 586 Benjamini, Y. and Yekutieli, D. (2001). The control of the false discovery rate in
587 multiple testing under dependency. *Ann. Stat.*, 29, 1165-1188.
- 588 Bosch, M., Cheung, A.Y. and Hepler, P.K. (2005) Pectin methylesterase, a regulator of
589 pollen tube growth. *Plant Physiol.*, 138, 1334-1346.
- 590 Bui, M., Lim, N., Sijacic, P. and Liu, Z. (2011) LEUNIG_HOMOLOG and LEUNIG
591 regulate seed mucilage extrusion in *Arabidopsis*. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.*, 53,
592 399-408.
- 593 Chang, Y.C., Yamamoto, Y. and Matsumoto, H. (1999) Accumulation of aluminium in
594 the cell wall pectin in cultured tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.) cells treated with
595 a combination of aluminium and iron. *Plant Cell Environ.*, 22, 1009-1017.
- 596 Cosgrove, D.J. (2005) Growth of the plant cell wall. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Bio.*, 6,
597 850–861.

598 Dean, G.H., Zheng, H., Tewari, J., Huang, J., Young, D.S., Hwang, Y.T., Western, T.L.,
599 Carpita, N.C., McCann, M.C., Mansfield, S.D. and Haughn, G.W. (2007) The
600 Arabidopsis *MUM2* gene encodes a beta-galactosidase required for the
601 production of seed coat mucilage with correct hydration properties. *Plant Cell*,
602 19, 4007-4021.

603 Delhaize, E. and Ryan, P.R. (1995). Aluminum toxicity and tolerance in plants. *Plant*
604 *Physiol.*, 107, 315-321.

605 Delhaize, E., Ma, J.F. and Ryan, P.R. (2012) Transcriptional regulation of aluminium
606 tolerance genes. *Trends Plant Sci.*, 17, 341-348.

607 Eticha, D., Staß, A. and Horst, W.J. (2005) Cell-wall pectin and its degree of
608 methylation in the maize root-apex: significance for genotypic differences in
609 aluminium resistance. *Plant Cell Environ.*, 28, 1410-1420.

610 Gonzalez, D., Bowen, A.J., Carroll, T.S. and Conlan, R.S. (2007) The transcription
611 corepressor LEUNIG interacts with the histone deacetylase HDA19 and mediator
612 components MED14 (SWP) and CDK8 (HEN3) to repress transcription. *Mol.*
613 *Cell. Biol.*, 27, 5306-5315.

614 Gregis, V., Sessa, A., Colombo, L. and Kater, M.M. (2006) *AGL24*, *SHORT*
615 *VEGETATIVE PHASE*, and *APETALA1* redundantly control *AGAMOUS* during
616 early stages of flower development in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell*, 18, 1373-1382.

617 Grigorova, B., Mara, C., Hollender, C., Sijacic, P., Chen, X. and Liu, Z. (2011)
618 LEUNIG and SEUSS co-repressors regulate *miR172* expression in Arabidopsis
619 flowers. *Development*, 138, 2451-2456.

620 Hoekenga, O.A., Maron, L.G., Piñeros, M.A., Cançado, G.M., Shaff, J., Kobayashi, Y.,
621 Ryan, P.R., Dong, B., Delhaize, E., Sasaki, T., Matsumoto, H., Yamamoto, Y.,
622 Koyama, H. and Kochian, L.V. (2006) AtALMT1, which encodes a malate
623 transporter, is identified as one of several genes critical for aluminum tolerance
624 in Arabidopsis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A.*, 103, 9738-9743.

625 Horst, W.J., Asher, C.J., Cakmak, I., Szulkiewicz, P. and Wissemeier, A.H. (1992)
626 Short-term responses of soybean roots to aluminium. *J. Plant Physiol.*, 140,
627 174-178.

- 628 Horst, W.J., Kollmeier, M., Schmohl, N., Sivaguru, M., Wang, Y., Felle, H. H.,
629 Hedrich, R., Schröder, W. and Staß, A. (2007) Significance of the root apoplast
630 for aluminium toxicity and resistance of maize. In *The apoplast of higher plants:
631 compartment of storage, transport, and reactions* (Sattelmacher, B. and Horst, W.
632 eds). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, pp. 49-66.
- 633 Horst, W.J., Schmohl, N., Kollmeier, M., Baluska, F. and Sivaguru, M. (1999) Does
634 aluminium affect root growth of maize through interaction with the cell
635 wall-plasma membrane-cytoskeleton continuum? *Plant Soil*, 215, 163-174.
- 636 Horst, W.J., Wang, Y. and Eticha, D. (2010) The role of the root apoplast in
637 aluminium-induced inhibition of root elongation and in aluminium resistance of
638 plants: A review. *Ann. Bot. (Lond.)*, 106, 185-197.
- 639 Huang, J., DeBowles, D., Esfandiari, E., Dean, G., Carpita, N.C. and Haughn, G.W.
640 (2011) The Arabidopsis transcription factor LUH/MUM1 is required for
641 extrusion of seed coat mucilage. *Plant Physiol.*, 156, 491-502.
- 642 Jones, D.L., Blancaflor, E.B., Kochian, L.V. and Gilroy, S. (2006) Spatial
643 coordination of aluminium uptake, production of reactive oxygen species, callose
644 production and wall rigidification in maize roots. *Plant Cell Environ.*, 29,
645 1309-1318.
- 646 Kobayashi, Y., Hoekenga, O.A., Itoh, H., Nakashima, M., Saito, S., Shaff, J.E., Maron,
647 L.G., Piñeros, M.A., Kochian, L.V. and Koyama, H. (2007) Characterization of
648 *AtALMT1* expression in aluminum-inducible malate release and its role for
649 rhizotoxic stress tolerance in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.*, 145, 843-52.
- 650 Kochian, L.V., Hoekenga, O.A. and Pineros, M.A. (2004) How do crop plants tolerate
651 acid soils? Mechanisms of aluminum tolerance and phosphorous efficiency. *Annu.
652 Rev. Plant Biol.*, 55, 459-493.
- 653 Kochian, L.V., Piñeros, M.A., Liu, J. and Magalhaes, J.V. (2015) Plant adaptation to
654 acid soils: the molecular basis for crop aluminum resistance. *Annu. Rev. Plant
655 Biol.*, 66, 571-598.
- 656 Kopitke, P.M., Blamey, F.P.C. and Menzies, N.W. (2008) Toxicities of soluble Al, Cu,
657 and La include ruptures to rhizodermal and root cortical cells of cowpea. *Plant*

658 *Soil*, 303, 217-227.

659 Kopittke, P.M., Menzies, N.W., Wang, P., McKenna, B.A., Wehr, J.B., Lombi, E.,
660 Kinraide, T.B. and Blamey, F.P.C. (2014) The rhizotoxicity of metal cations is
661 related to their strength of binding to hard ligands. *Environ. Toxicol. Che.*, 33,
662 268-277.

663 Kopittke, P.M., Moore, K.L., Lombi, E., Gianoncelli, A., Ferguson, B.J., Blamey, F.P.,
664 Menzies, N.W., Nicholson, T.M., McKenna, B.A., Wang, P., Gresshoff, P.M.,
665 Kourousias, G., Webb, R.I., Green, K. and Tollenaere, A. (2015) Identification of
666 the primary lesion of toxic aluminum in plant roots. *Plant Physiol.*, 167,
667 1402-1411.

668 Larsen, P.B., Cancel, J., Rounds, M. and Ochoa, V. (2007) Arabidopsis ALS1 encodes
669 a root tip and stele localized half type ABC transporter required for root growth
670 in an aluminum toxic environment. *Planta*, 225, 1447-1458.

671 Larsen, P.B., Geisler, M.J., Jones, C.A., Williams, K.M. and Cancel, J.D. (2005) ALS3
672 encodes a phloem-localized ABC transporter-like protein that is required for
673 aluminum tolerance in Arabidopsis. *Plant J.*, 41, 353-363.

674 Larsen, P.B., Tai, C.Y., Kochian, L.V. and Howell, S.H. (1996) Arabidopsis mutants
675 with increased sensitivity to aluminum. *Plant Physiol.*, 110, 743-751.

676 Lee, J.E., and Golz, J.F. (2012) Diverse roles of Groucho/Tup1 co-repressors in plant
677 growth and development. *Plant Signal. Behav.*, 7, 86-92.

678 Lee, J.E., Lampugnani, E.R., Bacic, A. and Golz J.F. (2014) Role of the SEUSS and
679 SEUSS-LIKE2 in regulating KNOX and auxin pathways during Arabidopsis
680 embryo development. *Plant J.*, 80, 122-135.

681 Lee, N., Park, J., Kim, K. and Choi, G. (2015) The transcriptional coregulator
682 LEUNIG_HOMOLOG inhibits light-dependent seed germination in Arabidopsis.
683 *Plant Cell*, 27, 2301-2313.

684 Li, R., Yu, C., Li, Y., Lam, T.W., Yiu, S.M., Kristiansen, K. and Wang, J. (2009)
685 SOAP2: An improved ultrafast tool for short read alignment. *Bioinformatics*, 25,
686 1966-1967.

687 Li, X., Li, Y., Qu, M., Xiao, H., Feng, Y., Liu, J., Wu, L. and Yu, M. (2016) Cell wall

688 pectin and its methyl-esterification in transition zone determine al resistance in
689 cultivars of pea (*Pisum sativum*). *Front. Plant Sci.*, 7, 39.

690 Liu, J., Magalhaes, J.V., Shaff, J. and Kochian, L.V. (2009) Aluminum activated
691 citrate and malate transporters from the MATE and ALMT families function
692 independently to confer Arabidopsis aluminum tolerance. *Plant J.*, 57, 389-399.

693 Liu, Z. and Meyerowitz, E.M. (1995) LEUNIG regulates AGAMOUS expression in
694 Arabidopsis flowers. *Development*, 121, 975-991.

695 Liu, Z., and Karmarkar, V. (2008) Groucho/Tup1 family co-repressors in plant
696 development. *Trends Plant Sci.*, 13, 137-144.

697 Macquet, A., Ralet, M.C., Loudet, O., Kronenberger, J., Mouille, G., Marion-Poll, A.
698 and North, H.M. (2007) A naturally occurring mutation in an Arabidopsis
699 accession affects a beta-D-galactosidase that increases the hydrophilic potential
700 of rhamnogalacturonan I in seed mucilage. *Plant Cell*, 19, 3990-4006.

701 Markovic, O. and Janecek, S. (2004) Pectin methylesterases: sequence-structural
702 features and phylogenetic relationships. *Carbohydr Res.*, 339, 2281-2295.

703 Maron, L.G., Kirst, M., Mao, C., Milner, M.J., Menossi, M. and Kochian, L.V. (2008)
704 Transcriptional profiling of aluminum toxicity and tolerance responses in maize
705 roots. *New Phytol.*, 179, 116-128.

706 Micheli F. (2001) Pectin methylesterases: cell wall enzymes with important roles in
707 plant physiology. *Trends Plant Sci.* 6, 414-419.

708 Mohnen, D. (2008) Pectin structure and biosynthesis. *Curr. Opin. Plant. Biol.*, 11,
709 266-277.

710 Mortazavi, A., Williams, B.A., McCue, K., Schaeffer, L. and Wold, B. (2008)
711 Mapping and quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-Seq. *Nat. Methods*,
712 5, 621-628.

713 Panda, S.K. and Baluška, F. (2015) Aluminum stress adaptation in plants. Springer:
714 Springer International Publishing, Switzerland.

715 Pelloux, J., Rustérucci, C. and Mellerowicz, E.J. (2007) New insights into pectin
716 methylesterase structure and function. *Trends Plant Sci.*, 12, 267-277.

717 Pfluger, J. and Zambryski P. (2004) The role of SEUSS in auxin response and floral

718 organ patterning. *Development*, 131, 4697-4707.

719 Rangel, A.F., Rao, I.M. and Horst, W.J. (2009) Intracellular distribution and binding
720 state of aluminum in root apices of two common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*)
721 genotypes in relation to Al toxicity. *Physiol. Plant.*, 135, 162-173.

722 Saez-Aguayo, S., Ralet, M.C., Berger, A., Botran, L., Ropartz, D., Marion-Poll, A.
723 and North, H.M. (2013) PECTIN METHYLESTERASE INHIBITOR6 promotes
724 Arabidopsis mucilage release by limiting methylesterification of
725 homogalacturonan in seed coat epidermal cells. *Plant Cell*, 25, 308-323.

726 Sawaki, Y., Iuchi, S., Kobayashi, Y., Kobayashi, Y., Ikka, T., Sakurai, N., Fujita, M.,
727 Shinozaki, K., Shibata, D., Kobayashi, M. and Koyama, H. (2009) STOP1
728 regulates multiple genes that protect arabidopsis from proton and aluminum
729 toxicities. *Plant Physiol.*, 150, 281-294.

730 Schmohl, N. and Horst, W.J. (2000) Cell wall pectin content modulates aluminium
731 sensitivity of *Zea mays* (L.) cells grown in suspension culture. *Plant Cell*
732 *Environ.*, 23, 735-742.

733 Sénéchal, F., Graff, L., Surcouf, O., Marcelo, P., Rayon, C., Bouton, S., Mareck, A.,
734 Mouille, G., Stintzi, A., Höfte, H., Lerouge, P., Schaller, A. and Pelloux, J. (2014)
735 Arabidopsis PECTIN METHYLESTERASE17 is co-expressed with and
736 processed by SBT3.5, a subtilisin-like serine protease. *Ann. Bot.*, 114,
737 1161-1175.

738 Sénéchal, F., L'Enfant, M., Domon, J.M., Rosiau, E., Crépeau, M.J., Surcouf, O.,
739 Esquivel-Rodriguez, J., Marcelo, P., Mareck, A., Guérineau, F., Kim, H.R.,
740 Mravec, J., Bonnin, E., Jamet, E., Kihara, D., Lerouge, P., Ralet, M.C., Pelloux, J.
741 and Rayon, C. (2015) Tuning of Pectin Methylesterification: PECTIN
742 METHYLESTERASE INHIBITOR 7 MODULATES THE PROGRESSIVE
743 ACTIVITY OF CO-EXPRESSED PECTIN METHYLESTERASE 3 IN A
744 pH-DEPENDENT MANNER. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 290, 23320-23335.

745 Sénéchal, F., Mareck, A., Marcelo, P., Lerouge, P. and Pelloux, J. (2015) Arabidopsis
746 PME17 activity can be controlled by Pectin Methylesterase Inhibitor4. *Plant*
747 *Signal. Behav.*, 10, e983351.

748 Shrestha, B., Guragain, B. and Sridhar, V.V. (2014) Involvement of co-repressor LUH
749 and the adapter proteins SLK1 and SLK2 in the regulation of abiotic stress
750 response genes in Arabidopsis. *BMC Plant Biol.*, 14, 54.

751 Sitaraman, J., Bui, M. and Liu, Z. (2008) LEUNIG_HOMOLOG and LEUNIG
752 perform partially redundant functions during Arabidopsis embryo and floral
753 development. *Plant Physiol.*, 147, 672-681.

754 Sridhar, V.V., Surendrarao, A. and Liu, Z. (2006) APETALA1 and SEPALLATA3
755 interact with SEUSS to mediate transcription repression during flower
756 development. *Development*, 133, 3159-3166.

757 Sridhar, V.V., Surendrarao, A., Gonzalez, D., Conlan, R.S. and Liu Z. (2004)
758 Transcriptional repression of target genes by LEUNIG and SEUSS, two
759 interacting regulatory proteins for Arabidopsis flower development. *Proc. Natl.*
760 *Acad. Sci. USA*, 101, 11494-11499.

761 Stahle, M.I., Kuehlich, J., Staron, L., von Arnim, A.G. and Golz, J.F. (2009) YABBYs
762 and the transcriptional corepressors LEUNIG and LEUNIG_HOMOLOG
763 maintain leaf polarity and meristem activity in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell*, 21,
764 3105-3118

765 Sun, C., Lu, L., Yu, Y., Liu, L., Hu, Y., Ye, Y., Jin, C. and Lin, X. (2016) Decreasing
766 methylation of pectin caused by nitric oxide leads to higher aluminium binding
767 in cell walls and greater aluminium sensitivity of wheat roots. *J. Exp. Bot.*, 67,
768 979-989.

769 Von Uexküll, H.R. and Mutert, E. (1995) Global extent, development and economic
770 impact of acid soils. *Plant Soil*, 171, 1-15.

771 Vose, P.B. and Randall, P.J. (1962) Resistance to aluminium and manganese toxicities
772 in plants related to variety and cation exchange capacity. *Nature*, 196, 85-86.

773 Walker, M., Tehseen, M., Doblin, M.S., Pettolino, F.A., Wilson, S.M., Bacic, A. and
774 Golz, J.F. (2011) The transcriptional regulator LEUNIG_HOMOLOG regulates
775 mucilage release from the Arabidopsis testa. *Plant Physiol.*, 156, 46-60.

776 Wolf, S., Rausch, T. and Greiner, S. (2009) The N-terminal pro region mediates
777 retention of unprocessed type-I PME in the Golgi apparatus. *Plant J.*, 58,

778 361-375.

779 Wang, M., Yuan, D., Gao, W., Li, Y., Tan, J. and Zhang, X. (2013) A comparative
780 genome analysis of PME and PME1 families reveals the evolution of pectin
781 metabolism in plant cell walls. *PLoS One.*, 8, e72082.

782 Western, T.L., Burn, J., Tan, W.L., Skinner, D.J., Martin-McCaffrey, L., Moffatt, B.A.
783 and Haughn, G.W. (2001) Isolation and characterization of mutants defective in
784 seed coat mucilage secretory cell development in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.*,
785 127, 998-1011.

786 Yang, J.L., Li, Y.Y., Zhang, Y.J., Zhang, S.S., Wu, Y.R., Wu, P. and Zheng, S.J. (2008)
787 Cell wall polysaccharides are specifically involved in the exclusion of aluminum
788 from the rice root apex. *Plant Physiol.*, 146, 602-611.

789 Yang, X.Y., Zeng, Z.H., Yan, J.Y., Fan, W., Bian, H.W., Zhu, M.Y., Yang, J.L., Zheng,
790 S.J. (2013) Association of specific pectin methylesterases with Al-induced root
791 elongation inhibition in rice. *Physiol. Plant.*, 148, 502-511.

792 Yang, Z.B., Eticha, D., Rao, I.M., Horst, W.J. (2010) Alteration of cell-wall porosity is
793 involved in osmotic stress-induced enhancement of aluminium resistance in
794 common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *J. Exp. Bot.*, 61, 3245-3258.

795 Yokoyama, R. and Nishitani, K. (2004) Genomic basis for cell-wall diversity in plants.
796 A comparative approach to gene families in rice and Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell*
797 *Physiol.*, 45, 1111-1121.

798 Zhu, X.F., Shi, Y.Z., Lei, G.J., Fry, S.C., Zhang, B.C., Zhou, Y.H., Braam, J., Jiang, T.,
799 Xu, X.Y., Mao, C.Z., Pan, Y.J., Yang, J.L., Wu, P. and Zheng, S.J. (2012). XTH31,
800 encoding an in vitro XEH/XET-active enzyme, regulates aluminum sensitivity by
801 modulating in vivo XET action, cell wall xyloglucan content, and aluminum
802 binding capacity in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell*, 24, 4731-4747.

803

804 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

805 **Figure 1.** *luh* mutants display enhanced resistance to Al stress. (a) Schematic
806 representation of T-DNA insertions within the *LUH* locus. (b) Expression of *LUH* in
807 wild type (WT) seedlings and mutants *luh-3* and *luh-4*. (c-e) Root growth analysis

808 under Al stress. WT seedlings and mutant were grown on gel medium containing 0 to
809 1.5 mM AlCl₃ (c), or in hydroponics containing 0 to 40 μM AlCl₃ (d and e), at pH 4.2
810 for 7 days. (f) Root growth response of WT seedlings and mutant lines *luh-3* and *luh-4*
811 grown in solutions with pH 5.8, pH 5.0 and pH 4.2 for seven days. (g) Root growth
812 responses of WT and *luh* mutants grown on gel-solidified media containing 1.25 mM
813 AlCl₃, or 5 μM CuCl₂, or 25 μM CdCl₂, or 100 μM LaCl₃ for 7 days. In (c, e-g): *,
814 **, and *** indicate a significant difference between WT seedlings and mutant lines
815 at $p < 0.05$, $p < 0.01$, and $p < 0.001$ (*t*-test), respectively. Values shown are means \pm
816 SD ($n = 3$).

817

818 **Figure 2.** LUH mediates Al accumulation in the cell wall of roots. (a) Hematoxylin
819 staining of root tips exposed to Al. (b) Al content in 5-mm root tips and (c) whole
820 intact roots of wild type (WT) seedlings and mutants. (d) Fractional analysis of
821 cellular Al distribution in cell wall and symplast of Al-exposed roots of WT seedlings
822 and mutants. (e) Al binding capacity of cell wall in roots of WT seedlings and mutants.
823 In (b-d): Six-day old WT seedlings and mutants *luh-3* and *luh-4* were treated with 25
824 μM AlCl₃ for 24 h. In (e): Roots of the six-day old WT seedlings and *luh* mutants
825 were harvested and cell wall material isolated. Isolated cell wall material was treated
826 with 1 ml 600 μM AlCl₃ for 30 min at pH 4.2. Values shown are means \pm SD ($n = 4$).
827 * and ** indicate significant differences between WT and mutant at $p < 0.05$ and $p <$
828 0.01 (*t*-test), respectively.

829

830 **Figure 3.** *LUH*-suppressed pectin methylation mediates Al binding to cell wall. (a)
831 JIM5 and JIM7 staining to detect the low- and high-methylated pectin in cell wall of
832 wild type (WT) and mutants. Bar = 100 μm. (b and c) Quantitative analysis of the
833 fluorescence intensity of JIM5 staining (b) and JIM7 staining (c) indicated in (a) in
834 the root tips. Values are means \pm SD ($n = 6$). (d) Pectin content, (e) degree of pectin
835 methylation, and (f) unmethylated pectin content in roots of WT plants and mutants
836 under Al stress. In (a-f) six-day old seedlings were exposed to 25 μM AlCl₃ for 24 h.
837 Values in (d-f) represent means \pm SD ($n = 4$). *, **, and *** indicate significant

838 differences at $p < 0.05$, $p < 0.01$, and $p < 0.001$ (*t*-test), respectively, between either
839 –Al and +Al treatments (in black) or WT and mutant (in red).

840

841 **Figure 4.** Transcriptome analysis of *LUH*-regulated genes in response to Al stress. (a)
842 Functional categorization of differentially transcribed genes with 2-fold changes or
843 more in response to Al stress. (b) Cluster analysis of the expressed genes with more
844 than two-fold changes in wild type (WT) and *luh-4* mutant seedlings in response to Al
845 stress. The cluster analysis of cell wall (CW)-associated genes was particularly listed.
846 RPKM (Reads Per kb per Million reads) represents the gene expression level. (c)
847 Validation of the expression of several selected CW-associated genes listed in (b) by
848 qRT-PCR. Six-day-old WT and *luh-4* seedlings were exposed to 0 and 25 μM AlCl_3
849 for 4 h. *UBQ1* was used as the reference, and a non-treated WT was used as the
850 sample control. Values represent means \pm SD ($n = 3$). Asterisks in black indicate that
851 wild-type and *luh-4* mutant and asterisks in red indicate that Al treatment means differ
852 significantly at * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, and *** $p < 0.001$ (*t*-test), respectively.

853

854 **Figure 5.** *PME46* mediates Al-induced inhibition of root growth and Al binding to
855 cell wall. (a, b) Root growth of wild type (WT) plants, *pme46-1* and *pme46-2* mutant
856 lines under Al stress. WT seedlings and mutant were grown into the hydroponic
857 solution containing 0 to 25 μM AlCl_3 at pH 4.2 for 7 days. Means represent values \pm
858 SD ($n = 3$). (c) Hematoxylin staining of Al distribution in root tips. (d) Al content in
859 roots of WT seedlings and mutants. (e) Fractional analysis of cellular Al distribution
860 in cell wall and symplast of Al-subjected roots of WT seedlings and mutants. (f) PME
861 enzyme activity in roots of WT seedlings and mutants. In (c-f): Six-day old WT
862 seedlings and mutants were treated with 25 μM AlCl_3 for 24 h. Values in (d-f)
863 represent means \pm SD ($n = 4$). *, ** and *** indicate the significant difference
864 between WT and mutant at $p < 0.05$, $p < 0.01$, and $p < 0.001$ (*t*-test), respectively.

865

866 **Figure 6.** *SLK2* mediates Al-induced inhibition of root growth and Al binding to cell
867 walls. (a) Root growth of wild type (WT) plants and mutants under Al stress. WT

868 seedlings and mutants were grown into the hydroponic solution containing 0, 20 and
869 25 μM AlCl_3 at pH 4.2 for 7 days. Means represent values $\pm\text{SD}$ ($n = 3$). (b)
870 Hematoxylin staining of Al distribution in root tips. (c) Al content in roots of WT
871 seedlings and mutants. (d) Fractional analysis of cellular Al distribution in cell walls
872 and symplast of Al-subjected roots of WT seedlings and mutants. Values in (c, d)
873 represent means \pm SD ($n = 4$). (e) qRT-PCR analysis of the expression of *PME46* in
874 roots of WT seedlings and *slk2-1* mutant in response to Al stress. Six-day old WT
875 seedlings and *slk2-1* mutant were treated without or with 25 μM AlCl_3 for 6 h. Values
876 represent means \pm SD ($n = 3$). *, ** and *** in (a, c, d, and e) indicate a significant
877 difference between WT and mutant at $p < 0.05$, $p < 0.01$, and $p < 0.001$ (*t*-test),
878 respectively.

879

880 **Figure 7.** Model of Al stress responses. It is proposed that *PME46* is a major inhibitor
881 of PME activity and the expression of *PME46* is limited by the LUH/SLK2 complex.
882 Under Al stress, *PME46* is mediated via two independent pathways: (1) unknown
883 stress responsive factors and (2) LUH/SLK2 complex. Under Al stress: (i) in wild
884 type plants, *PME46* expression is reduced leading to increased activity of PME
885 (possibly through increased expression of other *PMEs*) and thus enhanced
886 demethylation of pectin. Removal of methyl groups increases the negative charge of
887 pectin and allows root cell walls to bind more Al. Al exposure also reduces expression
888 of *LUH* leading to an elevated expression of *PME46*, while the elevation is
889 insufficient to complement the suppression by Al via pathway (1); (ii) in *luh* and *slk2*
890 (*luh/slk*) mutants, the mutation of LUH and SLK2 releases the expression of *PME46*
891 from repression leading to lower PME activity, and thus less Al binding to root cell
892 walls. However, it is presently not clear how elevated Al levels mediate *PME46*
893 repression in pathway (1), but may involve the activity of yet to be identified stress
894 response factors.













